

EXTREMA OF p -ENERGY FUNCTIONAL ON A FINSLER MANIFOLD

C. Udriște and M. Neagu

Abstract

In §1 the authors present the basic properties of the scalar product along a curve on a Finsler manifold. In §2 they investigate the variational formulae for the p -energy functional ($p \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$). This concept generalises the notions of length ($p = 1$) and energy ($p = 2$) of a curve. §3 analyses the extrema of p -energy when the Finsler space has constant curvature.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C60, 58E10, 49Q20

Key words: Finsler manifold, p -energy, first and second variation, extrema, geodesics, Jacobi fields.

§1. Preliminaries

Let (M, F) be a connected n -dimensional Finsler manifold whose fundamental function $F : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ verifies the following axioms:

(F1) $F(x, y) > 0; \forall x \in M, \forall y \neq 0$.

(F2) $F(x, \lambda y) = |\lambda|F(x, y); \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \forall (x, y) \in TM$.

(F3) the fundamental tensor $g_{ij}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$ is positive definite.

(F4) F is C^∞ at every point $(x, y) \in TM$ with $y \neq 0$ and continuous at every $(x, 0) \in TM$. Then, the absolute Finsler energy is $F^2(x, y) = g_{ij}(x, y)y^i y^j$.

Let $c : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a C^∞ regular curve on M . For any two vector fields $X(t) = X^i(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{c(t)}$, $Y(t) = Y^i(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{c(t)}$ along the curve c , we introduce [1], [6]

the scalar product $g(X, Y)(c(t)) = g_{ij}(c(t), \dot{c}(t))X^i(t)Y^j(t)$ along the curve c .

Remarks. i) If $X = Y$, then we obtain $\|X\| = \sqrt{g(X, X)}$.

ii) The vector fields X and Y are orthogonal along the curve c and we write $X \perp Y$ iff $g(X, Y) = 0$.

Editor Gr.Tsagas *Proceedings of the Workshop on Global Analysis, Differential Geometry and Lie Algebras, 1996*, 175-184

©1999 Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press

Let $CT(N) = (L_{jk}^i, N_j^i, C_{jk}^i)$ be the *Cartan canonical N -linear connection* determined by the fundamental tensor $g_{ij}(x, y)$. The coefficients of this connection are expressed by

$$L_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} g^{im} \left(\frac{\delta g_{mk}}{\delta x^j} + \frac{\delta g_{jm}}{\delta x^k} - \frac{\delta g_{jk}}{\delta x^m} \right), \quad C_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} g^{im} \left(\frac{\partial g_{mk}}{\partial y^j} + \frac{\partial g_{jm}}{\partial y^k} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial y^m} \right),$$

$$N_j^i = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} (\Gamma_{ki}^l y^k y^l) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^i}{\partial y^j}, \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} g^{im} \left(\frac{\partial g_{mk}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{jm}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^m} \right),$$

where $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + N_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}$.

Let X be a vector field along the curve c expressed locally by $X(t) = X^i(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{c(t)}$. Using the Cartan N -linear connection we define the *covariant derivative along the curve c* , by

$$\frac{\nabla X}{dt} = \left\{ \frac{dX^i}{dt} + X^m \left[L_{mk}^i(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) \frac{dc^k}{dt} + C_{mk}^i(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) \frac{\delta}{dt} \left(\frac{dc^k}{dt} \right) \right] \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{c(t)}.$$

Since $\frac{\delta}{dt} \left(\frac{dc^k}{dt} \right) = \frac{d^2 c^k}{dt^2} + N_l^k(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) \frac{dc^l}{dt}$ we obtain

$$\frac{\nabla X}{dt} = \left\{ \frac{dX^i}{dt} + X^m \left[\Gamma_{mk}^i(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) \frac{dc^k}{dt} + C_{mk}^i(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) \frac{d^2 c^k}{dt^2} \right] \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{c(t)},$$

where $\Gamma_{mk}^i(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) = L_{mk}^i(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) + C_{mi}^k(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) N_k^l(c(t), \dot{c}(t))$.

