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Abstract

Section 1 recalls the ideas of Ioffe about realization of a magnetic trap for plasma confinement and the ideas of Ştefănescu about the morphology of elementary magnetic fields. Section 2 gives the components of the magnetic field around Ioffe-Ştefănescu configuration, and their trapezoidal or Gauss approximations. Section 3 describes the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian approaches of the continuous or discrete magnetic geometric dynamics. Section 4 includes 19 annotated MAPLE 6 worksheets regarding the morphology of the magnetic field around Ioffe-Ştefănescu configuration. All these simulations predict a magnetic trap feasible without special technology.
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1 Ioffe-Ştefănescu Magnetic Trap

In the period 1925-1994 Sabba Ştefănescu [7]-[33] drew attention that the morphology of the magnetic fields generated by currents through union of piecewise rectilinear electric circuits is not yet well understood or applied. Its papers show that this morphology comes from the geometry of electric configuration, and not from continuity (discontinuity) of the generating electric field or circuits. Also they eliminate the wrong idea that "a system of two equal infinite rectilinear currents cannot constitute by any means a closed circuit". This objection is now fully grounded. But, if it is admitted that the two "currents" (straight lines) are closed at infinity through filament rectilinear junctions, one may prove easily that these junctions determine, within large finite distance, a negligible contribution to the magnetic field, and consequently, this part of the field cannot modify the paternity of the morphology.
On the other hand, in 1962, M.S. Ioffe [3], [4] first reported on plasma-confinement experiments in a magnetic field that increases in every direction away from the plasma boundary, and that did not have the undesirable feature of a region where the magnetic field went to zero inside the plasma.

The magnetic trap used in Ioffe's experiments was created by superposing two magnetic fields: a magnetic mirror field produced by two circular circuits (coils), carrying current in the directions shown in the Fig.1; the field produced by six conductors parallel to the magnetic mirror axis, carrying current in the directions shown in the Fig.1. The two end loops of currents produce the mirror field; the six straight lines of current produce the Ioffe-Ștefănescu improvement (this replaces a piecewise rectilinear circuit consisting of nine segments and two semilines, two by two determining a right angle). That such a field has the feature of increasing the density of magnetic energy $\tilde{H}^2$ in every direction away from the plasma, with a nonzero minima in the interior of plasma, can be seen by considering the effect of each of the two fields separately (Figs.4-7).

Remark. Like for any harmonic function, the critical points of $\tilde{H}^2$ (if they exists!) are only minima or saddle points.

The magnetic mirror field $\tilde{H}_m$ by itself has the properties that the density of magnetic energy $\tilde{H}_m^2$ increases as a function of distance along the axis from the midplane (stabilizing), but decreases as a function of distance along a radius from the midplane (destabilizing). On the other hand, the field $\tilde{H}_{pw}$ produced by the six parallel conductors leads to the density of magnetic energy $\tilde{H}_{pw}^2$ which increases as a function of distance along a radius from the midplane (stabilizing) and is constant along the mirror axis. These combined fields, if properly chosen, have the property that the magnetic field strength $\sqrt{\tilde{H}_m^2 + \tilde{H}_{pw}^2}$ increases in every direction away from a sphere centered at the midplane origin. To simulate this behaviour, we use an approximation of the magnetic field and MAPLE 6 facilities to produce phase portraits, field surfaces, level sets of $\tilde{H}^2$, minimum of $\tilde{H}^2$, trajectories in magnetic geometric dynamics, etc.

To prove that the hydromagnetic instability could be suppressed with a field configuration in Fig.1, Ioffe and his coworkers at the Kurchatov Institute of Moscow made experiments using the following data:

- a magnetic mirror field with 5,000 G at the midplane and 8,500 G at the mirror peaks,
- a vacuum chamber with the diameter $d = 40$ cm,
- a separation between mirror peaks of $3d = 120$ cm,
- 6 conductors creating the stabilizing multipole magnetic field, situated outside the vacuum chamber, and producing a magnetic field of up 4,500 G at the wall of the vacuum chamber.

