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#### Abstract

The geometry of the $k$-osculator bundle over a smooth manifold $M$ was developed by R.Miron and his school. It was used for the geometrization of the higher order Lagrangians and the prolongation of the Riemannian, Finslerian and Lagrangian structures, ([5]).

In this work we show that the prolongation of a Riemannian metric provides a Riemannian submersion which is notable in some respects. For simplicity we confine ourselves to the case $k=2$.

First we associate to a Riemannian metric $g$ a nonlinear connection in the 2oscultor bundle. Using the connection map associated to it ([1]) a prolongation $G$ of $g$ to $O s c^{2} M$ is constructed in $\S 2$. It is shown that the projection map becomes a Riemannian submersion whose vertical subspace in a fixed point splits into two subspaces which are also isometric with the tangent space to $M$. Some properties of this Riemannian submersion are shown in $\S 3$ and 4 .
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## 1 A nonlinear connection of second order associated to a Riemannian metric

Let $(M, g)$ be a smooth Riemannian manifold, of dimension n and $\left(E=O s c^{2} M, \pi, M\right)$ its 2 -osculator bundle. Then $O s c^{2} M$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $3 n$.

Let $\left(x^{i}\right)$ be the local coordinates in a local chart $(U, \varphi), U \subset M$. The local coordinates on $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset E$ will be denoted by $\left(x^{i}, y^{(1) i}, y^{(2) i}\right)$. Let $\Gamma_{j k}^{i}(x)$ be the local coefficients of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$.

As $\pi_{*}:\left(T E, \tau_{E}, E\right) \rightarrow(T M, \tau, M)$ is an epimorphism of vector bundles, it results that its kernel is a vector subbundle of the bundle $\left(T E, \tau_{E}, E\right)$. This will be denoted by

[^0]$V E$ and will be called the vertical subbundle of the $T E$. The fibres of $V E$ determine an integrable distribution $V: u \in E \rightarrow V_{u} \subset T_{u} E$ which has the dimension $2 n$, called vertical distribution. A local basis for this distribution is $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1) i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}\right\}$.

On every domain of local charts of $E$ we consider the functions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{j}^{i}\left(x, y^{(1)}\right)=\Gamma_{k j}^{i}(x) y^{(1) k}  \tag{1}\\
\underset{(1)}{N_{j}^{i}}\left(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_{j k}^{i}}{\partial x^{s}}(x)-\Gamma_{m k}^{i}(x) \Gamma_{j s}^{m}(x)\right) y^{(1) k} y^{(1) s}+\Gamma_{k j}^{i}(x) y^{(2) k} \\
(2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We set:

$$
\frac{\delta}{\delta x^{i}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}-\underset{(1)}{N_{i}^{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1) j}}-\underset{(2)}{N_{i}^{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) j}}
$$

Starting from the transformation law of the coefficients $\Gamma_{j k}^{i}(x)$, by a long and tedious calculation one shows that under a change of coordinates on $E$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{x}^{i}=\widetilde{x}^{i}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{rank}\left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{i}}}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{j}}\right\|=\mathrm{n},  \tag{2}\\
\widetilde{y}^{(1) i}=\frac{\partial \widetilde{x}^{i}}{\partial x^{j}} y^{(1) j}, \\
2 \widetilde{y}^{(2) i}=\frac{\partial y^{(1) i}}{\partial x^{j}} y^{(1) j}+2 \frac{\partial \widetilde{y}^{(1) i}}{\partial y^{(1) j}} y^{(2) j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

the local vector fields $\left\{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^{i}}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, n}}$ change as follows: $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^{i}}=\frac{\partial \widetilde{x}^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^{j}}$.
Thus we obtain that, for each $u \in E,\left\{\left.\frac{\delta}{\delta x^{i}} \right\rvert\, u\right\}_{i=\overline{1, n}}$ span a subspace $N_{0}(u)$ of dimension n in $T_{u} E$. The map $N_{0}: u \in E \rightarrow N_{0}(u) \subset T_{u} E$ is a distribution of dimension n (generally not integrable). The distribution $N_{0}$ is called the horizontal distribution on $E$. It is supplementary to the vertical distribution, that is, $T_{u} E=N_{0}(u) \oplus V(u), \quad \forall u \in E$.

In other words $N_{0}$ defines a nonlinear connection N which is clearly derived from $g$ only.