Remarks. i) c is a *geodesic* iff $\frac{\nabla \dot{c}}{dt} = 0$.

ii) Since $CT(N)$ is a metrical connection we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} [g(X, Y)] = g \left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}, Y \right) + g \left(X, \frac{\nabla Y}{dt} \right).$$

§2. Variations and extrema of p -energy functional

Let $x_0, x_1 \in M$ be two points not necessarily distinct. We denote

$\Omega \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} \{c: [0, 1] \rightarrow M \mid c \text{ is piecewise } C^\infty \text{ regular curve, } c(0) = x_0, c(1) = x_1\}$.

For every $p \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ we define the p -energy functional $E_p: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$E_p(c) = \int_0^1 \left[g_{ij}(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) \frac{dc^i}{dt} \frac{dc^j}{dt} \right]^{p/2} dt = \int_0^1 [g(\dot{c}, \dot{c})]^{p/2} dt = \int_0^1 \|\dot{c}\|^p dt.$$

Remarks. i) This general functional was studied for the first time by Udriște [7]-[10] on Riemannian manifolds. In their papers [2], [3], de Cecco and Palmieri study the same functional for $p \in (1, \infty)$, but from a topological point of view, ignoring the geometrical structure.

ii) For $p = 1$ we obtain the length functional $L(c) = \int_0^1 \|\dot{c}\| dt$, and for $p = 2$ we

obtain the energy functional $E(c) = \int_0^1 \|\dot{c}\|^2 dt$.

iii) For any naturally parametrized curve (i.e., $\|\dot{c}\| = \text{constant}$) we have $E_p(c) = (L(c))^p = (E(c))^{p/2}$.

iv) The p -energy of a curve is dependent of parametrization if $p \neq 1$.

For every $c \in \Omega$ we denote $T_c\Omega = \{X : [0, 1] \rightarrow TM|X \text{ is continuous, piecewise } C^\infty, X(t) \in T_{c(t)}M, \forall t \in [0, 1], X(0) = X(1) = 0\}$. Let $(c_s)_{s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)} \subset \Omega$ be one parameter variation of the curve $c \in \Omega$. We denote $X(t) = \frac{dc_s}{ds}(0, t) \in T_c\Omega$. Using

the equality $g\left(\frac{\nabla \dot{c}_s}{\partial s}, \dot{c}_s\right) = g\left(\frac{\nabla}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial c_s}{\partial s}\right), \dot{c}_s\right)$ we can prove the following

Theorem. *The first variation of the p -energy is*

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{dE_p(c_s)}{ds}(0) = - \sum_t g(X, \Delta_t(\|\dot{c}\|^{p-2}\dot{c})) - \int_0^1 \|\dot{c}\|^{p-4} g\left(X, \|\dot{c}\|^2 \frac{\nabla \dot{c}}{dt} + (p-2)g\left(\frac{\nabla \dot{c}}{dt}, \dot{c}\right)\dot{c}\right) dt,$$

where $\Delta_t(\|\dot{c}\|^{p-2}\dot{c}) = (\|\dot{c}\|^{p-2}\dot{c})_{t+} - (\|\dot{c}\|^{p-2}\dot{c})_{t-}$ represents the jump of $\|\dot{c}\|^{p-2}\dot{c}$ at the discontinuity point $t \in (0, 1)$.

Corollary. *The curve c is a critical point of E_p iff c is a geodesic.*

Remark. For $p = 1$ the curve c is a reparametrized geodesic.

Now, let $c \in \Omega$ be a critical point for E_p (i.e. the curve c is a geodesic). Let $(c_{s_1 s_2})_{s_1, s_2 \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)} \subset \Omega$ be a two parameter variation of c . Using the notations:

$X(t) = \frac{\partial c_{s_1 s_2}}{\partial s_1}(0, 0, t) \in T_c\Omega$, $Y(t) = \frac{\partial c_{s_1 s_2}}{\partial s_2}(0, 0, t) \in T_c\Omega$, $\|\dot{c}\| = v = \text{constant}$ and