From our point of view, in order to describe a magnetic field able to create a magnetic trap, it is enough to judge on the configuration in the Fig.1, with $d = 2cm$, $3d = 6cm$. The magnetic field around this configuration has the components from Section 2 (neglecting a multiplicative factor for the six straight lines, and a multiplicative factor for the two circles):
2 Magnetic Field Around Ioffe-Ştefănescu Configuration

The magnetic field produced around the electric circuit $\gamma_{\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, p$, is given by the Biot-Savart-Laplace formula

$$\vec{H}_{\alpha}(x) = \int_{\gamma_{\alpha}} \frac{\vec{J}_{\alpha} \times \vec{px}}{px^3} d\tau_{p}, \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \gamma_{\alpha},$$

where $p \in \gamma_{\alpha}$ is the arbitrary point on the electric circuit $\gamma_{\alpha}$, and $\vec{J}_{\alpha}$ is the conduction current density (theoretically like the versor $\dot{\gamma}_{\alpha}$) on $\gamma_{\alpha}$. This magnetic field is irrotational ($\text{rot} \vec{H}_{\alpha} = 0$) and solenoidal ($\text{div} \vec{H}_{\alpha} = 0$). Also the set $\{\vec{H}_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, \ldots, p\}$ determines a Lie subalgebra of solenoidal vector fields. The (total) magnetic field produced around the configuration $\Gamma = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{p} \gamma_{\alpha}$ is

$$\vec{H}(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} \vec{H}_{\alpha}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma.$$

Let us consider the Ioffe-Ştefănescu configuration of Fig.1. The magnetic field around the hexapole winding $l_1 \cup l_2 \cup l_3 \cup l_4 \cup l_5 \cup l_6$ is $\vec{H}_{pw} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \vec{H}_i$, where

$$\vec{H}_i = \varepsilon_i \frac{-(y - \sin t_i)i + (x - \cos t_i)j}{(x - \cos t_i)^2 + (y - \sin t_i)^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$$

and

$$\varepsilon_i = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } i = \text{even} \\
-1 & \text{for } i = \text{odd}
\end{cases}$$

and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>$\pi/3$</th>
<th>$2\pi/3$</th>
<th>$\pi$</th>
<th>$4\pi/3$</th>
<th>$5\pi/3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\cos t$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1/2$</td>
<td>$-1/2$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1/2$</td>
<td>$1/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sin t$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}/2$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}/2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-\sqrt{3}/2$</td>
<td>$-\sqrt{3}/2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consequently, the magnetic field $\vec{H}_{pw}$ has the components

$$H_x = \frac{y}{(x - 1)^2 + y^2} - \frac{y - \sqrt{3}/2}{(x - 1/2)^2 + (y - \sqrt{3}/2)^2} +$$

$$+ \frac{y - \sqrt{3}/2}{(x + 1/2)^2 + (y - \sqrt{3}/2)^2} - \frac{y}{(x + 1)^2 + y^2} +$$

$$+ \frac{y + \sqrt{3}/2}{(x + 1/2)^2 + (y + \sqrt{3}/2)^2} - \frac{y + \sqrt{3}/2}{(x - 1/2)^2 + (y + \sqrt{3}/2)^2}.$$
\[ H_y = \frac{x - 1}{(x - 1)^2 + y^2} + \frac{x - 1/2}{(x - 1/2)^2 + (y - \sqrt{3}/2)^2} + \frac{x + 1/2}{(x + 1/2)^2 + (y - \sqrt{3}/2)^2} + \frac{x + 1}{(x + 1)^2 + y^2} - \frac{x + 1/2}{(x + 1/2)^2 + (y + \sqrt{3}/2)^2} + \frac{x - 1/2}{(x - 1/2)^2 + (y + \sqrt{3}/2)^2}, \]

\[ H_z = 0. \]