The $\mathcal{F}(E)$-linear mapping $J: \chi(E) \rightarrow \chi(E)$ defined by :
$J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1) i}}, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1) i}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}\right)=0$ is a 2-tangent structure, that is, $J^{3}=0$. Let us consider $N_{1}=J\left(N_{0}\right)$ and $V_{2}$ the distribution locally generated by $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, n}}$. We have three distributions $\left(N_{0}, N_{1}, V_{2}\right)$, each of dimension $n$, such that:
$T_{u} E=N_{0}(u) \oplus N_{1}(u) \oplus V_{2}(u), \forall u \in E$.
A local basis for the $\mathcal{F}(E)$-module $\chi(E)$, adapted to the distributions $N_{0}, N_{1}, V_{2}$, is :

$$
\left\{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^{i}}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1) i}}=J\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^{i}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1) i}}-N_{(1) i}^{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) j}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}\right\}
$$

The dual basis is $\left\{d x^{i}, \delta y^{(1) i}, \delta y^{(2) i}\right\}$ with :

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& \delta y^{(1) i}=d y^{(1) i}+\underset{j}{M_{j}^{i}} d x^{j},  \tag{3}\\
&(1) \\
& \delta y^{(2) i}=d y^{(2) i}+\underset{(1)}{M_{j}^{i}} d y^{(1) j}+\underset{(2)}{M_{j}^{i}} d x^{j}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
M_{j}^{i}\left(x, y^{(1)}\right)=N_{j}^{i}\left(x, y^{(1)}\right),  \tag{4}\\
(1) \\
M_{j}^{i}\left(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}\right)=\underset{(2)}{N_{j}^{i}}\left(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}\right)+\underset{(1)}{N_{m}^{i}}\left(x, y^{(1)}\right) \underset{j}{N_{j}^{m}}\left(x, y^{(1)}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Notice that $\frac{\partial N_{j}^{i}}{\partial y^{(1) k}}=\frac{\partial N_{j}^{i}}{\partial y^{(2) k}}=\Gamma_{j k}^{i}$.

## 2 Prolongation of second order of a Riemannian metric. A notable Riemannian submersion.

By a caracterisation of nonlinear connections in the $k$-osculator bundle given in [1], to give the nonlinear connection $N_{0}$ is equivalent to give a connection map i.e. a $\pi$-morphism of vector bundles $K=\stackrel{(1)}{K}, \stackrel{(2)}{K}):\left(T E, \tau_{E}, E\right) \rightarrow(T M \oplus T M, \tau \oplus \tau, M)$, where $(T M, \tau, M)$ is the tangent bundle over $M$ which verifies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{(2)}{K} \circ J=\stackrel{(1)}{K}, \quad \stackrel{(2)}{K} \circ J^{2}=\pi_{*} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $X_{u}=\left.\stackrel{(0) i}{X} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right|_{u}+\left.\stackrel{(1) i}{X} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1) i}}\right|_{u}+\left.\stackrel{(0) i}{X} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}\right|_{u} \in T_{u} E$ the map $K$ is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\stackrel{(1)}{K}_{u} X_{u}=\stackrel{(1)}{\left(X^{i}\right.}+\underset{(1)}{M_{j}^{i} X^{j}}\right)\left.\frac{(0)}{\partial x^{i}}\right|_{\pi(u)} \\
& \left.\stackrel{(2)}{K}_{u} X_{u}=\stackrel{(2)}{\left(X^{i}+M_{j}^{i} X^{j}\right.}+\stackrel{(1)}{M j}_{(1)}^{M^{j}}\right)\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right|_{\pi(u)} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{j}^{i}$ and $M_{j}^{i}$ are taken from (1.4).
(1) (2)

Using the connection map $K$ we define a Riemannian metric $G$ on $O s c^{2} M$ which prolonges $g$ as Sasaki metric on $T M$ does.

