

# Certain submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds

A. Sarkar and N. Biswas

**Abstract.** In the present paper we have deduced some necessary and sufficient conditions for invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds to be totally geodesic. Characterizations of totally umbilical submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds have also been given.

**M.S.C. 2010:** 53C40, 53C25.

**Key words:** trans-Sasakian manifold; invariant submanifold; totally geodesic submanifold; totally umbilical submanifold.

## 1 Introduction

The notion of trans-Sasakian manifolds was introduced by Blair and Oubina [2],[14]. Three dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds have also been studied in the paper[6]. Trans-Sasakian manifolds of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  are generalizations of  $\alpha$ -Sasakian and  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifolds. It is known that a proper trans-Sasakian manifold exists only for dimension three. In higher dimension it is either  $\alpha$ -Sasakian or  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu. In geometry of almost contact manifolds, submanifold theory has become a topic of growing research. There are several works on invariant submanifolds. In [5] the authors studied invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds. In the paper [16], invariant submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifolds have been studied. In that paper, it was attempted to establish a relation between invariant and totally geodesic submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifolds. Following this paper, in the present paper we would like to establish relation between invariant submanifolds and totally geodesic submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds. In the paper [8], totally umbilical submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds have been studied. In the paper [13] totally umbilical submanifolds of Kaehlerian manifolds have been considered. In the same line of these papers, in the present paper we have studied totally umbilical submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds. A differentiable manifold can be characterized as a domain of a function satisfying suitable differential equations. Obatta [15] first characterized some Riemannian manifolds as a domain of a function satisfying certain differential equations. It is known that if a function  $f$  is defined on a differentiable manifold and  $f$  satisfies  $\Delta f = -kf$ ,  $k > 0$ , then the manifold is isometric to a sphere. For details see [9],[13],[15].

The present paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give necessary preliminaries. Section 3 contains the study of invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds with an example. Section 4 is devoted to study totally umbilical submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds of dimension greater or equal to five. Totally umbilical submanifolds of three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds have been considered in the last section.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\bar{M}$  be an  $n$ -dimensional ( $n$  is odd) smooth differentiable manifold endowed with an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , where  $\phi$  is a  $(1,1)$ -tensor field,  $\xi$  is a vector field,  $\eta$  is a one form and  $g$  is a compatible Riemannian metric on  $\bar{M}$ . For such manifolds, we know [1]

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi),$$

$$(2.3) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta\phi = 0, \quad g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y),$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$ , where  $\Gamma(T\bar{M})$  denotes the Lie algebra of all vector fields on  $\bar{M}$ . A connected manifold  $\bar{M}$  endowed with almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called a trans-Sasakian manifold [14] if  $(\bar{M} \times R, J, G)$  belongs to the class  $W_4$  [10], where  $J$  is an almost complex structure on  $\bar{M} \times R$  which is defined by

$$J(X, f \frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi X - f\xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt}),$$

for any vector field  $X$  on  $\bar{M}$  and the smooth function  $f$  on  $\bar{M} \times R$ , and  $G$  is the usual product metric on  $\bar{M} \times R$ . According to [2], an almost contact metric manifold is a trans-Sasakian manifold if and only if

$$(2.5) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X),$$

for smooth functions  $\alpha, \beta$  on  $\bar{M}$ , where  $\bar{\nabla}$  denote the covariant derivative with respect to  $g$ . Generally,  $\bar{M}$ , is said to be a trans-Sasakian manifold of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . From the equation (2.5), it follows that

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -\alpha\phi X + \beta(X - \eta(x)\xi),$$

$$(2.7) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)Y = -\alpha g(\phi X, Y) + \beta g(\phi X, \phi Y).$$

Let  $M$  be the sub-manifold of an  $n$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Let  $\nabla$  and  $\bar{\nabla}$  are the Levi-Civita connections of  $M$  and  $\bar{M}$ , respectively. Then for any vector fields  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  is defined by

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y).$$

A submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold is called totally geodesic if

$$\sigma(X, Y) = 0, \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Furthermore, for any section  $N$  of normal bundle  $T^\perp M$ , we have

$$(2.9) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla^\perp X.$$

Where  $\nabla^\perp$  denotes the normal bundle connection of  $M$ . The second fundamental form  $\sigma$  and shape operator  $A_N$  are related by

$$(2.10) \quad g(A_N X, Y) = g(\sigma(X, Y), N).$$

For details see [4].