The magnetic field around the mirror coil \( C1 \cup C2 \) is \( \vec{H}_m = \vec{H}_{C1} + \vec{H}_{C2} \). On the other hand the circle \( C1 : x^2 + y^2 = 1, z = -3 \) must be parametrized by the equations \( x = \cos t, y = \sin t, z = -3, t \in [0, 2\pi], \) with the tangent versor \( \vec{v} = -\sin t \hat{i} + \cos t \hat{j} \). Applying Biot-Savart-Laplace formula we find the magnetic field \( \vec{H}_{C1} \) around \( C1 \), of components

\[ H_x = (z + 3) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\cos t}{\varphi(t; x, y, z)} \, dt \]

\[ H_y = (z + 3) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\sin t}{\varphi(t; x, y, z)} \, dt \]

\[ H_z = -\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{x \cos t + y \sin t - 1}{\varphi(t; x, y, z)} \, dt, \]

where

\[ \varphi(t; x, y, z) = (x^2 + y^2 + (z + 3)^2 - 2x \cos t - 2y \sin t + 1)^{3/2}. \]

These components can be expressed by the elliptic functions.

Analogously, \( C2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1, z = 3 \) must be parametrized by the equations \( x = \cos t, y = -\sin t, z = 3, t \in [0, 2\pi], \) having the tangent versor \( \vec{v} = -\sin t \hat{i} - \cos t \hat{j} \). The magnetic field \( \vec{H}_{C2} \) around \( C2 \) has the components

\[ H_x = -(z - 3) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\cos t}{\psi(t; x, y, z)} \, dt \]

\[ H_y = (z - 3) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\sin t}{\psi(t; x, y, z)} \, dt \]

\[ H_z = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{x \cos t - y \sin t - 1}{\psi(t; x, y, z)} \, dt, \]

where

\[ \psi(t; x, y, z) = (x^2 + y^2 + (z - 3)^2 - 2x \cos t + 2y \sin t + 1)^{3/2}. \]

Also, these components can be written using elliptic functions.

Instead of using elliptic functions, we prefer the numerical estimations of the integrals in two ways:

- by the trapezoidal rule with 13 points;
by the Gauss quadrature formula with 3 points.

The numerical procedures for evaluating the previous integrals (with parameters)
did not alterate the quality of representing an irrotational and solenoidal magnetic
field.

We start with trapezoidal rule using the division of [0, 2π] in twelve subintervals
as in the table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>π/6</th>
<th>π/3</th>
<th>π/2</th>
<th>2π/3</th>
<th>5π/6</th>
<th>π</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cos t</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>√3/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>-√3/2</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sin t</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>√3/2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>√3/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>7π/6</td>
<td>4π/3</td>
<td>3π/2</td>
<td>5π/3</td>
<td>11π/6</td>
<td>2π</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cos t</td>
<td>-√3/2</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>√3/2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sin t</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>-√3/2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-√3/2</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This produces the following approximation of the magnetic field around the mirror
coil:

\[
H_x = \frac{\pi}{6}(z + 3) \left[ \frac{1}{(x^2 + y^2 + (z + 3)^2 - 2x + 1)^{3/2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{11} \frac{\cos t_j}{(x^2 + y^2 + (z + 3)^2 - 2x \cos t_j - 2y \sin t_j + 1)^{3/2}} \right] - \frac{\pi}{6}(z - 3) \left[ \frac{1}{(x^2 + y^2 + (z - 3)^2 - 2x + 1)^{3/2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{11} \frac{-\cos t_j}{(x^2 + y^2 + (z - 3)^2 - 2x \cos t_j + 2y \sin t_j + 1)^{3/2}} \right],
\]

\[
H_y = \frac{\pi}{6}(z + 3) \sum_{j=1}^{11} \frac{\sin t_j}{(x^2 + y^2 + (z + 3)^2 - 2x \cos t_j - 2y \sin t_j + 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{\pi}{6}(z - 3) \sum_{j=1}^{11} \frac{\sin t_j}{(x^2 + y^2 + (z - 3)^2 - 2x \cos t_j + 2y \sin t_j + 1)^{3/2}},
\]