For every $u \in E$ we define $G_{u}: T_{u} E \times T_{u} E \rightarrow R$ by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.G_{u}\left(X_{u}, Y_{u}\right)=g_{\pi(u)}\left(\pi_{*, u} X_{u}, \pi_{*, u} Y_{u}\right)+g_{\pi(u)} \stackrel{(1)}{K_{u}} X_{u}, \stackrel{(1)}{K_{u}} Y_{u}\right)+  \tag{3}\\
& +g_{\pi(u)}\left(\frac{(2)}{K_{u}} X_{u}, \stackrel{(2)}{K_{u}} Y_{u}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we get a Riemannian metric on $O s c^{2} M$. Indeed, since the mappings $\pi_{*, u}, \stackrel{(1)}{K_{u}}$ $\stackrel{(2)}{K_{u}}: T_{u} E \rightarrow T_{\pi(u)}$ are linear and $g_{\pi(u)}$ is bilinear, it results that $G_{u}$ is bilinear. It is clear that $G_{u}\left(X_{u}, X_{u}\right) \geq 0$. If for $X_{u} \in T_{u} E$ we have $G_{u}\left(X_{u}, X_{u}\right)=0$, then $g_{\pi(u)}\left(\pi_{*, u} X_{u}, \pi_{*, u} X_{u}\right)=0, g_{\pi(u)}\left(\stackrel{(1)}{K_{u}} X_{u}, \stackrel{(1)}{K_{u}} X_{u}\right)=0$ and $g_{\pi(u)}\left(\stackrel{(2)}{K_{u}} X_{u}, \stackrel{(2)}{K_{u}} X_{u}\right)=0$
from which it follows: $\pi_{*, u} X_{u}=\stackrel{(1)}{K_{u}} X_{u}=\stackrel{(2)}{K_{u}} X_{u}=0$. Using (2.2), a direct calculation shows that $X_{u}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{u}=\left.\stackrel{(0)}{X^{i}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^{i}}\right|_{u}+\left.\left(\stackrel{(1)}{K}_{u} X_{u}\right)^{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1) i}}\right|_{u}+\left.\left(\stackrel{(2)}{K}_{u} X_{u}\right)^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}\right|_{u} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it is clear that the previous equations imply $X_{u}=0$.
Proposition 2.1. 1. The distributions $N_{0}, N_{1}, V_{2}$ are mutual orthogonal with respect to $G$
2.The mappings:
$\pi_{*, u}:\left(N_{0}(u),\left.G_{u}\right|_{N_{0}(u)}\right) \rightarrow\left(T_{\pi(u)}, g_{\pi(u)}\right)$,
$\stackrel{(1)}{K}$.
$K_{u}:\left(N_{1}(u),\left.G_{u}\right|_{N_{1}(u)} \rightarrow\left(T_{\pi(u)}, g_{\pi(u)}\right)\right.$,
$\stackrel{(2)}{K_{u}}:\left(V_{2}(u),\left.G_{u}\right|_{V_{2}(u)} \rightarrow\left(T_{\pi(u)}, g_{\pi(u)}\right)\right.$ are linear isometries.

Proof. 1. If $X_{u} \in N_{0}(u)$ and $Y_{u} \in N_{1}(u)$ by (2.4) we have $\stackrel{(1)}{K_{u}} X_{u}=\stackrel{(2)}{K_{u}} X_{u}=0$, $\pi_{*, u} Y_{u}=\stackrel{(2)}{K} K_{u} Y_{u}=0$ and by $(2.3)$ one gets $G_{u}\left(X_{u}, Y_{u}\right)=0$. On proceeds similarly for the rest.
2. By (2.4) it folows that $X_{u} \in N_{0}(u)$ if and only if $\stackrel{(1)}{K}{ }_{u} X_{u}=\stackrel{(2)}{K_{u}} X_{u}=0$ and similarly for $Y_{u}$. Hence for $X_{u}, Y_{u} \in N_{0}(u)$, by (2.3) one obtains $G_{u}\left(X_{u}, Y_{u}\right)=$ $g_{\pi(u)}\left(\pi_{*, u} X_{u}, \pi_{*, u} Y_{u}\right)$. For $X_{u}, Y_{u} \in N_{1}(u)$ we have $\pi_{*, u} X_{u}=\pi_{*, u} Y_{u}=0$ and $\stackrel{(2)}{K_{u}}$ $X_{u}=\stackrel{(2)}{K} Y_{u}=0$. By (2.3) one gets that $\stackrel{(1)}{K}$ is a linear isometry.
Corollary 2.1. The projection map $\pi:\left(O s c^{2} M, G\right) \rightarrow(M, g)$ is a Riemannian submersion.

Notice that the Riemannian submersion $\pi$ has a special feature: every vertical subspace $\operatorname{Ker} \pi_{*, u}$ splits into two subspace $N_{1}(u)$ and $V_{2}(u)$ of the same dimension $n$ each of them being isometric with $\left(T_{\pi(u)} M, g_{\pi(u)}\right)$. This feature has several implications on the geometry of the Riemannian submersion $\pi$. Some of then will be pointed in the next sections.

## 3 Some brackets. An expression of the Levi-Civita connection of $G$.

Next we establish the brackets for two vector fields on the total space $E$, by using geometrical objects on base $M$. Using these brackets we express the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian manifold $(E, G)$.

We denote by $\chi^{N_{0}}(E)$ the $\mathcal{F}(E)$-module of the sections of vector bundle $\left(N_{0} E\right.$, $\left.\left.\tau_{E}\right|_{N_{0} E}, E\right) . \chi^{N_{0}}(E)$ is just the $\mathcal{F}(E)$-module of horizontal vector fields on $E . \chi^{N_{1}}(E)$ and $\chi^{V_{2}}(E)$ are denote $\mathcal{F}(E)$-modules of the sections of vector bundles $\left(N_{1} E,\left.\tau_{E}\right|_{N_{1} E}\right.$ $, E)$ and $\left(V_{2} E,\left.\tau_{E}\right|_{V_{2} E}\right)$, respectively.