On a Riemannian manifold  $M$ , for a  $(0, k)$ -type tensor field  $T(k \geq 1)$  and a  $(0, 2)$ -type tensor field  $E$ , we denote by  $Q(E, T)$  a  $(0, k + 2)$ -type tensor field ([19]) defined as follows

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(E, T)(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k; X, Y) = & - T((X \wedge_E Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \\ & - T(X_1, (X \wedge_E Y)X_2, \dots, X_k) - \dots \\ & - T(X_1, \dots, (X \wedge_E Y)X_k), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(X \wedge_E Y)Z = E(Y, Z)X - E(X, Z)Y$ .

From Gauss and Codazzi equations for submanifolds, we get [3]

$$(2.12) \quad \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = R(X, Y, Z, W) - g(\sigma(X, W), \sigma(Y, Z)) + g(\sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W)).$$

$$(2.13) \quad (\bar{R}(X, Y)Z)^\perp = (\bar{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(X, Z).$$

Here  $\bar{R}$  is the curvature tensor of the ambient manifold.  $(\bar{R}(X, Y)Z)^\perp$  is the normal component of  $\bar{R}$ .

From [6], we get for three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds

$$(2.14) \quad \bar{R}(X, Y)\xi = (4(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - \frac{r}{2})(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y).$$

### 3 Invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds

In this section we shall study a three dimensional submanifold  $M$  of a trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  such that the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  is tangential to  $M$ . Generally,

a submanifold  $M$  is said to be invariant submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  if  $\phi(TM) \subset TM$ . On an invariant submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$ , it follows that  $\xi \in \Gamma(TM)$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y &= \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \phi(\nabla_X Y) \\ &= \nabla_X \phi Y - \sigma(X, \phi Y) - \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \sigma(X, Y)) \\ &= (\nabla_X \phi)Y - \sigma(X, \phi Y) + \phi(\sigma X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.4) and the above equation we get by considering the submanifold as invariant and comparing tangential components

$$(3.1) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X) - \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X).$$

Thus we have the following lemma

**Lemma 3.1.** *An invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold is trans-Sasakian.*

This Lemma is also proved in [5].

From the equation (2.6) we directly can establish the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.**[16] *Let  $M$  be the invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then the following equations hold:*

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha\phi X + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi), \quad \sigma(X, \xi) = 0,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma(X, \phi Y) = \sigma(\phi X, Y) = \phi\sigma(X, Y),$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.**[7] *Let  $M$  be an invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  of dimension greater than three, then we have*

$$(3.3) \quad R(X, \xi)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \xi\beta)(X - \eta(X)\xi) + 2\alpha\beta\phi X + (\xi\alpha)\phi X,$$

$$(3.4) \quad S(X, \xi) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(X) - (n-2)X\beta - \eta(X)\xi\beta - (\phi X)\alpha,$$

$$(3.5) \quad S(\xi, \xi) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \xi(\beta)),$$

where any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Here  $R$  and  $S$  are respectively the Riemann curvature and Ricci curvature of the submanifold.

The Projective curvature tensor  $P$  of type (1,3) on a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  of dimension  $n$  is defined by

$$(3.6) \quad P(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-1}[S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y],$$

for any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *An invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold is totally geodesic if and only if  $Q(g, P.\sigma) = 0$ , provided that  $(2\alpha\beta + \xi\alpha) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $Q(g, P.\sigma) = 0$ , then

$$Q(g, P(X, Y).\sigma)(W, K; U, V) = 0,$$

for the vector fields  $X, Y, W, K, U, V \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Using (2.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -g(V, W)(P(X, Y).\sigma)(U, K) + g(U, W)(P(X, Y).\sigma)(V, K) \\ &\quad - g(V, K)(P(X, Y).\sigma)(W, U) + g(U, K)(P(X, Y).\sigma)(W, V) \\ &= -g(V, W)[P^\perp(X, Y)\sigma(U, K) - \sigma(P(X, Y)U, K) - \sigma(P(X, Y)K, U)] \\ &\quad + g(U, W)[P^\perp(X, Y)(\sigma V, K) - \sigma(P(X, Y)V, K) - \sigma(P(X, Y)K, V)] \\ &\quad - g(V, K)[P^\perp(X, Y)(\sigma W, U) - \sigma(P(X, Y)W, U) - \sigma(P(X, Y)U, W)] \\ &\quad + g(U, K)[P^\perp(X, Y)(\sigma W, V) - \sigma(P(X, Y)W, V) - \sigma(P(X, Y)V, W)]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.2 and putting  $Y = K = U = W = \xi$  in the above equation we have