\[
H_z = -\frac{\pi}{6} \left[ \frac{x - 1}{(x^2 + y^2 + (z + 3)^2 - 2x + 1)^{3/2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{11} \frac{x \cos t_j + y \sin t_j - 1}{(x^2 + y^2 + (z + 3)^2 - 2x \cos t_j - 2y \sin t_j + 1)^{3/2}} \right] + \frac{\pi}{6} \left[ \frac{x - 1}{(x^2 + y^2 + (z - 3)^2 - 2x + 1)^{3/2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{11} \frac{x \cos t_j - y \sin t_j - 1}{(x^2 + y^2 + (z - 3)^2 - 2x \cos t_j + 2y \sin t_j + 1)^{3/2}} \right].
\]
By addition we obtain an approximation $\vec{H}_T$, of the total magnetic field around Ioffe–Ştefânescu configuration $l_1 \, U_1 \, l_2 \, U_2 \, l_3 \, U_3 \, l_4 \, U_4 \, l_5 \, U_5 \, l_6 \, U_6 \, C1 \, U \, C2$. The magnetic field $\vec{H}_T$ has a nonzero minima in the interior of the configuration, and a nonzero saddle-critical value in the center of configuration (Figs.4.5).

Alternatively, let us use the Gauss quadrature formula with 3 points,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} u(t)dt = \pi \int_{-1}^{1} u(\pi(1 + s))ds =$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{9} \left[ 5u(t_1) + 8u(t_2) + 5u(t_3) \right],$$

$$t_1 = \pi \left(1 - \sqrt{3}/5\right), \quad t_2 = \pi, \quad t_3 = \pi \left(1 + \sqrt{3}/5\right),$$

for the approximate evaluation of the magnetic field around the mirror coil. We find

$$H_x = \frac{\pi(z + 3)}{9} \left[ \frac{5 \cos t_1}{\varphi(t_1; x, y, z)} - \frac{8}{\varphi(\pi; x, y, z)} + \frac{5 \cos t_3}{\varphi(t_3; x, y, z)} \right] -$$

$$- \frac{\pi(z - 3)}{9} \left[ \frac{5 \cos t_1}{\psi(t_1; x, y, z)} - \frac{8}{\psi(\pi; x, y, z)} + \frac{5 \cos t_3}{\psi(t_3; x, y, z)} \right],$$

$$H_y = \frac{5\pi(z + 3)}{9} \left[ \frac{\sin t_1}{\varphi(t_1; x, y, z)} + \frac{\sin t_3}{\varphi(t_3; x, y, z)} \right] +$$

$$+ \frac{5\pi(z - 3)}{9} \left[ \frac{\sin t_1}{\psi(t_1; x, y, z)} + \frac{\sin t_3}{\psi(t_3; x, y, z)} \right] ,$$

$$H_z = -\frac{\pi}{9} \left[ \frac{5 x \cos t_1 + y \sin t_1 - 1}{\varphi(t_1; x, y, z)} - \frac{8 x + 1}{\varphi(\pi; x, y, z)} + \frac{5 x \cos t_3 + y \sin t_3 - 1}{\varphi(t_3; x, y, z)} \right] +$$

$$+ \frac{\pi}{9} \left[ \frac{5 x \cos t_1 - y \sin t_1 - 1}{\psi(t_1; x, y, z)} - \frac{8 x + 1}{\psi(\pi; x, y, z)} + \frac{5 x \cos t_3 - y \sin t_3 - 1}{\psi(t_3; x, y, z)} \right].$$

By addition we obtain another approximation $\vec{H}_{G_a}$ of the total magnetic field around Ioffe–Ştefânescu configuration $l_1 \, U_1 \, l_2 \, U_2 \, l_3 \, U_3 \, l_4 \, U_4 \, l_5 \, U_5 \, l_6 \, U_6 \, C1 \, U \, C2$. The magnetic field $\vec{H}_{G_a}$ has a nonzero minima in the interior of the configuration, and a nonzero saddle-critical value in the center of configuration (Figs.6.14).