$I_p(X, Y) = \frac{\partial^2 E_p(c_{s_1 s_2})}{\partial s_1 \partial s_2}(0, 0)$, we obtain the following

Theorem. *The second variation of the p -energy is*

$$\frac{1}{pv^{p-4}} I_p(X, Y) = - \sum_t g\left(Y, v^2 \Delta_t\left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}\right) + (p-2)g\left(\Delta_t\left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}\right), \dot{c}\right)\dot{c}\right) - \int_0^1 g\left(Y, v^2 \left[\frac{\nabla \nabla X}{dt dt} + R^2(X, \dot{c})\dot{c}\right] + (p-2)g\left(\left[\frac{\nabla \nabla X}{dt dt} + R^2(X, \dot{c})\dot{c}\right], \dot{c}\right)\dot{c}\right) dt,$$

where $\Delta_t\left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}\right) = \left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}\right)_{t+} - \left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}\right)_{t-}$ represents the jump of $\frac{\nabla X}{dt}$ at the discontinuity point $t \in (0, 1)$ and, if $R^l_{ijk}(c(t), \dot{c}(t))$ represents the components of Finsler h -curvature, then

$$R^2(X, \dot{c})\dot{c} = R^l_{ijk}(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) \frac{dc^i}{dt} \frac{dc^j}{dt} X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} = R^l_{jk}(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) \frac{dc^j}{dt} X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}.$$

Remark. It is well known that we have

$$R_{jk}^i = \frac{\delta N_j^i}{\delta x^k} - \frac{\delta N_k^i}{\delta x^j}, \quad R_{hjk}^i = \frac{\delta L_{hj}^i}{\delta x^k} - \frac{\delta L_{hk}^i}{\delta x^j} + L_{hj}^s L_{sk}^i - L_{hk}^s L_{sj}^i + C_{hs}^i R_{jk}^s.$$

Moreover, using the Ricci identities for the deflection tensors, we also have

$$R_{jk}^i = R_{mjk}^i y^m = R_{0jk}^i.$$

Corollary. $I_p(X, Y) = 0; \forall Y \in T_c \Omega \Leftrightarrow X$ is a Jacobi field in the sense of Matsumoto (i.e. $\frac{\nabla}{dt} \frac{\nabla X}{dt} + R^2(X, \dot{c})\dot{c} = 0$. See [6], pp. 289).

In these conditions we have the following

Definition. A point $c(b)$, $0 \leq a < b < 1$, of a geodesic $c \in \Omega$ is called a *conjugate point* of a point $c(a)$ along the curve c , if there exists a non-zero Jacobi field which vanishes at $t \in \{a, b\}$.

Now, integrating by parts and using the property of metrical connection we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{pv^{p-4}} I_p(X, Y) &= \int_0^1 \left\{ v^2 \left[g \left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}, \frac{\nabla Y}{dt} \right) - R^2(X, \dot{c}, Y, \dot{c}) \right] + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (p-2) g \left(\dot{c}, \frac{\nabla X}{dt} \right) g \left(\dot{c}, \frac{\nabla Y}{dt} \right) \right\} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $R^2(X, \dot{c}, Y, \dot{c}) = g(R^2(Y, \dot{c})\dot{c}, X) = R_{0i0j}(c(t), \dot{c}(t))X^i Y^j$.

Remark. Let $R_{ijk} = g_{jm} R_{ik}^m$. In any Finsler space it is satisfied the identity,

$$R_{ijk} + R_{jki} + R_{kij} = 0,$$

obtained by the Bianchi identities. Because $R_{0i0j} = R_{i0j} = R_{j0i} = R_{0j0i}$ we obtain $R^2(X, \dot{c}, Y, \dot{c}) = R^2(Y, \dot{c}, X, \dot{c})$.