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma(P(X, \xi)\xi, V) = 0.$$

By the Lemma 3.4 and the equation (3.12) we have

$$(3.8) \quad \sigma(P(X, \xi)\xi, V) = (2\alpha\beta + \xi\alpha)\sigma(V, \phi X).$$

By the equations (3.13), (3.14) and the assumed condition  $(2\alpha\beta + \xi\alpha) \neq 0$ , we have

$$\sigma(V, \phi X) = 0.$$

Hence by Lemma 3.2,

$$\sigma(V, X) = 0$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Thus the submanifold is totally geodesic. Converse part is trivially true. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** *The above theorem is also true for invariant submanifolds of Sasakian and Kenmotsu manifolds.*

**Theorem 3.2.** *An invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold is totally geodesic if and only if  $Q(S, P.\sigma) = 0$ , provided that  $(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + \xi(\beta))(2\alpha\beta + \xi\alpha) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $Q(S, P.\sigma) = 0$ , then

$$Q(g, P(X, Y).\sigma)(W, K; U, V) = 0$$

for the vector fields  $X, Y, W, K, U, V \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Using (2.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -S(V, W)(P(X, Y).\sigma)(U, K) + S(U, W)(P(X, Y).\sigma)(V, K) \\ &\quad - S(V, K)(P(X, Y).\sigma)(W, U) + S(U, K)(P(X, Y).\sigma)(W, V) \\ &= -S(V, W)[P^\perp(X, Y)\sigma(U, K) - \sigma(P(X, Y)U, K) - \sigma(P(X, Y)K, U)] \\ &\quad + S(U, W)[P^\perp(X, Y)(\sigma V, K) - \sigma(P(X, Y)V, K) - \sigma(P(X, Y)K, V)] \\ &\quad - S(V, K)[P^\perp(X, Y)(\sigma W, U) - \sigma(P(X, Y)W, U) - \sigma(P(X, Y)U, W)] \\ &\quad + S(U, K)[P^\perp(X, Y)(\sigma W, V) - \sigma(P(X, Y)W, V) - \sigma(P(X, Y)V, W)]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.2 and putting  $Y = K = U = W = \xi$  in the above equation we have

$$(3.9) \quad S(\xi, \xi)\sigma(P(X, \xi)\xi, V) = 0.$$

By the Lemma 3.4 and the equation (3.12) we have

$$(3.10) \quad S(\xi, \xi)\sigma(P(X, \xi)\xi, V) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + \xi(\beta))(2\alpha\beta + \xi\alpha)\sigma(V, \phi X).$$

By the equations (3.15), (3.16) and the given condition  $(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + \xi(\beta))(2\alpha\beta + \xi\alpha) \neq 0$  we have

$$\sigma(V, \phi X) = 0.$$

Hence by the Lemma 3.2,

$$\sigma(V, X) = 0$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Thus the submanifold is totally geodesic. Converse part is trivially true. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** *The above theorem is also true for invariant submanifolds of Sasakian and Kenmotsu manifolds.*

**Example 3.1.** Let us consider the five dimensional differentiable manifold [11]  $M = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, t) \in \mathbb{R}^5 : t \neq 0\}$ , where  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, t)$  are the standard coordinates of  $\mathbb{R}^5$ . We choose the vector fields

$$e_1 = e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \quad e_2 = e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \quad e_3 = e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad e_4 = e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}, \quad e_5 = e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

which are linearly independent at each point of  $\bar{M}$ . We define  $g$  by

$$g = e^{2t}K,$$

where  $K$  is the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$  is orthonormal basis of  $\bar{M}$  i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} g(e_i, e_j) &= 1 \quad \text{if } i = j, \\ &= 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq j, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq i, j \leq 5. \end{aligned}$$

We consider an 1-form  $\eta$  defined by

$$\eta(X) = g(X, e_5), \quad X \in T\bar{M}.$$

i.e., we choose  $e_5 = \xi$ . We define the (1.1) tensor field  $\phi$  by

$$\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + x_{i+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+2}}\right) + t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+2}}\right) - x_{i+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