3 Magnetic Geometric Dynamics

The continuous and discrete single-time geometric dynamics is based on a new variant of Lorentz law discovered by us [35], [43], [38], [40]:

- A vector field and a Riemannian metric produce a dynamics (single-time geometric dynamics), described by the "rot" of the field and the "grad" of the energy density, whose trajectories are harmonic maps including the trajectories of the vector field.
Consequently, if we want to refer to the dynamical properties of a vector field we must have in mind that the vector field is not alone, but it is accompanied by the geometrical structure of the space, both producing the energy density and the "rot".

Particularly, the continuous and discrete single-time geometric magnetic dynamics is produced on \((\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma, \delta_{ij})\) by the total magnetic field \(\mathcal{H} = (H_1, H_2, H_3)\).

The continuous magnetic geometric dynamics is described by the DEs system

\[
\frac{d^2 x_i}{dt^2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}, 
\]

where \(f = \frac{1}{2}(H_1^2 + H_2^2 + H_3^2)\) is the density of magnetic energy.

**Theorem.** 1) The DEs system (1) describes the extremals of the Lagrangians

\[
L_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\frac{dx_i}{dt})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{3} H_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} + f, \\
L_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\frac{dx_i}{dt})^2 + f
\]

as potential maps of the Riemann manifold \((\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3, 1 + \delta)\).

2) The Lagrangians \(L_1\) and \(L_2\) produce the same Hamiltonian

\[
\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\frac{dx_i}{dt})^2 - f.
\]

3) The Lagrangian \(L_1\) defines the generalized impulses

\[
p_i = \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial y_i} = y_i - H_i, \\
y_i = \frac{dx_i}{dt},
\]

and consequently \(\mathcal{H}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ij} p_i p_j + \delta^{ij} p_i H_j\).

The Lagrangian \(L_2\) defines the generalized impulses

\[
p'_i = \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial y_i} = y_i,
\]

and consequently

\[
\mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ij} p'_i p'_j - f.
\]

4) The Hamiltonian vector fields

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_1}{\partial p_k} \\
-\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_1}{\partial x_k}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
p_k + H_k \\
-\delta^{ij} p_i \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial x_k}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_2}{\partial p'_k} \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_2}{\partial x'_k}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
p'_k \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x'_k}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
are related via the diffeomorphism

\[ x'_i = x_i, \quad p'_i = p_i + H_i. \]

**Proof.** 1) The basic relation that transforms the Euler-Lagrange equations

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial y_k} = 0, \; k = 1, \ldots, n, \; y_k = \frac{dx_k}{dt}, \] in the equations (1) is \( \text{rot} \; \vec{H} = 0. \)

2) We use the formula \( \mathcal{H} = y^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_i} - L. \)

4) It is enough to check the formula of changing the components of a vector field when we change the coordinates:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\delta_{ji} & 0 \\
\frac{\partial H_j}{\partial x_i} & \delta_{ji}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
p_j + H_j \\
- \sum_k p^k \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial x_j}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
p_i + H_i \\
\sum_j (p_j + H_j) \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial x_i} - \sum_k p^k \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial x_i}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
p'_i \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x'_i}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let us accept that the discrete magnetic geometric dynamics is governed by the discrete Lagrangian

\[ L_d(x[k-1], x[k]) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (x_i[k] - x_i[k-1])^2 - h \sum_{i=1}^{3} H_i \left( \frac{x[k] + x[k-1]}{2} \right) (x_i[k] - x_i[k-1]) + h^2 f \left( \frac{x[k] + x[k-1]}{2} \right). \]