The quadratic form associated to the Hessian of the p -energy is

$$\begin{aligned} I_p(X) \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} I_p(X, X) &= \int_0^1 \left\{ v^2 \left[\left\| \frac{\nabla X}{dt} \right\|^2 - R^2(X, \dot{c}, X, \dot{c}) \right] + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (p-2) \left[g \left(\dot{c}, \frac{\nabla X}{dt} \right) \right]^2 \right\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. Let $T_c^\perp \Omega = \{X \in T_c \Omega | g(X, \dot{c}) = 0\}$ and $T_c' \Omega = \{X \in T_c \Omega | X = f\dot{c}, \text{ where } f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous, piecewise } C^\infty, f(0) = f(1) = 0\}$. Then
i) $T_c \Omega = T_c^\perp \Omega \oplus T_c' \Omega$; ii) $I_p(T_c^\perp \Omega, T_c' \Omega) = 0$.

Proof. ii) Let $X \in T_c^\perp \Omega$ and $Y = f\dot{c} \in T_c' \Omega$. Since $X \perp \dot{c}$ and c is a geodesic, we have $g\left(\dot{c}, \frac{\nabla X}{dt}\right) = 0$. In these conditions it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{pv^{p-4}} I_p(X, f\dot{c}) &= \int_0^1 \left\{ v^2 \left[g\left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}, f'\dot{c}\right) - R^2(X, \dot{c}, f\dot{c}, \dot{c}) \right] + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (p-2)g\left(\dot{c}, \frac{\nabla X}{dt}\right)g(\dot{c}, f'\dot{c}) \right\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $I_p(X, f\dot{c}) = 0$. \square

Remark. According to the preceding lemma, the spaces $T_c^\perp \Omega$ and $T_c' \Omega$ are orthogonal with respect to the bilinear form I_p and consequently, the study of the signature of the quadratic form I_p is reduced to the study of signatures of its restrictions to $T_c' \Omega$ and $T_c^\perp \Omega$.

Proposition 1. Let c be a geodesic and $p \in R - \{0, 1\}$. Then

i) $I_p(T_c' \Omega) \geq 0$ for $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$,

ii) $I_p(T_c' \Omega) \leq 0$ for $p \in (0, 1)$.

Moreover, in both cases: $I_p(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow X = 0$.

Proof. Let $X = f\dot{c} \in T_c' \Omega$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{v^{p-4}} I_p(X) &= p \int_0^1 \left\{ v^2 [g(f'\dot{c}, f'\dot{c}) - R^2(f\dot{c}, \dot{c}, f\dot{c}, \dot{c})] + (p-2)[g(\dot{c}, f'\dot{c})]^2 \right\} dt = \\ &= p \int_0^1 [v^4(f')^2 + (p-2)v^4(f')^2] dt = \int_0^1 p(p-1)v^4(f')^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $I_p(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f' = 0 \Leftrightarrow f$ is constant. The conditions $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ imply $f = 0$. \square

Because $I_p(T_c' \Omega)$ is positive definite for $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$ and negative definite for $p \in (0, 1)$, it is sufficient to study the behaviour of I_p restricted to $T_c^\perp \Omega$. Since $X \perp \dot{c}$ and the curve c is a geodesic it follows $g\left(\dot{c}, \frac{\nabla X}{dt}\right) = 0$. Hence, for all $X \in T_c^\perp \Omega$, we have

$$\frac{1}{pv^{p-2}} I_p(X) = \int_0^1 \left[\left\| \frac{\nabla X}{dt} \right\|^2 - R^2(X, \dot{c}, X, \dot{c}) \right] dt \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} I(X).$$

Lemma 2. The following statements are equivalent:

- i) the curve c has no conjugate points to $x_0 = c(0)$,
- ii) $I|_{T_c^\perp \Omega}$ is positive definite.

Proof. The proof of the lemma follows closely the proof of Kobayashi for the case of a Riemannian manifold (See [4], vol 2, pp 72-76).

i) \Rightarrow ii). Let $J_{c(0)}^\perp = \{X : [0, 1] \rightarrow TM \mid X(t) \in T_{c(t)}M, \forall t \in [0, 1], X \text{ is Jacobi field, } X(0) = 0, X \perp \dot{c}\}$. Then $\dim_R J_{c(0)}^\perp = n - 1$, where $n = \dim M$. Let $\{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{n-1}\}$ be a basis in $J_{c(0)}^\perp$. Since the geodesic c has no conjugate point to $x_0 = c(0)$ it follows that $\{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{n-1}\} \subset T_c^\perp \Omega$ is a basis for $T_c^\perp \Omega$.

