

Lagrange-Hamilton conjugations

Petre Stavre and Adrian Lupu

*Dedicated to the 70-th anniversary
of Professor Constantin Udriste*

Abstract. The general theory of almost symplectic ω -conjugation was elaborated, for the first time, by P. Stavre ([7]). Its applications to the total space E of a vector bundle $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$ are already studied ([3]). In the cases of the tangent bundle and of the cotangent bundle, the two authors obtained new results, with applications in Hamiltonian models ([9], [4]). Using these results and the theory of the G -conjugation ([8]), in the framework of the theory of Lagrange-Hamilton models, the authors obtain the case when the theory of the almost symplectic ω -conjugation and the theory of the G -conjugation are equivalent.

M.S.C. 2000: 53D15, 53C80.

Key words: Almost symplectic conjugation; distributions; linear d-connections; normal connections.

1 General notions. Theorems

Let us consider a p -dimensional paracompact differentiable manifold E_p , endowed with an almost symplectic structure.

Definition 1.1. ([7]) Let us consider the structure $L_p = (E_p, \omega, D^{(1)})$ where ω is an almost symplectic one and $D^{(1)}$ a linear connection on E and analogously $L_p = (E_p, \omega, D^{(2)})$. Let us consider two one-dimensional distributions $\mathcal{D}^{(1)}, \mathcal{D}^{(2)}$:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{matrix} \mathcal{D}^{(1)} \\ (1) \end{matrix} : u \rightarrow \begin{matrix} \mathcal{D}^{(1)} \\ (1) \end{matrix} u \subset T_u E; \quad \begin{matrix} \mathcal{D}^{(2)} \\ (1) \end{matrix} : u \rightarrow \begin{matrix} \mathcal{D}^{(2)} \\ (1) \end{matrix} u \subset T_u E, \quad u \in E.$$

If we have

$$(1.2) \quad \omega \begin{matrix} (V, V) \\ (1) (2) \end{matrix} = 0, \quad \forall V_1 \in \begin{matrix} \mathcal{D}^{(1)} \\ (1) \end{matrix} u, V_2 \in \begin{matrix} \mathcal{D}^{(2)} \\ (1) \end{matrix} u, \quad u \in E$$

at the parallel transport of the distributions $\overset{(1)}{D}, \overset{(2)}{D}$, with respect to $\overset{(1)}{D}, \overset{(2)}{D}$, then we will say that the linear connections $\overset{(1)}{D}, \overset{(2)}{D}$ are ω -conjugated connections or that the spaces $\overset{(1)}{L}_p, \overset{(2)}{L}_p$ are ω -conjugated-spaces. We will write: $\overset{(1)}{L}_p \overset{\omega}{\sim} \overset{(2)}{L}_p$ or $\overset{(1)}{D} \overset{\omega}{\sim} \overset{(2)}{D}$.

In the general case we have:

Proposition 1.1. *In the general case the relation " $\overset{\omega}{\sim}$ " is not an equivalence one on the set $D = \{D, \omega, \overset{\omega}{\sim}\}$ of the ω -conjugated connections.*

We further denote:

$$(1.3) \quad C_{(\omega)\overline{D}} = \{(E_p, \omega, D) \mid D \overset{\omega}{\sim} \overline{D}, \text{ with } \overline{D}\omega = 0\}; \quad M_1(D) = \bigcup_{\overline{D}} C_{(\omega)\overline{D}}.$$

Let us consider $M_2(D)$ the set of the linear connections which are not ω -conjugated with any ω -compatible connection.

Partition Theorem 1.2. ([9]) *One has the partitions:*

$$(1.4) \quad M(D) = M_1(D) \cup M_2(D); \quad M_1(D) \cap M_2(D) = \emptyset$$

$$C_{(\omega)\overline{D}}^{(1)} \cap C_{(\omega)\overline{D}}^{(2)} = \emptyset, \quad \forall C_{(\omega)\overline{D}}^{(1)}, C_{(\omega)\overline{D}}^{(2)} \subset M_1(D).$$

As well, $\{C_{(\omega)\overline{D}}\}$ are equivalence classes.