Using the general form of the variational integrator [43], we write the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations as follows

\[ x_i[k+1] = x_i[k] + \frac{h}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{3} \frac{\partial H_s}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{x[k+1] + x[k]}{2} \right) (x_s[k+1] - x_s[k]) - h H_i \left( \frac{x[k+1] + x[k]}{2} \right) - \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{x[k+1] + x[k]}{2} \right) - A_i[k] = 0, \]

where the term \( A_i[k] \) has the following expression

\[ A_i[k] = x_i[k] - x_i[k-1] - \frac{h}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{3} \frac{\partial H_s}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{x[k] + x[k-1]}{2} \right) (x_s[k] - x_s[k-1]) - h H_i \left( \frac{x[k] + x[k-1]}{2} \right) + \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{x[k] + x[k-1]}{2} \right). \]
Of course, if we denote
\[
\varphi_i(u) = u_i - x_i[k] + \frac{h}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{3} \frac{\partial H_s}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u + x_i[k]}{2} \right) \left( u_s - x_s[k] \right) - \frac{h H_i}{2} \left( \frac{u + x_i[k]}{2} \right) - \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u + x_i[k]}{2} \right) - A_i[k],
\]
the discrete solution is obtained by solving at each step \( k \), the system of equations \( \varphi_i(u) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3 \), via Newton method. With MAPLE 6, we can plot the discrete orbits \( \{x[k]\} \subset R^3 \), the discrete Poincaré projections
\[
\left( \frac{x_i[k + 1] + x_i[k]}{2}, \frac{x_i[k + 1] - x_i[k]}{h} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3
\]
and the graph \((k, \mathcal{H}[k])\) of the discrete Hamiltonian energy
\[
\mathcal{H} = h^2 \mathcal{H}_d(x[k - 1], x[k]) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (x_i[k] - x_i[k - 1])^2 - h^2 f \left( \frac{x[k] + x[k - 1]}{2} \right).
\]

Now let us accept that the discrete magnetic geometric dynamics is governed by the discrete Lagrangian
\[
L_d(x[k - 1], x[k]) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (x_i[k] - x_i[k - 1])^2 + h^2 f \left( \frac{x[k] + x[k - 1]}{2} \right).
\]
Using the general form of the variational integrator [43], we write the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations as follows
\[
x_i[k + 1] - x_i[k] - \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{x[k + 1] + x[k]}{2} \right) - A_i[k] = 0,
\]
where the term \( A_i[k] \) has the following expression
\[
A_i[k] = x_i[k] - x_i[k - 1] + \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{x[k] + x[k - 1]}{2} \right).
\]
Of course, if we denote
\[
\varphi_i(u) = u_i - x_i[k] + \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u + x_i[k]}{2} \right) - A_i[k],
\]
the discrete solution is obtained by solving at each step \( k \), the system of equations \( \varphi_i(u) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3 \), via Newton method. With MAPLE 6, we can plot the discrete orbits \( \{x[k]\} \subset R^3 \), the discrete Poincaré projections
\[
\left( \frac{x_i[k + 1] + x_i[k]}{2}, \frac{x_i[k + 1] - x_i[k]}{h} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3
\]
and the graph \((k, \mathcal{H}[k])\) of the discrete Hamiltonian energy
\[
\mathcal{H} = h^2 \mathcal{H}_d(x[k - 1], x[k]) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (x_i[k] - x_i[k - 1])^2 - h^2 f \left( \frac{x[k] + x[k - 1]}{2} \right).
\]
4 Simulation with MAPLE 6

The intention of this section is to illustrate the morphology of the magnetic field $\mathbf{H}_T$, respectively $\mathbf{H}_G$, which approximate the total magnetic field around the Ioffe-Ștefănescu configuration, using a specialized MAPLE 6 software realized in our Laboratory of Mathematical Visualization and Computer Graphics. All the simulation confirm and highlights the ideas of Ioffe-Ștefănescu, putting the reader at the forefront of current research in Magnetic Geometric Dynamics via computer experiments and computer graphics. They refer to phase portrait, level sets of density of magnetic energy trajectories in the magnetic geometric dynamics, Poincaré sections, and fields surfaces for $\mathbf{H}_T$, and $\mathbf{H}_G$ (the results contained in Figs.2-20 belong to this Section).
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FIG. 3. IOFFE-STEFDANESCU MAGNETIC PHASE PORTRAIT (THREE POINTS GAUSS APPROXIMATION)
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stepsize=.1,linestyle=4, method=rkf45,linecolor=t,maxfun=30000)