Proposition 3.1. If $X, Y \in \chi^{N_{1}}(E)$ are $\pi$ projectable vector fields then:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\pi_{*}[X, Y]=[ & \left.\pi_{*} X, \pi_{*} Y\right]  \tag{1}\\
\stackrel{(1)}{K_{u}}[X, Y]_{u}= & R\left(\pi_{*, u} X, \pi_{*, u} Y, \stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}\right), \\
\stackrel{(2)}{K}_{K_{u}}[X, Y]_{u}= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(\nabla_{\stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}} R\right)\left(\pi_{*, u} X, \pi_{*, u} Y, \stackrel{(1)}{C} \pi(u)\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+R\left(\pi_{*, u} X, \pi_{*, u} Y, \stackrel{(2)}{C}_{\pi(u)}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}=\stackrel{(1)}{K}_{K_{u}} \stackrel{(1)}{\Gamma}_{u}, \stackrel{(2)}{C} \pi(u)=\stackrel{(1)}{K_{u}} \stackrel{(2)}{\Gamma}_{u} ; \stackrel{(1)}{\Gamma}=y^{(1) i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}$ and $\stackrel{(2)}{\Gamma}=y^{(1) i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1) i}}+2 y^{(2) i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}$ are the Liouville vector fields.

Proof. One obtains the previous equalities using that:
$\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x^{i}}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^{j}}\right]=R_{p}{ }^{k}{ }_{i j} z^{(1) p} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1) k}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{p}{ }^{k}{ }_{i j \mid s} z^{(1) p} z^{(1) s}+R_{p}{ }^{k}{ }_{i j} z^{(2) p}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) k}}$,
where $z^{(1) p}=y^{(1) p}, 2 z^{(2) p}=2 y^{(2) p}+M_{i}^{p} z^{(1) i}$ and $\left.\right|_{s}$ denotes the covariant derivative with respect to $\nabla$.

Proposition 3.2 If $X \in \chi^{N_{0}}(E)$ is $\pi$-projectable and $Y \in \chi^{N_{1}}(E)$ is $\stackrel{(1)}{K}$ projectable then:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\pi_{*}[X, Y]=0,  \tag{2}\\
\stackrel{(1)}{K}[X, Y]=\nabla_{\pi_{*} X} \stackrel{(1)}{K} Y, \\
\left(\underset{(1)}{K_{u}}[X, Y]_{u}=\frac{1}{2} R\left(C_{\pi(u)}, K_{u} Y, \pi_{*, u} X\right)\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. These equalities result by a straightforward calculation using:

$$
\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x^{i}}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1) j}}\right]=\Gamma_{i j}^{k} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1) k}}+\frac{1}{2} R_{i}{ }^{k}{ }_{j p} y^{(1) p} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) k}}
$$

Much more easier are the proofs of
Proposition 3.3. If $X \in \chi^{N_{0}}(E)$ is $\pi$-projectable and $Y \in \chi^{V_{2}}(E)$ is $\stackrel{(2)}{K}$ projectable then:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\pi_{*}[X, Y] \stackrel{(1)}{K}[X, Y]=0  \tag{3}\\
\stackrel{(2)}{K}[X, Y]=\nabla_{\pi_{*} X} \stackrel{(2)}{K} Y
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proposition 3.4. The distributions $N_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are integrable.
We denote by $\widetilde{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civta connection of the Riemannian manifold $(E, G)$. This is uniquely determined by: $2 G\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y, Z\right)=X G(Y, Z)+Y G(Z, X)-Z G(X, Y)+$
$G([X, Y], Z)+G([Z, X], Y)+G([Z, Y], X), \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \chi(E)$
For the proofs of the following propositions we refer to [2].