Let $X \in T_0^1\Omega$. There exist the functions $f_1(t), f_2(t), \dots, f_{n-1}(t)$ such that $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i Y_i$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & g\left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}, \frac{\nabla X}{dt}\right) - R^2(X, \dot{c}, X, \dot{c}) = \\ & g\left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}, \frac{\nabla X}{dt}\right) - \sum_i f_i g(R^2(Y_i, \dot{c}), X) = g\left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}, \frac{\nabla X}{dt}\right) + \sum_i f_i g\left(\frac{\nabla \nabla Y_i}{dt}, X\right) = \\ & = g\left(\sum_i f_i' Y_i, \sum_j f_j' Y_j\right) + 2g\left(\sum_i f_i' Y_i, \sum_j f_j \frac{\nabla Y_j}{dt}\right) + \\ & + g\left(\sum_i f_i \frac{\nabla Y_i}{dt}, \sum_j f_j \frac{\nabla Y_j}{dt}\right) + g\left(\sum_i f_i \frac{\nabla \nabla Y_i}{dt}, \sum_j f_j Y_j\right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[g\left(\sum_i f_i Y_i, \sum_j f_j \frac{\nabla Y_j}{dt}\right) \right] = g\left(\sum_i f_i' Y_i, \sum_j f_j \frac{\nabla Y_j}{dt}\right) + \\ & + g\left(\sum_i f_i \frac{\nabla Y_i}{dt}, \sum_j f_j \frac{\nabla Y_j}{dt}\right) + g\left(\sum_i f_i Y_i, \sum_j f_j' \frac{\nabla Y_j}{dt}\right) + \\ & + g\left(\sum_i f_i Y_i, \sum_j f_j \frac{\nabla \nabla Y_j}{dt}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these equalities we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & g\left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}, \frac{\nabla X}{dt}\right) - g(R^2(X, \dot{c}), X) = g\left(\sum_i f_i' Y_i, \sum_j f_j' Y_j\right) + \\ & + \frac{d}{dt} \left[g\left(\sum_i f_i Y_i, \sum_j f_j \frac{\nabla Y_j}{dt}\right) \right] + g\left(\sum_i f_i' Y_i, \sum_j f_j \frac{\nabla Y_j}{dt}\right) - \\ & - g\left(\sum_i f_i Y_i, \sum_j f_j \frac{\nabla Y_j}{dt}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Because we have $R^2(X, \dot{c}, Y, \dot{c}) = R^2(Y, \dot{c}, X, \dot{c})$, any two Jacobi fields X and Y such that $X(0) = Y(0) = 0$ satisfy $g\left(X, \frac{\nabla Y}{dt}\right) = g\left(\frac{\nabla X}{dt}, Y\right)$. Particularly, $g\left(Y_i, \frac{\nabla Y_j}{dt}\right) = g\left(\frac{\nabla Y_i}{dt}, Y_j\right)$. In these conditions we have

$$g \left(\sum_i f'_i Y_i, \sum_j f_j \frac{\nabla Y_j}{dt} \right) - g \left(\sum_j f_j Y_j, \sum_i f'_i \frac{\nabla Y_i}{dt} \right) = 0,$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(X) &= \int_0^1 g \left(\sum_i f'_i Y_i, \sum_j f'_j Y_j \right) dt + g \left(\sum_i f_i Y_i, \sum_j f_j \frac{\nabla Y_j}{dt} \right) \Big|_{t=1} = \\ &= \int_0^1 g \left(\sum_i f'_i Y_i, \sum_j f'_j Y_j \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have $I(X) = 0$ iff $f'_i = 0, \forall i = \overline{1, n-1}$ iff X is a Jacobi field. Since the geodesic c has no conjugate points it follows $X = 0$.