FIG. 4. LEVEL=.01 SET OF IOFFE-STEFDANESCU ENERGY DENSITY (TRAPEZOIDAL APPROXIMATION)

implicitplot3d(f=.01, x=-0.5..0.5, y=-0.5..0.5, z=-0.5..0.5, grid=[25,25,25])
FIG. 5. LEVEL = 1 SET OF IOFFE-STEФANESCУ ENERGY DENSITY (TRAPEZOIDAL APPROXIMATION)

\texttt{implicitplot3d(f=1, x=-0.5..0.5, y=-0.7..0.7, z=-3.5..3.5, grid=[25,25,25])}

FIG. 6. LEVEL = 1 SET OF IOFFE-STEФANESCУ ENERGY DENSITY (THREE POINTS GAUSS APPROXIMATION)

\texttt{implicitplot3d(f=1, x=-0.7..0.7, y=-0.7..0.7, z=-2.5..2.5, grid=[25,25,25])}
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FIG. 7. LEVEL = 0.05 SET OF IOFFE-STEFAŃESCU ENERGY DENSITY (THREE POINTS GAUSS APPROXIMATION)

`implicitplot3d(f = .05, x = -0.7..0.7, y = -0.7..0.7, z = -0.5..0.5, grid = [25, 25, 25])`

FIG. 8. GRAPH OF f(x,0,0)
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FIG. 11. GRAPH OF $f(x,y,0)$

\begin{verbatim}
plot3d(f(x,y,0), x=-0.5..0.5, y=-0.5..0.5)
\end{verbatim}

FIG. 12. LEVEL CURVES OF $f(x,y,0)$

\begin{verbatim}
implicitplot(f(x,y,0)=20, x=-1.5..1.5, y=-1.5..1.5)
\end{verbatim}
FIG. 13. LEVEL CURVES OF $f(x,y,0)$

$\text{contourplot}(f(x,y,0), x=-200..200, y=-250..250, \text{contours}=20)$

FIG. 14. LEVEL CURVES OF $f(x,y,0)$

$\text{contourplot}(f(x,y,0), x=-100..100, y=-250..250, \text{contours}=20)$
Fig. 15. Trapezoidal approximation; poincare(H,t=-10..10, {0,1,-1,0,0,0,1.5}, stepsize=0.1, iterations=30)

Fig. 16. Trapezoidal approximation; poincare(H,t=-10..10, {0,1,2,1,0,0,1.5}, stepsize=0.1, iterations=30,3)
Fig. 17. Three points Gauss approximation; poincare \( H, t = -1.1, \{0,1,1,0,0,0,-3\}\),
stepsize=0.05, iterations=30

Fig. 18. Three points Gauss approximation; poincare \( H, t = -1.5..1.5, \{0,1,1,1,0,0,3\}\),
stepsize=0.05, iterations=30,3
FIG. 19. IOFFE-STEFAQESCU MAGNETIC SURFACE: $u(x,y,z)=0$
(TRAPEZOIDAL APPROXIMATION)
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numsteps=[20,20], stepsize=.15, initcolor=t

FIG. 20. IOFFE-STEFAQESCU MAGNETIC SURFACE: $u(x,y,z)=0$
(THREE POINTS GAUSS APPROXIMATION)

ics:=[1.5*cos(t),1.5*sin(t),s,t], t=-Pi..Pi, s=-4.4, numchar=[15,15], iterations=50,
numsteps=[20,20], stepsize=.001, initcolor=t