## Proposition 3.5.

1. If $X, Y \in \chi^{N_{0}}(E)$ are $\pi$-projectable then for any $u \in E$ :
$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y\right)_{u}=\left(\ell_{h}\right)_{\pi(u), u}\left(\nabla_{\pi_{\text {ast }} X} \pi_{a s t} Y\right)_{\pi(u)}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell_{v_{2}}\right)_{\pi(u), u} R\left(\pi_{*, u} X, \pi_{*, u} Y, \stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}\right)$
$+\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell_{v_{2}}\right)_{\pi(u), u}\left(\nabla_{C_{\pi(u)}^{(1)}} R\left(\pi_{*, u} X, \pi_{*, u} Y, \stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}\right)+R\left(\pi_{*, u} X, \pi_{*, u} Y, \stackrel{(2)}{C}{ }_{\pi(u)}\right)\right.$.
2. If $X \in \chi^{N_{0}}(E)$ is $\pi$-projectable and $Y \in \chi^{N_{1}}(E)$ is $\stackrel{(1)}{K}$-projectable then:
$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y\right)_{u}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell_{h}\right)_{\pi(u), u} R\left(\stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}, \stackrel{(1)}{K}_{u} Y, \pi_{*, u} X\right)+\left(\ell_{v_{1}}\right)_{\pi(u), u}\left(\nabla_{\pi_{*} X} \stackrel{(1)}{K} Y\right)_{u}+$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell_{v_{2}}\right)_{\pi(u), u} R\left(\stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}, \stackrel{(1)}{K}_{u} Y, \pi_{*, u} X\right) \quad \forall u \in E$.
3. If $X \in \chi^{N_{0}}(E)$ is $\pi$-projectable and $Y \in \chi^{V_{2}}(E)$ is $\stackrel{(2)}{K}$-projectable then: $\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y\right)_{u}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell_{h}\right)_{\pi(u), u}\left(\left(\nabla_{\nabla_{C}^{(1)}}^{\pi(u)}\right)\left(\stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}, \stackrel{(2)}{K}_{u} Y, \pi_{*, u} X\right)+R\left(\stackrel{(2)}{C}_{\pi(u)}, \stackrel{(2)}{K}_{u} Y, \pi_{*, u} X\right)\right)+$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell_{v_{1}}\right)_{\pi(u), u}\left(R\left(\pi_{*, u} X, \stackrel{(2)}{K} u \stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}\right)\right)+\left(\ell_{v_{2}}\right)_{\pi(u), u}\left(\nabla_{\pi_{*} X} \stackrel{(2)}{K} Y\right)_{\pi(u)}, \quad \forall u \in E$.

## Proposition 3.6.

1. If $X \in \chi^{N_{1}}(E)$ is $\stackrel{(1)}{K}$-projectable and $Y \in \chi^{N_{0}}(E)$ is $\pi$-projectable then: $\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y\right)_{u}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell_{h}\right)_{\pi(u), u} R\left(\stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}, \stackrel{(1)}{K}_{u} X, \pi_{*, u} Y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell_{v_{2}}\right)_{\pi(u), u} R\left(\stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}, \stackrel{(1)}{K}_{u} X, \pi_{*, u} Y\right)$ $\forall u \in E$.
2. If $X \in \chi^{V_{2}}(E)$ is $\stackrel{(2)}{K}$-projectable and $Y \in \chi^{N_{0}}(E)$ is $\pi$-projectable then: $\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y\right)_{u}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell_{h}\right)_{\pi(u), u} R\left(\stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)} \stackrel{(2)}{K}_{u} X, \pi_{*, u} Y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell_{v_{1}}\right)_{\pi(u), u} R \stackrel{(2)}{K}_{u} X, \pi_{*, u} Y, \stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}$ ), $\forall u \in E$
3. If $X \in \chi^{V_{2}}(E)$ is $\stackrel{(2)}{K}$-projectable and $Y \in \chi^{N_{1}}(E)$ is $\stackrel{(1)}{K}$-projectable then: $\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y\right)_{u}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell_{h}\right)_{\pi(u), u} R\left(\stackrel{(1)}{C}_{\pi(u)}, \stackrel{(2)}{K}_{u} X, \stackrel{(1)}{K}_{u} Y\right), \quad \forall u \in E$.

Here $\left(\ell_{h}\right)_{\pi(u), u},\left(\ell_{v_{1}}\right)_{\pi(u), u}$ and $\left(\ell_{v_{2}}\right)_{\pi(u), u}: T_{\pi(u)} M \rightarrow T_{u} E$, denote the horizontal and the vertical lifts.
We have $\pi_{*, u} \circ\left(\ell_{h}\right)_{\pi(u), u}=\stackrel{(1)}{K}_{u} \circ\left(\ell_{v_{1}}\right)_{\pi(u), u}=\stackrel{(2)}{K}_{u} \circ\left(\ell_{v_{2}}\right)_{\pi(u), u}=1_{T_{\pi(u)} M}$.

## Proposition 3.7.

1. If $X, Y \in \chi^{N_{1}}(E)$ are $\stackrel{(1)}{K}$-projectable then $\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=0$.
2. If $X, Y \in \chi^{V_{2}}(E)$ are $\stackrel{(2)}{K}$-projectable then $\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=0$.