ii) \Rightarrow i). We assume that $\exists x_{t_0} = c(t_0)$, a point which is conjugate to $x_0 = c(0)$ and $t_0 \in (0, 1)$. Then $\exists Y$ a nonzero Jacobi field such that $Y(0) = Y(t_0) = 0$. Let U be a sufficiently small convex neighborhood and let $\delta > 0$ such that $c(t_0 - \delta), c(t_0 + \delta) \in U$. Then there exists a unique Jacobi field W determined by the boundary values $W(t_0 - \delta) = Y(t_0 + \delta)$ and $W(t_0 + \delta) = 0$. The vector field X is defined along c by

$$X = \begin{cases} Y & \text{from } c(0) \text{ to } c(t_0 - \delta) \\ W & \text{from } c(t_0 - \delta) \text{ to } c(t_0 + \delta) \\ 0 & \text{from } c(t_0 + \delta) \text{ to } c(1). \end{cases}$$

Denoting $I_a^b(X) = \int_a^b \left(\left\| \frac{\nabla X}{dt} \right\|^2 - R^2(X, \dot{c}, X, \dot{c}) \right) dt$ and using results from the proof

i) \Rightarrow ii) we obtain $0 = I_0^{t_0}(Y) = I_0^{t_0-\delta}(Y) + I_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0}(Y)$, since Y is a Jacobi field. In conclusion: $I(X) = I(X) - I_0^{t_0}(Y) = I_0^{t_0-\delta}(Y) + I_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}(W) - I_0^{t_0-\delta}(Y) - I_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0}(Y) = I_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}(W) - I_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0}(Y)$. Let

$$\bar{Y} = \begin{cases} Y & \text{from } c(t_0 - \delta) \text{ to } c(t_0) \\ 0 & \text{from } c(t_0) \text{ to } c(t_0 + \delta) \end{cases}$$

be a piecewise Jacobi field. Then $I_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}(W) < I_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}(\bar{Y}) = I_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0}(Y)$ implies $I(X) < 0$, contradiction. \square

With Lemma 2 and the relation between I_p and I we have

Proposition 2. Let $c \in \Omega$ be a geodesic and $p \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, 1\}$.

- i) If c has no conjugate points to $x_0 = c(0)$, then $I_p(T_c^\perp \Omega) \geq 0$ for $p \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ and $I_p(T_c^\perp \Omega) \leq 0$ for $p \in (-\infty, 0)$. Moreover, in both cases $I_p(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow X = 0$.
- ii) If c has conjugate points to $x_0 = c(0)$, then $\exists X \in T_c^\perp \Omega$ such that $I_p(X) < 0$ for $p \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ and $\exists X \in T_c^\perp \Omega$ such that $I_p(X) > 0$ for $p \in (-\infty, 0)$.

Combining the propositions 1 and 2 we obtain

Corollary (extrema of the p -energy).

Let $p \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, 1\}$ and $c \in \Omega$ be a geodesic such that

A) has no conjugate points to $x_0 = c(0)$. Then

- i) c did not even minimize, did not even maximize E_p for $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, 1)$;
- ii) c not maximizes E_p for $p \in (1, \infty)$;

B) has conjugate points to $x_0 = c(0)$. Then

- i) c not maximizes E_p for $p \in (-\infty, 0)$;
- ii) c not minimizes E_p for $p \in (0, 1)$;
- iii) c did not even minimize, did not even maximize E_p for $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Remarks. 1) According to the property (F2) imposed to the Finsler metric, the preceding consequence is valid replacing x_0 with x_1 by symmetry.

2) For the case $p \in (1, \infty)$, supposing that exists a minimal geodesic $\gamma \in \Omega$ (i.e. it minimizes the length functional), then γ is a global minimum point for the p -energy E_p since $E_p(\gamma) = (L(\gamma))^p \leq (L(c))^p \leq E_p(c), \forall c \in \Omega$, where the last inequality is the Hölder inequality (For details, see [10]). On the other hand, we have the Hölder inequality for the case $p \in (0, 1)$

$$\int_0^1 |fg| dt \geq \left(\int_0^1 |f|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^1 |g|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where $q = \frac{p}{p-1} \in (-\infty, 0)$. In these conditions it follows

- i) $E_p(c) \leq (L(c))^p$ for $p \in (0, 1)$
- ii) $E_p(c) \geq (L(c))^p$ for $p \in (-\infty, 0)$, for any curve $c \in \Omega$.