It is has already been established that:

$$(1.5) \quad (d\omega)(XYZ) = \sum_{XYZ} \{(D_X\omega)(Y, Z) + \omega T(XY, Z)\}.$$

The following result holds true:

Proposition 1.3. *If ω is a symplectic structure, i.e. $d\omega = 0$, then there exist linear connections ∇ , which are ω -compatible ($(\nabla\omega = 0)$) and torsion free ($(\overset{\nabla}{T} = 0)$).*

Starting from the above relations and Proposition 1.3, we infer:

Proposition 1.4. *If ω is a symplectic structure, then there exist at least one class, $C_{(\omega)\nabla}$ in the partition (1.4).*

Proposition 1.5. *If a linear connection, D , is ω -compatible, then D is not ω -conjugated with ∇ .*

Proposition 1.6. *If D is a linear and symmetrical connection ($\overset{D}{T} = 0$) then D is not ω -conjugated with ∇ .*

In [7] P. Stavre gave a general theory of ω -conjugation, based on the operator $\overset{(12)}{D}_X$ defined by:

$$(1.6) \quad (\overset{(12)}{D}_X\omega)(YZ) = (\overset{(1)}{D}_X\omega)(YZ) - \omega(Y, \overset{(2)}{\tau}(XZ))$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad \overset{(2)}{\tau}(XZ) = \overset{(2)}{D}_X Z - \overset{(1)}{D}_X Z$$

will be called the covariant mixed ω -derivative. The already established general relations between $\overset{(12)}{D}_X \omega$ and $\overset{(21)}{D}_X \omega$ allow us to state

Proposition 1.7. *The necessary and sufficient condition for $D \overset{(1)}{\sim} D \overset{(2)}$ is:*

$$(1.8) \quad \overset{(12)}{D}_X \omega = \alpha(X)\omega, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(E) \text{ where } \alpha \in \Lambda_1(E).$$

As a corollary we have $\overset{(21)}{D}_X \omega = \alpha(X)\omega$ and the converse, as well. From (1.6), (1.8), it results

Proposition 1.8. *The set of linear connection transformations induced by ω -conjugation relation does not, generally, form a group of transformations.*

The cases of subsets of transformations induced by " $\overset{\omega}{\sim}$ ", which form a group of transformations, are already studied. We further present several consequences of the above results.

2 Natural almost symplectic structures

The almost symplectic structures and the integrable almost symplectic structures play an important role in the theory of the geometrical models of the Hamiltonian mechanical systems ([2]).

Let us consider a real, n -dimensional C^∞ differentiable manifold $M_n = (M, [A], R^n)$, which is paracompact, connected. Let $\xi = (E = TM, \omega, M)$ be the tangent bundle and let $\xi^* = (E^* = T^*M, \pi^*, M)$ be the cotangent bundle. In Hamiltonian mechanics, M is called the configuration space, TM is the speed space and T^*M is the phase space.

Generally speaking, a nondegenerate differential 2 form on a differentiable manifold is an almost symplectic structure. The manifold dimension must be an even number, so that the nondegenerate differential 2-form could exist. For ω to be integrable, from topological point of view, the manifold must be orientable. We have $\dim TM = 2n$ and $\dim T^*M = 2n$. Moreover, we can state the following result:

Proposition 2.1. *On the phase space T^*M , there exists an integrable, almost symplectic structure ω .*

Because TM is paracompact, connected and C^∞ -differentiable manifold and $\dim TM = 2n$, there exists a metric structure, g and an almost complex structure F ($F^2 = -I$). We define:

$$(2.1) \quad G(X, Y) = g(X, Y) + g(FX, FY), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(TM).$$