## 4 Geodesics

Let $I \subset R, 0 \in I$ be an open interval and $c: I \rightarrow c(t) \in M$ be a smooth parametrized curve on $M$ such that if $\left(U, \phi=\left(x^{i}\right)\right)$ is a local chart in $M$ then $c(I) \subset U$. The curve $c$ is expressed in local coordinates by $c(t)=\left(x^{i}(t)\right)$

Let $v: t \in I \rightarrow v(t) \in T_{c(t)} M$ be a vector field along of curve $c: v(t)=\left.v^{i}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right|_{c(t)}$
We define a smooth parametrized curve $\ell_{2}(c): t \in I \rightarrow\left(x^{i}(t),\left.\frac{1}{1!} \frac{d x^{i}}{d t}\right|_{t},\left.\frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^{2} x^{i}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t}\right)$ on $E$ and then $\left(\ell_{2}(v)\right)(t)=\left.v^{i}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right|_{\left(\ell_{2}(c)\right)(t)}+\left.\left.\frac{1}{1!} \frac{d v^{i}}{d t}\right|_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1) i}}\right|_{\left(\ell_{2}(c)\right)(t)}+\left.\frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^{2} v^{i}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t}$ $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}\right|_{\left(\ell_{2}(c)\right)(t)}$ is a vector field along of curve $\ell_{2}(c)$.

Lemma 4.1. If $v, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are vector fields along of curve $c$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ then:

1. $\ell_{2}\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right)=\ell_{2}\left(v_{1}\right)+\ell_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)$,
2. $\left(\ell_{2}(f v)\right)(t)=(f \circ c)(t)\left(\ell_{2}(v)\right)(t)+\left.\left.\frac{1}{1!} \frac{d f}{d t}\right|_{t} v^{i}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1) i}}\right|_{\left(\ell_{2}(c)\right)(t)}+$ $\left.\frac{1}{2!}\left(\left.\frac{d^{2} f}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t} v^{i}(t)+\left.\left.2 \frac{d f}{d t}\right|_{t} \frac{d v^{i}}{d t}\right|_{t}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}\right|_{\left(\ell_{2}(c)\right)(t)}$.

Remark 4.1. $\quad \pi_{*}\left(\ell_{2}(v)\right)=v$.
Lemma 4.2. For a vector field $v$ along of curve $c$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, we have:
$\stackrel{(\alpha)}{K}\left(\ell_{2}((f \circ c) v)\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{1}{i!\frac{d^{i} f}{d t^{\imath}}} \stackrel{(\alpha-i)}{K}\left(\ell_{2}(v)\right), \quad \alpha \in\{1,2\}$.
Proposition 4.1. Let $M$ be a smooth manifold with a linear connection $\nabla$. For a vector field $v$ along of curve $c$ there are well-defined two vector fields $\frac{(\alpha)}{d t} v: t \in I \rightarrow$ $\left.\stackrel{(\alpha)}{\frac{\nabla}{d t}} v\right|_{t} \in T_{c(t)} M$ along of curve $c(\alpha \in\{1,2\})$ which satisfy:

1. $\frac{(\alpha)}{d t}\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right)=\frac{\stackrel{(\alpha)}{\nabla}}{\stackrel{\nabla}{~}} v_{1}+\stackrel{\stackrel{(\alpha)}{\nabla}}{d t} v_{2}$;
2. $\frac{\nabla^{(\alpha)}}{d t}(f v)=\sum_{i=0}^{2} C_{\alpha}^{i} \frac{d^{i} f}{d t^{i}} \frac{(\alpha-i)}{\frac{\nabla}{d t}} v$; (the Leibniz formula)
3. If $v$ is the restiction of a vector field $Y \in \chi(M)$ then:

$$
\frac{(\alpha)}{d t} v=\nabla_{\dot{c}}^{\alpha} Y \quad(\alpha \in\{1,2\})
$$

Proof. We define $\left.\frac{\stackrel{(\alpha)}{\nabla}}{\frac{\nabla}{d t}} v\right|_{t}=\alpha!\stackrel{(\alpha)}{K}\left(\ell_{2}(c)\right)(t)\left(\ell_{2}(v)\right)(t)\left(\frac{(0)}{\frac{\nabla}{d t}} v \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \pi_{*}\left(\ell_{2}(v)\right)=v\right)$
By the lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 we obtain 1. and 2. A straightforward calculation gives: $\left.\nabla_{\dot{c}}^{\alpha} v\right|_{t}=\alpha!\stackrel{(\alpha)}{K}\left(\ell_{2}(c)\right)(t)\left(\ell_{2}(v)\right)(t)$ that is $\nabla_{\dot{c}}^{\alpha} v=\frac{(\alpha)}{d t} v$ and 3. is proved.