Thus we have

$$\phi(e_1) = e_3, \quad \phi(e_2) = e_4, \quad \phi(e_3) = -e_1, \quad \phi(e_4) = -e_2, \quad \phi(e_5) = 0.$$

The linear property of  $g$  and  $\phi$  shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(e_5) &= 1, & \phi^2(X) &= -X + \eta(X)e_5, \\ g(\phi X, \phi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $\bar{M}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  defines an almost contact manifold with  $e_5 = \xi$ . Moreover, let  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to metric  $g$ . Then we have

$$[e_i, e_5] = e^{-t}e_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \quad [e_i, e_j] = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 4.$$

By Koszul formula, we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_1 &= -e^{-t}e_5, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_2 &= -e^{-t}e_5, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_3 &= -e^{-t}e_5, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_4}e_4 &= -e^{-t}e_5, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_5 &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_i &= 0, & \bar{\nabla}_{e_i}e_5 &= e^{-t}e_i, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_i}e_j &= 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that  $\bar{M}$  is a trans-Sasakian manifold of type  $(0, e^{-t})$ .

Let  $M$  be a sub set of  $\bar{M}$  and consider the isometric immersion  $f : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$  defined by

$$f(x_1, x_3, t) = (x_1, 0, x_3, 0, t).$$

It is easy to prove that  $M = \{(x_1, x_3, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : t \neq 0\}$ , where  $(x_1, x_3, t)$  are the standard co-ordinate of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We choose the vector fields

$$e_1 = e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \quad e_3 = e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \quad e_5 = e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

which are linearly independent at each point of  $M$ . We define  $g_1$  by

$$g_1 = e^{2t}K_1,$$

where  $K_1$  is the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $\{e_1, e_3, e_5\}$  are orthonormal basis of  $\bar{M}$  i.e.,  $g(e_i, e_j) = 1$  if  $i = j$  and 0 otherwise. Here  $i = 1, 3, 5$ .

We define 1-form  $\eta_1$  and (1,1) tensor  $\phi_1$  respectively by  $\eta_1 = g_1(X, e_5)$ ,

$$\phi_1(x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = (x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}).$$

Thus we have

$$\phi_1(e_1) = e_3, \quad \phi_1(e_3) = -e_1, \quad \phi_1(e_5) = 0.$$

The linear property of  $g_1$  and  $\phi_1$  shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1(e_5) &= 1, & \phi_1^2(X) &= -X + \eta_1(X)e_5, \\ g_1(\phi_1 X, \phi_1 Y) &= g_1(X, Y) - \eta_1(X)\eta_1(Y) \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $M(\phi_1, \xi, \eta_1, g_1)$ . It is seen that  $M$  is an invariant submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  with  $e_5 = \xi$ . Moreover, let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric  $g_1$ . Then we have

$$[e_i, e_5] = e^{-t}e_i, \quad [e_i, e_j] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 3, 5.$$

By using Kouszul formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1}e_1 &= -e^{-t}e_5, & \nabla_{e_3}e_3 &= -e^{-t}e_5, & \nabla_{e_5}e_5 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_1}e_5 &= e^{-t}e_1, \\ \nabla_{e_3}e_5 &= e^{-t}e_3, & \nabla_{e_5}e_1 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_5}e_3 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_1}e_3 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_3}e_1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above results we see that  $\sigma(X, Y) = 0$ . So the submanifold is totally geodesic.

## 4 Totally umbilical submanifolds of a trans-Sasakian manifold

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional totally umbilical submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Here, we take  $n \geq 5$ . The second fundamental form  $\sigma$  of  $M$  is given by  $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H$  where  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $H$  is mean curvature vector [8].

If we set  $\mu = \|H\|^2$ , then for the umbilical submanifold  $M$  with mean curvature parallel in the normal bundle, we have  $X.\mu = 0$  for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ , that is,  $\mu$  is constant.