It results:

$$(2.2) \quad G(FX, FY) = G(X, Y)$$

hence this represents an almost Hermitian G structure. We define ω by

$$(2.3) \quad \omega(X, Y) = G(X, FY);$$

and we infer $\omega(X, Y) = -\omega(Y, X)$ hence ω is a nondegenerate, differential 2-form. It will be called natural almost symplectic structure, associated to (G, F) . Let us take a natural, almost symplectic structure ω , defined by (2.3). We obtain:

Proposition 2.2. *We have, for any linear connection D on $E = TM$:*

$$(2.4) \quad (D_X\omega)(Y, Z) = (D_XG)(Y, FZ) + G(Y, (D_XF)(Z)).$$

Definition 2.1. A linear connection, D , on TM with the property $DF = 0$ will be called F -connection.

We will denote by $D(F)$ the set of the F -connections on $E = TM$. We have ([4]):

$$(2.5) \quad (D_X\omega)(Y, Z) = (D_XG)(Y, FZ), \quad \forall D \in D(F).$$

Let us associate the Nijenhuis tensor to the almost complex structure F :

$$(2.6) \quad N_F(X, Y) = [FX, FY] - F[FX, Y] - F[X, FY] + F^2[X, Y], \quad (F^2 = -I).$$

Proposition 2.3. *We have:*

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} N_F(X, Y) = & (D_{FX}F)(Y) - (D_{FY}F)(X) - F(D_XF)(Y) + F(D_YF)(X) \\ & - \{T(FX, FY) - FT(FX, Y) - FT(X, FY) - T(X, Y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4. *If there exists a linear torsion-free (symmetrical) connection, $D \in D(F)$, then the almost complex structure F is integrable. So F is a complex structure.*

Proof. From (2.8) with $T = 0$ and $DF = 0$, it results $N_F = 0$. So F is a complex structure and, therefore, (G, F) is a Hermitian structure. \square

Proposition 2.5. *If $D \in D(F)$ and $T = 0$ then:*

- a) *The structure (G, F) is a Hermitian structure.*
- b) *We have:*

$$(d\omega)(X, Y, Z) = \sum_{(XYZ)} (D_XG)(Y, FZ).$$

Definition 2.2. A linear connection D will be called ω -compatible if we have:

$$(2.8) \quad D_X\omega = 0, \quad \forall X.$$

It results:

Proposition 2.6. *If D is ω -compatible and torsion free, then ω is a symplectic structure.*

Proof. From (2.8) it follows $d\omega = 0$, so ω is a symplectic structure. \square

(G, F, ω) is an almost Kählerian structure.

Proposition 2.7. *The Levi-Civita connection, ∇ , is an F -connection if and only if the structure (G, F, ω) is a Kählerian structure.*

3 Natural almost symplectic conjugations and ω -compatible models

Any geometric model of an Hamiltonian mechanical system contains a differentiable manifold E , an almost symplectic structure, ω , and a linear connection, D , on E . So it is a space with linear connection, D , denoted by (E, D) endowed with an almost symplectic structure ω . This model will be denoted by $L = (E, \omega, D)$.

If we change D with D we will obtain another model, $L = (E, \omega, D)$.

The linear and symmetrical connections D on E play an important role in mathematical modelling. There exist such connections. But a linear connection D of the model can be chosen such that D is ω -compatible, i.e., $D\omega = 0$. In Lagrange models, based on a Riemannian metric G and on a relativistic (pseudo-Riemannian) metric G , respectively, there always exists a linear connection ∇ , which is symmetrical and G -compatible ($\nabla G = 0$). This is the beforementioned Levi-Civita connection.

Proposition 3.1. ([5]). *Let us consider (E, ω) , where ω is an almost symplectic structure.*

- a) *There exist symmetrical linear connections D .*
- b) *There exist ω -compatible, linear connections D .*
- c) *There exist no linear connections D , on E , which have both properties a) and b).*

But generally speaking, we have $d\omega \neq 0$ for almost symplectic structures.