Proposition 4.2. Let $c: I \rightarrow M$ be a smooth parametrized curve in $M$. Then $c$ is a geodesic on $M$ if and only if the component of $\ell_{2}(\dot{c})$ in $N_{1}$ vanishes.

Proof. The curve $c$ is geodesic on $M$ if and only if $\frac{\stackrel{(1)}{d t}}{d} \dot{c}=0$ equivalently, $\stackrel{(1)}{K}_{\left(\ell_{2}(c)\right)(t)}$ $\left(\ell_{2}(\dot{c})\right)(t)=0$ and $\left(v_{1}\right)_{\left(\ell_{2}(c)\right)(t)}\left(\ell_{2}(\dot{c})\right)(t)=0$. Hence the component of $\ell_{2}(\dot{c})$ in $N_{1}$ vanishes.

On each domain $\pi^{-1}(U)$ of local chart $\left(\pi^{-1}(U), \Phi=\left(x^{i}, y^{(1) i}, y^{(2) i}\right)\right)$ on $E$, we consider the system of functions :
$G^{i}\left(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(2 \underset{(1)}{M_{j}^{i}}\left(x, y^{(1)}\right) y^{(2) j}+\underset{(2)}{M_{j}^{i}}\left(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}\right) y^{(1) j}\right)=$
$=\frac{1}{3}\left(2 N_{j}^{i}\left(x, y^{(1)}\right) z^{(2) j}+N_{j}^{i}\left(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}\right) z^{(1) j}\right)$.
Proposition 4.3. The map $S: u \in E \rightarrow S_{u}=\left.y^{(1) i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right|_{u}+\left.2 y^{(2) i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1) i}}\right|_{u}$ $-3 G^{i}(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}$ is a vector field on $E$ (it will be called the canonical spray).

Proof. For $u \in E$
$S_{u}=\left.z^{(1) i} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^{i}}\right|_{u}+\left.2 z^{(2) i} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1) i}}\right|_{u}+\left.\left(2 N_{j}^{i}(u) z^{(2) j}+N_{j}^{i}(u) z^{(1) j}-3 G^{i}(u)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}\right|_{u}=$ $\left.z^{(1) i} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^{i}}\right|_{u}+\left.2 z^{(2) i} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1) i}}\right|_{u} \in T_{u} E$. Therefore for each $u \in E, S_{u}$ belong to $T_{u} E$, that is, $S$ is a vector field on $E$.

Proposition 4.4. A smooth curve $\widetilde{c}: t \in I \rightarrow \widetilde{c}(t)=\left(x^{i}(t), y^{(1) i}(t), y^{(2) i}(t)\right)$ on $E$ is an integral curve for the canonical spray $S$ if and only if:
$\widetilde{c}=\ell_{2}(\pi \circ \widetilde{c})$ and $\frac{(2)}{d t} \ell_{2}(\pi \circ \dot{\tilde{c}})=0$

Proof. $\quad \widetilde{c}$ is an integrable curve for $S$ if and only if $\dot{\widetilde{c}}(t)=S_{c}(t), \forall t \in I$
$\dot{\tilde{c}}(t)=\frac{d x^{i}}{d t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}+\frac{d y^{(1) i}}{d t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1) i}}+\frac{d y^{(2) i}}{d t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}=$
$\left(\ell_{2}(\pi \circ \dot{\tilde{c}})\right)^{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^{i}}+\left(\frac{(1)}{d t} \ell_{2}(\pi \circ \dot{\tilde{c}})\right)^{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1) i}}+\left(\frac{(2)}{d t} \ell_{2}(\pi \circ \dot{\tilde{c}})\right)^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2) i}}$,
According to these considerations we obtain that $\widetilde{c}$ is integral curve for $S$ if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\ell_{2}(\pi \circ \dot{\tilde{c}})\right)^{i}=z^{(1) i} \\
\left(\frac{(1)}{d t} \ell_{2}(\pi \circ \dot{\tilde{c}})\right)^{i}=2 z^{(2) i} \\
\frac{(2)}{d t} \ell_{2}(\pi \circ \dot{\widetilde{c}})=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The first two conditions are equivalent with : $y^{(1) i}(t)=\frac{1}{1!} \frac{d x^{i}}{d t}$ and $y^{(2) i}(t)=\frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^{2} x^{i}}{d t^{2}}$. These imply the following expression for $\widetilde{c}: \widetilde{c}=\left(x^{i}, \frac{1}{1!} \frac{d x^{i}}{d t}, \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^{2} x^{i}}{d t^{2}}\right)=\ell_{2}(\pi \circ \widetilde{c})$.
Corollary 4.1. For the curve $c: t \in I \rightarrow c(t) \in M \ell_{2}(c)$ is an integral curve for $S$ if and only if the components of $\frac{d}{d t}\left(\ell_{2}(c)\right)$ in $V_{2}$ vanishes.