If  $\mu \neq 0$ , define a unit vector  $e \in \nu$  in the normal bundle, by setting  $H = \sqrt{\mu}e$ . The normal bundle can be split into the direct sum  $\mu = \{e\} \oplus \{e\}^\perp$ , where  $\{e\}^\perp$  is the orthogonal complement of the line sub-bundle  $e$  spanned by  $e$ . For each  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Set

$$(4.1) \quad \phi X = \psi(X) - A(X)e + P(X), \quad \phi e = t + F,$$

where  $\psi(x)$  is the tangential components of  $\phi X$ , while  $A(X)$  and  $P(X)$  are the  $\{e\}$  and  $\{e\}^\perp$  components, respectively.  $t$  and  $F$  are the  $\{e\}$  and  $\{e\}^\perp$  components of  $\phi e$ , respectively, in view of the skew-symmetry of  $\phi$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a totally umbilical submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  with curvature vector parallel to the normal bundle. If  $\mu \neq 0$ , then for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  following hold:*

- (i)  $\bar{\nabla}_X e = -\sqrt{\mu}X$ ,
- (ii)  $\nabla_X t = -\sqrt{\mu}\psi(X) - \alpha g(e, \xi)X - \beta g(e, \xi)\psi(X)$ ,
- (iii)  $\nabla_X^\perp F = -\sqrt{\mu}P(X) - \beta A(X)\xi$ .

*Proof.* Taking inner product with respect to  $Y$ , in both sides of the equation (2.8), we obtain

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -g(H, N)X + \nabla_X^\perp N.$$



Putting  $N = e$  in the above equation, we obtain

$$\bar{\nabla}_X e = -\sqrt{\mu}X.$$

Thus (i) is proved. Next we compute  $(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)e$ , for  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Using the equations (2.5) and (4.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X t + \nabla_X^\perp F &+ \sqrt{\mu}(\psi(X) - A(X)e + P(X)) + \sigma(X, \phi(e)) \\ &= \alpha(g(X, e)\xi - \eta(e)X) + \beta(g(\phi X, e)\xi - \eta(e)\phi(X)). \end{aligned}$$

Next comparing the tangential part we have

$$\nabla_X t = -\sqrt{\mu}\phi(X) - \alpha g(e, \xi)X - \beta g(e, \xi)\psi(X).$$

Thus (ii) is proved. Now comparing  $\{e\}^\perp$  component and using the result  $A(X) = g(X, t)$  we obtain

$$\nabla_X^\perp F = -\sqrt{\mu}P(X) - \beta A(X)\xi.$$

Thus (iii) is proved. □

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $M$  be a totally umbilical sub-manifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  with mean curvature vector parallel in the normal bundle. If  $\mu \neq 0$ , and  $\xi \perp e$ , then, setting  $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ , where  $\xi_1$  is the tangential component and  $\xi_2$  is the  $\{e\}^\perp$ -component of  $\xi$ , we have*

- (i)  $\nabla_X \xi_1 = -\alpha\psi(X) + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi_1)$ ,
- (ii)  $(\nabla_X \psi)Y = (\alpha - \frac{\mu}{\alpha})(g(X, Y)\xi_1 - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi_1 - \eta(Y)\psi(X))$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$  in the equation (2.6) and (4.1) we have

$$\nabla_X \xi_1 + \nabla_X \xi_2 + \sigma(X, \xi) = -\alpha(\psi X - A(X)e + P(X)) + \beta(X - g(X, \xi)\xi).$$

Comparing tangential part we have (i), and comparing  $e$  component, we have  $\sigma(X, \xi) = \alpha A(X)e$  i.e.,

$$(4.2) \quad \sqrt{\mu}\eta(X) = \alpha A(X), \quad \sqrt{\mu}\xi_1 = \alpha t.$$

Now using the equations (2.5) and (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X(\psi Y) - \nabla_X(AY)e - A(Y)(\nabla_X e) - \psi(\nabla_X Y) + A(\nabla_X Y)e - \\ P(\nabla_X Y) = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Lemma 4.1, we obtain from the above equation

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \psi)Y + (\nabla_X P)Y + \sqrt{\mu}g(X, Y)(\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\alpha}\xi_1 + F) + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}\beta}{\alpha}g(X, Y)e - \\ \frac{\mu}{\alpha}g(X, Y)e = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the tangential part we obtain (ii). □

It is known that a trans-Sasakian manifold of dimension greater or equal to five is either  $\alpha$ -Sasakian or  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu[12]. So let us first study umbilical submanifolds of  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifolds, then umbilical submanifolds of  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold of dimension greater or equal to five. We shall now deduce the following:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a totally umbilical submanifold of an  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold of dimension greater or equal to five with mean curvature vector parallel in the normal bundle. Then one of the following hold :*

- (i)  $M$  is totally geodesic
- (ii)  $M$  is isometric to a sphere
- (iii)  $M$  is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.