In conclusion we have

- i) $E_p(\gamma) = (L(\gamma))^p \leq (L(c))^p \geq E_p(c)$ for $p \in (0, 1)$
- ii) $E_p(\gamma) = (L(\gamma))^p \geq (L(c))^p \leq E_p(c)$ for $p \in (-\infty, 0)$.

It follows that, in the cases $p \in (0, 1) \cup (-\infty, 0)$, the Hölder formula did not decide upon the role of minimal geodesics as extremum points of E_p . Actually, the statement A) of the preceding consequence solves this problem.

§3. Extrema of p -energy on constant curvature Finsler spaces

We assume the Finsler space (M, F) is complete, of dimension $n \geq 3$ and of constant curvature $K \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, we have $H_{ijkl} = K(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk})$, where H_{ijkl} are the components of the h -curvature tensor H of the Berwald connection $B\Gamma$. It follows that

$$R_{ijk} = KF \left(g_{ik} \frac{y_j}{F} - g_{ij} \frac{y_k}{F} \right),$$

where $y_j = g_{jk} y^k$. We also have $R_{i0k} = R_{ijk} y^j = K(g_{ik} F^2 - y_i y_k)$. Hence, along the geodesic $c \in \Omega$, we obtain $R^2(X, \dot{c})\dot{c} = K\{\|\dot{c}\|^2 X - g(X, \dot{c})\dot{c}\}$.

Remark. This equality is also true in the case of constant h -curvature for the Cartan canonical connection.

As in Matsumoto (see [6], pp 292) we have i) If $K \leq 0$, then the geodesic c has no conjugate points to $x_0 = c(0)$.

ii) If $K \geq 0$ and the geodesic c has conjugate points to $x_0 = c(0)$, then the number of conjugate points is finite (Morse index theorem for a Finsler manifold).

Moreover, in the case ii), choosing an orthonormal frame of vector fields $\{E_i\}_{i=1, n-1} \in T_c^\perp \Omega$ parallelly propagated along the geodesic c , we can build a basis $\{U_i, V_i\}_{i=1, n-1}$ in the set of Jacobi fields orthogonal to \dot{c} , defining

$$U_i(t) = \sin(\sqrt{K}vt)E_i \text{ and } V_i(t) = \cos(\sqrt{K}vt)E_i,$$

where $v = \|\dot{c}\| = \text{constant}$. In conclusion, the distance between two consecutive conjugate points is $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{K}}$. In these conditions we can prove the following

Theorem. Let (M, F) be a Finsler space, as above, and let $c = c_p \in \Omega$ be a global extremum point for the p -energy functional E_p , where p is a number in $R - \{0, 1\}$. In these conditions we have

i) If $p \in (-\infty, 0)$, then c has conjugate points, $K > 0$ and

$$\left[\frac{(m(c) + 1)\pi}{\sqrt{K}} \right]^p \leq E_p(c) \leq \left[\frac{m(c)\pi}{\sqrt{K}} \right]^p,$$

where $m(c)$ is the maximal number of conjugate points to $x_0 = c(0)$ along the geodesic c .

ii) If $p \in (0, 1)$, then c has conjugate points, $K > 0$ and

$$\left[\frac{m(c)\pi}{\sqrt{K}} \right]^p \leq E_p(c) \leq \left[\frac{(m(c) + 1)\pi}{\sqrt{K}} \right]^p.$$

iii) If $p \in (1, \infty)$, then c is a minimal geodesic (i.e. it minimizes the length functional).