Example 3.1. If ω is natural, i.e., it is defined by (2.3), then the Levi-Civita connection ∇ , is torsion free: ($T = 0$) but is not ω -compatible, provided that ω is not integrable.

Having two models $L = (E, \omega, D)$, $L = (E, \omega, D)$ of a Hamiltonian mechanical systems, there should exist a natural criterion of comparison (compatibility) for these systems.

Definition 3.1. We say that the two models $L = (E, \omega, D)$, $L = (E, \omega, D)$ are ω -compatible if D, D are ω -conjugated. Then we shall write $L \approx L$ or $D \approx D$.

In [7] the first author gave, for the first time, a general theory of geometries of spaces with ω -conjugated linear connections. If we apply this theory to the above case, it will result:

Proposition 3.2. *Two models L, L are not ω -compatible if D, D are ω -compatible ($D\omega = 0; D\omega = 0$).*

Proposition 3.3. *Let us consider $L = (E, \omega, D)$, $L = (E, \omega, D)$ such that $T = T$. Then L, L are not ω -compatible if D is ω -compatible or D is ω -compatible.*

As a consequence we obtain:

Proposition 3.4. *Let us consider two Hamiltonian mechanical models, with the symplectic structure ω ($d\omega = 0$). These two models are not ω -compatible if $T^{(1)} = T^{(2)} = 0$ and $D^{(1)}$ is ω -compatible.*

Example 3.2. Let us consider the natural, almost symplectic structure ω defined by (2.3). Generally speaking, we have $d\omega \neq 0$. So it does not exist a symmetrical, ω -compatible connection D , but there exist ω -compatible connections D . If we apply Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 we will obtain:

Proposition 3.5. *Let us consider $L^{(1)} = (E, \omega, D^{(1)})$, $L^{(2)} = (E, \omega, D^{(2)})$ two models with $T^{(1)} = 0$, $T^{(2)} = 0$. They can be ω -compatible and $D^{(1)}$, $D^{(2)}$ are mutually determined.*

In the following we will emphasize the cases of the spaces TM, T^*M , endowed with such structures. Let us consider an almost Hermitian structure G , on $E = TM$ and a natural, almost symplectic structure ω , which is defined by (2.3). Let us consider the nonlinear connection N , given by the condition ([5]):

$$(3.1) \quad G(hX, vY) = 0, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(TM).$$

Then we have:

$$(3.2) \quad T_u E = H_u E \oplus V_u E, \quad \forall u \in E \quad (E = TM),$$

and it results $G = hG + vG$.

A general theory of the linear connections $D^{(1)} \mathcal{G} D^{(2)}$ (or G -conjugated connections) was given by P. Stavre in [8]. Afterwards the theory was applied to the total space E of a vector bundle and, as a particular case, to the case of the total space of the tangent bundle, with numerous applications, when G is a pseudo-Riemannian one. For $n = 4$, the Einstein model (with torsion, which was elaborated by Einstein) is included in the general theory of the G -conjugated models. In the above conditions we investigated the equivalence between the G -conjugated models and the natural ω -conjugated Hamiltonian models.

If we define, in the same way, the G -conjugation of the linear connections $D^{(1)}, D^{(2)}$ ([8]), we obtain:

Proposition 3.6. *We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} a) \quad & (D^{(12)}_X \omega)(Y, Z) = (D^{(12)}_X G)(Y, FZ) + G(Y, (D^{(2)}_X F)(Z)); \\ b) \quad & (D^{(21)}_X \omega)(Y, Z) = (D^{(21)}_X G)(Y, FZ) + G(Y, (D^{(1)}_X F)(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

Using these properties we can solve the important problem of giving the conditions for an equivalence between the G -conjugation and the ω -conjugation. The normal linear d -connections play an important role in the theory of the tangent bundle.