*Proof.* Since  $H$  is parallel in the normal bundle,  $\mu$  is a constant. If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $H = 0$ , and consequently  $\sigma(X, Y) = 0$ ,  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Thus the submanifold  $M$  is totally geodesic, which proves the first part of the theorem.

Next we assume that  $\mu \neq 0$ . Define a smooth function  $f : M \rightarrow R$  by  $f = g(e, \xi)$ ,  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Then Lemma 4.1, and equations (2.6), (2.8), (2.9), imply that

$$\begin{aligned} Xf &= g(\nabla_X \xi, e) + g(\xi, \nabla_X e) \\ &= \alpha g(X, t) - \sqrt{\mu} g(\xi, X). \end{aligned}$$

So, by using the equations (2.6) and the Lemma 4.1, we have,

$$XYf - (\nabla_X Y)f = -\alpha^2 f g(X, Y).$$

Then

$$(4.3) \quad g(\nabla_X \text{grad} f, Y) = -\alpha^2 f g(X, Y).$$

Taking trace of this equation we have

$$(4.4) \quad \Delta f = -\alpha^2 n f.$$

If  $f$  is non-constant function, according to [15], the equation (4.4) is the differential equation whose existence ensures necessary and sufficient condition for  $M$  to be isometric to a sphere of radius  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ .

If  $f$  is a constant, then equation (4.4) gives  $-n\alpha^2 f = 0$ ,  $\alpha$  is non-zero and consequently  $f = 0$ , that is  $\xi \perp e$ .

Now define a smooth function  $G : M \rightarrow R$  by

$$(4.5) \quad G = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \cdot \psi^2.$$

Note that (4.1) gives  $g(\psi Y, X) = -g(\psi Y, X)$ ,  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

Let  $\omega$  be a 1-form defined by  $\omega = dG$ . For each  $p \in M$  we can choose a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $M$  such that  $\nabla e_i(p) = 0$ . Thus, for any  $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have

$$(4.6) \quad \omega(Z) = ZG = \sum_{i=1}^n g((\nabla_Z \psi)(e_i), \psi(e_i)).$$

Using the Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad \omega(Z) = 2\left(\alpha - \frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)g(\psi Z, \xi_1).$$

The first covariant derivative of (4.7) is

$$(\nabla\omega)(Y, Z) = 2\left(\alpha - \frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)(-\alpha g(\psi Y, \psi Z)) + 2\left(\alpha - \frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)^2(g(\xi_1, \xi_1)g(Y, Z) - g(Y, \xi)g(Z, \xi_1)).$$

And consequently using the equation (4.7) and the above equation we have

$$(4.8) \quad (\nabla^2\omega)(X, Y, Z) + k^2(2g(Y, Z)\omega(X) + g(X, Y)\omega(Z) + g(X, Z)\omega(Y)) = 0.$$

where  $k^2 = \left(\alpha - \frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)$ . According to Tanno [18], existence of the differential equation (4.8) in which,  $G$  being non-constant, is the necessary and sufficient condition for  $M$  to be isometric to a sphere. This again leads to case (ii). Suppose  $G$  is constant function. Then equation (4.7) gives  $\psi(\xi_1) = 0$ . Define a smooth function  $G_1 : M \rightarrow R$  by

$$G_1 = g(\xi_1, \xi_1).$$

Then using the Lemma 4.2, we get  $X\alpha = 0, X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . In others words  $\xi_1$  has constant length. Taking the covariant derivative in (i) of Lemma 4.2 and using (ii), we get

$$(4.9) \quad \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi_1 - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \xi_1 = k^2(g(X, Y)\xi_1 - g(Y, \xi_1)X).$$

where  $k^2 = \left(\alpha - \frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)$ . Furthermore, from (i) of the Lemma 4.2, it follows that  $\xi_1$  is a Killing vector field. Since  $k \neq 0$  as  $\left(\alpha - \frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right) \neq 0$  and  $\xi_1$  is a Killing vector field of constant length, which satisfies (4.9), a result of Okumura [13] states that, if  $\xi_1 \neq 0$ , then  $M$  is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. which is (iii). Thus to complete the proof we have only to show that  $\xi_1 = 0$  cannot happen.