Let $\widetilde{c}: t \in I \rightarrow \widetilde{c}(t)=\left(x^{i}(t), y^{(1) i}(t), y^{(2) i}(t)\right)$ on $O s c^{2} M$ be a smooth curve and
 tangent vector field along of $\widetilde{c}$.

Proposition 4.5. Let $\widetilde{c}$ be a horizontal curve on $O s c^{2} M$. If $\widetilde{c}$ is a geodesic on $O s c^{2} M$ then its projection $\pi \circ \widetilde{c}$ is a geodesic on $M$.

Proof. If $\widetilde{c}$ is a horizontal curve then $\dot{\tilde{c}}=E, U_{1}=U_{2}=0$ and consequently $\widetilde{c}$ is a geodesic if and only if $\widetilde{\nabla}_{E} E=0$. According to the Proposition 3.5.(1) $H \widetilde{\nabla}_{E} E$ is $\pi$-projectable and $\pi_{*} \widetilde{\nabla}_{E} E=\nabla_{\pi_{*} E} \pi_{*} E$. Since $\pi_{*} E=\frac{d}{d t}(\pi \circ \widetilde{c})$ we get $\widetilde{\nabla}_{E} E=0$ if
and only if $\nabla_{\frac{d}{d t}(\pi \circ \widetilde{c})} \frac{d}{d t}(\pi \circ \widetilde{c})=0$, that is $\pi \circ \widetilde{c}$ is geodesic on $M$.
Notice the result from the previous proposition is true for any Riemannian submersion.
Proposition 4.6. A smooth curve $\tilde{c}$ on $O s c^{2} M$ which satisfies $E=U_{2}=0$ is a geodesic on $O s c^{2} M$.

Proof. As $E=U_{2}=0$, it results that $\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} X=\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{1}} U_{1}$. According to the Proposition 3.7.(1) $\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{1}} U_{1}=0$. and so the curve $\widetilde{c}$ is a geodesic on $O s c^{2} M$.

Proposition 4.7. A smooth curve $\widetilde{c}$ on $O s c^{2} M$ which satisfies $E=U_{1}=0$ is a geodesic on $O s c^{2} M$.

Proof. As $E=U_{1}=0$ it results that $\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} X=\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{2}} U_{2}$. According to Proposition 3.7.(2) $\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{2}} U_{2}=0$. Hence the curve $\widetilde{c}$ is a geodesic on $O s c^{2} M$. Proposition 4.8. A smooth curve $\widetilde{c}$ in fibre $(E=0)$ is a geodesic on $O s c^{2} M$ if and only if: $\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{1}} U_{2}+\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{2}} U_{1}=0$.

Proof. $\quad \widetilde{c}$ is a vertical curve. The condition $\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} X=0$ are equivalent with $\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{1}} U_{2}+$ $\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{2}} U_{1}=0\left(E=0, \widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{1}} U_{1}=0\right.$ and $\left.\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{2}} U_{2}=0\right)$
Definition 4.1. The submersion $\pi:\left(O s c^{2} M, G\right) \rightarrow(M, g)$ is called totally geodesic if each vertical curve is a geodesic on $O s c^{2} M$.

Proposition 4.9. The submersion $\pi:\left(O s c^{2} M, G\right) \rightarrow(M, g)$ is totally geodesic if and only if the Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is locally flat.

Proof. Taking into account Proposition 4.8 we prove that the submersion $\pi$ is totally geodesic if and only if for each curve $\widetilde{c}: t \in I \rightarrow \widetilde{c}(t) \in O s c^{2} M$ with $\dot{\tilde{c}}(t)=U_{1}(t)+U_{2}(t)$ we have $\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{1}} U_{2}+\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{2}} U_{1}=0$. According to the Proposition 3.6.(3) $\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{1}} U_{2}+\widetilde{\nabla}_{U_{2}} U_{1}=0$, which is equivalent with
$\frac{1}{2} R\left(\stackrel{(1)}{C}_{(\pi \circ \widetilde{c})(t)}, \stackrel{(1)}{K}_{\widetilde{c}(t)} U_{1}, \stackrel{(2)}{K}_{\widetilde{c}(t)} U_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} R\left(\stackrel{(1)}{C}_{(\pi \circ \widetilde{c})(t)}, \stackrel{(1)}{K}_{\widetilde{c}(t)} U_{1}, \stackrel{(2)}{K}_{\widetilde{c}(t)} U_{2}\right)=0 \quad \forall U_{1}, U_{2}$ and so $R=0$
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