It is already known that, if D is a normal linear d -connection then we have:

$$(3.3) \quad D_X F = 0.$$

From Proposition 3.6 and (3.2), it results:

Proposition 3.7. *In the above conditions we have:*

$$(3.4) \quad \binom{(12)}{D_X \omega}(Y, Z) = \binom{(12)}{D_X G}(Y, FZ) \text{ if and only if } \binom{(2)}{D_X F} = 0$$

$$(3.5) \quad \binom{(21)}{D_X \omega}(Y, Z) = \binom{(21)}{D_X G}(Y, FZ) \text{ if and only if } \binom{(1)}{D_X F} = 0.$$

From the relation (2.3) and from Proposition 3.7 it results:

The equivalence theorem. *Let us consider two Hamiltonian models $L^{(1)}(\omega) = (TM, G, \omega, D^{(1)})$, $L^{(2)}(\omega) = (TM, G, \omega, D^{(2)})$, where $D^{(1)}, D^{(2)}$ are normal, linear d -connections on $E = TM$. Let us consider the corresponding G -models $L^{(1)}(G) = (TM, G, D^{(1)})$, $L^{(2)}(G) = (TM, G, D^{(2)})$. We have $L^{(1)} \overset{\omega}{\approx} L^{(2)}$ if and only if $L^{(1)} \overset{G}{\approx} L^{(2)}$.*

Therefore the relation of ω -conjugation is equivalent with the G -conjugation for normal linear d -connections. This result is very important for the Lagrange-Hamilton duality and also in applications, especially for the case when G is defined by a Lagrangian. Some extensions and the curvature invariants of the G -conjugated, respectively ω -conjugated connections have recently been obtained.

References

- [1] V. Balan, A. Pitea, *Symbolic software for Y -energy extremal Finsler submanifolds*, Differ. Geom. Dyn. Syst. 11 (2009), 41-53.
- [2] Gh. Gheorghiev, V. Oproiu, *Finite and Infinite-Dimensional Differentiable Manifolds* (in Romanian), Academiei Eds., vol. II, 1979.
- [3] A. Lupu, P. Stavre, *About almost symplectic conjugations*, BSG Proc. 16, Geometry Balkan Press 2008, 129-136.
- [4] A. Lupu, P. Stavre, *The classification of the Hamiltonian mechanical systems using the almost symplectic conjugation criterion*, Annals of the Academy of Romanian Sciences, Series on Sciences and Technology of Infotmation, 2, 1 (2009), 81-94.
- [5] R. Miron, M. Anastasiei, *The Geometry of Lagrange Spaces: Theory and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
- [6] M. Neagu, C. Udriște, *A Riemann-Lagrange geometrization for metrical multi-time Lagrange spaces*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 11, 1 (2006), 87-98.
- [7] P. Stavre, *Introduction to the Theory of Conjugate Geometric Structures. Applications in the Relativity Theory*, (in Romanian), Matrix Rom Eds., Bucarest 2007.
- [8] P. Stavre, *Vector Bundles. Applications to g -conjugate Einstein-Lagrange Models* (in Romanian), Universitaria Eds., Craiova 2006.
- [9] P. Stavre, A. Lupu, *About almost symplectic structures on the total space of the tangent bundle*, Novi Sad J. Math. 38, 3 (2008), 173-180.
- [10] E. Stoica, *A geometrical characterization of normal Finsler connections*, An. Șt. Univ. "Al.I. Cuza", Iași, vol. XXX 1 Mat. (1984), 3-4.

- [11] E. Stoica, P. Stavre, *Connexions presque normale et connexions normales*, Bull. Univ. "Transilvania" Braşov, Ser. Mat.-Fiz. XXX (1988), 61-64.
- [12] C. Udrişte, A. Pitea, J. Mihăilă, *Determination of metrics by boundary energy*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 11, 1 (2006), 131-143.

Authors' addresses:

Petre Stavre
University of Craiova, Romania.
E-mail: pstavre@yahoo.fr

Adrian Lupu
Technical High School "Decebal",
2 Antonini Str., Drobeta Turnu Severin, Romania.
E-mail: adilupucv@yahoo.fr