We note that if  $\xi_1 = 0$  then  $\xi \in \{e\}^\perp$  as  $\xi \perp e$ . Lemma 4.2 gives  $\psi(X) = 0$ , i.e.  $\phi X$  is normal to  $M$  for all  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Again, equation (4.2) gives  $t = 0$ , i.e.  $\phi e = F \in \{e\}^\perp$ , and  $g(\phi X, \phi e) = g(X, e) - \eta(X)\eta(e) = 0, X \in \Gamma(TM), g(\phi e, \xi) = 0$ . Thus the  $\dim$  of  $\nu \geq \dim\{M\} + \dim\{\xi\} + \dim\{e\} + \dim\{\phi e\} - 1$ , which is impossible as  $\dim\{\bar{M}\} = 2n + 1$ . This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $M$  be a totally umbilical submanifold of a  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold of dimension greater or equal to five with mean curvature vector parallel in the normal bundle. Then one of the following hold:*

- (i)  $M$  is totally geodesic
- (ii)  $M$  is isometric to a sphere
- (iii)  $M$  is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.

*Proof.* Since  $H$  is parallel in the normal bundle,  $\mu$  is a constant. If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $H = 0$ , and consequently  $\sigma(X, Y) = 0, X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Thus the submanifold  $M$  is totally geodesic, which proves the first part of the theorem.

Next we assume that  $\mu \neq 0$ . Define a smooth function  $f : M \rightarrow R$  by  $f = g(e, \xi)$ ,  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Then Lemma 4.1, and equations (2.6), (2.8), (2.9), imply that

$$\begin{aligned} Xf &= g(\nabla_X \xi, e) + g(\xi, \nabla_X e) \\ &= \beta g(Y, e) - g(\xi, Y)(\beta f + \sqrt{\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

So, by using the equation (2.6) and the Lemma 4.1, we have,

$$XYf - (\nabla_X Y)f = -2\sqrt{\mu}\beta g(X, Y) - \beta^2 g(X, Y)f + 2\beta\eta(X)\eta(Y)(\beta f + \sqrt{\mu}).$$

Then

$$(4.10) \quad g(\nabla_X \text{grad} f, Y) = -2\sqrt{\mu}\beta g(X, Y) - \beta^2 g(X, Y)f + 2\beta\eta(X)\eta(Y)(\beta f + \sqrt{\mu}).$$

Taking trace of this equation we have

$$(4.11) \quad \Delta f = 2\sqrt{\mu}\beta(n+1) - \beta^2(n+2)f.$$

Replace  $f_1$  by  $f$ , where  $f_1$  is defined by

$$(4.12) \quad f_1 = f + \frac{2\sqrt{\mu}\beta(n+1)}{\beta^2(n+2)}.$$

If  $\beta$  is constant then  $\Delta f = \Delta f_1$ , and the Equation (4.11) gives

$$(4.13) \quad \Delta f_1 = -\beta^2(n+2)f_1.$$

If  $f_1$  is non constant function, then the equation (4.13) is the differential equation in [15], which is necessary and sufficient condition for  $M$  to be isometric to a sphere of radius  $\frac{1}{\beta^2(n+2)}$ .

Now if  $f_1$  is a non-constant function then by similar calculation done to prove the previous theorem we can prove this theorem.  $\square$

## 5 Totally umbilical submanifolds of three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds

In the previous section, we have studied submanifolds of trans-sasakian manifolds of dimension greater or equal to five. In this section, we shall study totally umbilical submanifolds of a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold. Here we prove the following:

**Theorem 5.1.** *A totally umbilical submanifold of a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold is totally geodesic.*

*Proof.* From the equation (2.4), we get

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)Z = (4(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - \frac{r}{2})(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y).$$

Since the right hand side of the above equation is a vector field in the tangent bundle of the submanifold, we get

$$(5.1) \quad (\bar{R}(X, Y)Z)^\perp = 0.$$

Now if the submanifold is totally umbilical then

$$\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H.$$

Here  $H$  is mean curvature vector. Hence

$$(5.2) \quad (\nabla_W \sigma)(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\nabla_W^\perp H.$$