Proof. i) If $p \in (-\infty, 0)$ and c is an extremum point for the p -energy E_p , then c is a minimum point and the curve c must have conjugate points to x_0 , respectively to x_1 , and hence $K > 0$. Let $x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^{m(c)}$ be the consecutive conjugate points to x_0 . Since the distance between two consecutive conjugate points is $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{K}}$ it follows

$\frac{m(c)\pi}{\sqrt{K}} \leq L(c) \leq \frac{(m(c) + 1)\pi}{\sqrt{K}}$. On the other hand $E_p(c) = (L(c))^p$, and hence, the above inequality is true.

ii) By analogy to i).

iii) By the above Remark 2), if $\gamma \in \Omega$ is a minimal geodesic, then $E_p(\gamma) \leq E_p(c)$. But c is a minimum point for E_p , and hence $E_p(c) \leq E_p(\gamma)$. In conclusion, we have $E_p(\gamma) = (L(\gamma))^p = (L(c))^p = E_p(c)$ and consequently $L(\gamma) = L(c)$. Hence c is a minimal geodesic. \square

If we denote $m = \sup\{m(c) \mid c \in \Omega, c - \text{geodesic}\} \in N$, we obtain the following

Corollary. If there is $c \in \Omega$ a global extremum point for the p -energy functional E_p , where $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, 1)$, we must have $m < \infty$ and $m(c) = m$.

Remarks. i) If x_1 is not a conjugate point to x_0 , then it follows $\|\dot{c}\| = \frac{(m+1)\pi}{\sqrt{K}}$ and $E_p(c) = \left[\frac{(m+1)\pi}{\sqrt{K}} \right]^p$, because the p -energy is dependent of parametrization.

ii) If x_1 is a conjugate point to x_0 , then we obtain $E_p(c) = \left(\frac{m\pi}{\sqrt{K}} \right)^p$ and $v = \frac{m\pi}{\sqrt{K}}$.

One example. In the case of Riemannian unit sphere $S^n \subset R^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$, it is well known that the geodesics are precisely the great circles, that is the intersections of S^n with the hyperplanes trough the center of S^n . Moreover, two arbitrary points on S^n are conjugate along a geodesic γ if they are antipodal points. In these conditions, for any two points x_0 and x_1 on the sphere S^n , there is no geodesic trough these points which has a finite maximal number of conjugate points, because we can surround the sphere infinite times. Hence, for the unit sphere S^n , we have $m = \infty$. In conclusion, in the case $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, 1)$, the p -energy functional on the sphere has no global extremum points.

Acknowledgements. We have benefitted from the criticisms of Prof.Dr. Lajos Tamassy and his co-workers upon previous variants of our paper.

References

- [1] S. S. Chern, *Riemannian Geometry as a Special Case of Finsler Geometry*, Cont. Math. , vol. 196, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1996, pp 51-58.
- [2] G. de Cecco, G. Palmieri, *p-Energy of a curve on a metric or Finslerian LIP-manifold*, preprint, Univ. Lecce, 1993.
- [3] G. de Cecco, G. Palmieri, *p-Energy of a Curve on a Set and on a Finslerian LIP Manifolds*, Bolletino U. M. I. (7) 10-B(1996), pp 815-842.
- [4] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, vol 1, 2 Interscience Publishers, 1969.
- [5] R. Miron, M. Anastasiei, *The Geometry of Lagrange Spaces: Theory and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
- [6] M. Matsumoto, *Foundations of Finsler Geometry and Special Finsler Spaces*, Department of Mathematics, College of Liberal Arts, Kyoto University, 1982; Kaisheisha Press, Kyoto, 1986.
- [7] C. Udriște, *Properties of a functional defined on the path space of a Riemann manifold*, Analele Univ. Bucuresti (1978), 97-105.
- [8] C. Udriște, *Properties of a family of functionals*, Proceedings of the National Seminar on Finsler Spaces, Brasov (1980), 219-221.
- [9] C. Udriște, *A finite dimensional approximation to Ω_p^c* , Proceedings of the National Seminar on Finsler Spaces, Brasov (1983), 225-226.
- [10] C. Udriște, *Convex Functions and Optimization Methods on Riemannian Manifolds*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.

Author's address:

C. Udriște and M. Neagu
 Politehnica University of Bucharest
 Department of Mathematics I
 Splaiul Independenței 313,
 77206 Bucharest, Romania
 E-mail: udriste@mathem.pub.ro