Hence from (2.13)

$$(5.3) \quad (\bar{R}(X, Y)Z)^\perp = g(Y, Z)\nabla_X^\perp H - g(X, Z)\nabla_Y^\perp H.$$

In view of (5.1) and (5.3)

$$g(Y, Z)\nabla_X^\perp H = g(X, Z)\nabla_Y^\perp H.$$

Putting  $Z = \xi$  and replacing  $X$  by  $\phi X$ , we get

$$(5.4) \quad \nabla_{\phi X}^\perp H.$$

So form (5.2)

$$(\nabla_{\phi W} \sigma)(X, Y) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\nabla_{\phi W}^\perp \sigma(X, Y) - \sigma(\nabla_{\phi W} X, Y) - \sigma(X, \nabla_{\phi W} Y) = 0.$$

Putting  $Y = \xi$  and using the Lemma 3.2 we have

$$(5.5) \quad \alpha\sigma(X, W) + \beta\sigma(X, \phi W) = 0.$$

Replacing  $W$  by  $\phi W$  we get

$$(5.6) \quad -\beta\sigma(X, W) + \alpha\sigma(X, \phi W) = 0.$$

From (5.5) and (5.6) we get

$$(5.7) \quad \sigma(X, W) = 0.$$

This proves the theorem. □

**Remark 5.1.** *The submanifolds of a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold are either of dimension one or two. One dimensional submanifolds are trivial. Two dimensional submanifolds are hypersurfaces. So, we can state the following :*

**Corollary 5.1.** *A totally umbilical hypersurface of a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold is totally geodesic.*

## References

- [1] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Birkhauser, 2005.
- [2] D. E. Blair and J. A. Oubina, *Conformal and related changes of metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds*, Publ. Math. **34** (1990), 199-207.
- [3] B. Y. Chen, *Totally umbilical submanifolds*, Soochow J. Math., 5 (1979), 9-37.
- [4] B. Y. Chen, *Geometry of Submanifolds*, Dover Publications, 2019.
- [5] D. Chinea, and P. S. Prestelo, *Invariant submanifolds of a trans-Sasakian manifold*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **38** (1991), 103-109.
- [6] U. C. De and A. Sarkar, *On three dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds*, Extracta Mathematicae, **23** (2008), 265-277.
- [7] A. De, *Totally geodesic submanifolds of a trans-Sasakian manifold*, Proc. Est. Acad. Sci., **62** (2013), 249-257.
- [8] S. Deshmukh and M. A. Al-Gwiz, *Totally umbilical submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds*, New Zeland J. Math., **22** (1993), 43-47.
- [9] F. Erkekogulu, E. Garcia-Rio, D. Kupeli and B. Unal, *Characterizing specific Riemannian manifolds by differential equation*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, **76** (2003), 195-219.
- [10] A. Gray and L. M. Hervella, *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **123** (1980), 35-58.
- [11] C. Hu, Y. Wang, *A note on invariant Submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds*, Int. Elect. J. of Geom., **9** (2016) 27-35.
- [12] J. C. Marrero and D. Chinea, *On trans-Sasakian manifolds*, In Proceedings of the XIVth Spanish-Portuguese Conference on Mathematics, Vol. I-III (Spanish) (Puerto de la Cruz, 1989), Univ. La Laguna, 1990, 655-659.
- [13] M. Okumura, *Totally umbilical submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **19** (1964), 371-327.
- [14] J. A. Oubina, *New classes of almost contact metric structures*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **32** (1985), 187-193.
- [15] M. Obata, *Riemannian manifolds admitting a solution of a certain system of differential equations*, Proc. U.S.-Japan Seminar in Differential Geometry, Kyoto, Japan, 1965, 101-114.
- [16] A. Sarkar, M. Sen, *On invariant submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifolds*, **27** (2012), 35-58.
- [17] Y. Tashiro, *Complex Riemannian manifolds and some vector fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **117** (1965), 251-275.
- [18] S. Tanno, *Some differential equations on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc., Japan, **30** (1978), 509-531.
- [19] L. Verstraelen, *Comments on pseudosymmetry in the sense of R. Deszcz, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds*, **6** (1994), 199-209.

*Authors' address:*

Avijit Sarkar and Nirmal Biswas  
 Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani  
 Kalyani 741235, West Bengal, India.  
 E-mail: avjaj@yahoo.co.in , nirmalbiswas.maths@gmail.com