

# On Lie-recurrence in Finsler spaces

Shivalika Saxena and P. N. Pandey

**Abstract.** The concept of a Lie-recurrence was introduced by the first author [6] in 1982. It is an infinitesimal transformation  $\bar{x}^i = x^i + \epsilon v^i(x^j)$  with respect to which the Lie-derivative of curvature tensor is proportional to itself. Apart from other results related to a Lie-recurrence, it was established that Weyl projective curvature tensor is Lie-recurrent with respect to a Lie-recurrence but its converse is not necessarily true. However, an infinitesimal transformation with respect to which Weyl projective curvature tensor as well as Ricci tensor is Lie-recurrent, is necessarily a Lie-recurrence. In 2003, S. P. Singh [10] studied an infinitesimal transformation with respect to which the Lie-derivative of curvature tensor is proportional to itself and called such transformation as curvature inheritance. Obviously a curvature inheritance is nothing but a Lie-recurrence. S. P. Singh [11] also considered a curvature inheritance which is a projective motion and called it projective curvature inheritance. In 2008, J. K. Gatoto and S. P. Singh [1, 2] studied  $\tilde{K}$ -curvature inheritance and projective  $\tilde{K}$ -curvature inheritance. In 2007, C. K. Mishra and D. D. S. Yadav [4] also studied projective curvature inheritance in an  $NP - \mathbb{F}_n$ . In present paper, several theorems of the above authors have been generalized.

**M.S.C. 2010:** 53B40.

**Key words:** Finsler space, Lie-recurrence, Weyl projective curvature tensor, projective curvature inheritance.

## 1 Preliminaries

Let  $F_n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Finsler space equipped with a metric function  $F$  satisfying the requisite conditions [9]. Let the components of the corresponding metric tensor be  $g_{ij}$  and Berwald's connection parameters be  $G_{jk}^i$ . The partial derivatives of Berwald's connection parameters  $G_{jk}^i$  are the components of a tensor and satisfy

$$(1.1) \quad G_{jkh}^i \dot{x}^h = 0,$$

where  $G_{jkh}^i = \dot{\partial}_h G_{jk}^i$ ,  $\dot{\partial}_h \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}^h}$ . The Berwald covariant derivative of an arbitrary tensor  $T_j^i$  with respect to  $x^k$  is given by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathfrak{B}_k T_j^i = \partial_k T_j^i - (\dot{\partial}_r T_j^i) G_{kh}^r \dot{x}^h + T_j^r G_{rk}^i - T_r^i G_{jk}^r.$$

The commutation formulae for the operators  $\dot{\partial}_j$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_k$  are given by

$$(1.3) \quad \dot{\partial}_j \mathfrak{B}_k T_h^i - \mathfrak{B}_k \dot{\partial}_j T_h^i = T_h^r G_{jkr}^i - T_r^i G_{jkh}^r,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \mathfrak{B}_j \mathfrak{B}_k T_h^i - \mathfrak{B}_k \mathfrak{B}_j T_h^i = T_h^r H_{jkr}^i - T_r^i H_{jkh}^r - (\dot{\partial}_r T_h^i) H_{jk}^r,$$

where  $H_{jkh}^i$  is Berwald curvature tensor defined as

$$(1.5) \quad H_{jkh}^i = 2\{\partial_{[j} G_{k]h}^i + G_{rh[j}^i G_{k]m}^r \dot{x}^m + G_{r[j}^i G_{k]h}^r\}$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad H_{jk}^i = H_{jkh}^i \dot{x}^h,$$

where square bracket denotes the skew-symmetric part of the tensor with respect to the indices enclosed therein.

It is clear from the definition that the Berwald curvature tensor  $H_{jkh}^i$  is skew-symmetric in its first two lower indices and positively homogeneous of degree zero in  $\dot{x}^h$ . The tensor  $H_{jk}^i$  defined above satisfies

$$(1.7) \quad H_{jkh}^i = \dot{\partial}_h H_{jk}^i.$$

Further transvection of (1.6) by  $\dot{x}^k$  gives a tensor  $H_j^i$  which satisfies

$$(1.8) \quad \text{(a) } H_j^i = H_{jk}^i \dot{x}^k, \quad \text{(b) } H_{jk}^i = \frac{2}{3} \dot{\partial}_{[k} H_j^i.]$$

Contraction of the indices  $i$  and  $j$  in  $H_{jkh}^i, H_{jk}^i$  and  $H_j^i$  yields

$$(1.9) \quad \text{(a) } H_{kh} = H_{ikh}^i, \quad \text{(b) } H_k = H_{ik}^i. \quad \text{(c) } H = \frac{1}{n-1} H_i^i.$$

Let us consider an infinitesimal transformation

$$(1.10) \quad \bar{x}^i = x^i + \epsilon v^i(x^j),$$

where  $v^i$  is a contravariant vector field and  $\epsilon$  is an infinitesimal constant. The Lie-derivative of an arbitrary tensor  $T_j^i$  with respect to above transformation is given by [17]

$$\mathcal{L}T_j^i = v^r \mathfrak{B}_r T_j^i - T_j^r \mathfrak{B}_r v^i + T_r^i \mathfrak{B}_j v^r + (\dot{\partial}_r T_j^i) \mathfrak{B}_s v^r \dot{x}^s.$$

The commutation formula for the operators  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\dot{\partial}_h$  is given by

$$\dot{\partial}_h \mathcal{L} \Omega - \mathcal{L} \dot{\partial}_h \Omega = 0,$$

where  $\Omega$  is any geometrical object.

## 2 Lie-recurrences

A geometrical object  $\Omega$  is called Lie-recurrent with respect to the infinitesimal transformation (1.10), if there exists a non-zero scalar field  $\Phi$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{L}\Omega = \Phi\Omega.$$

If there exists an infinitesimal transformation with respect to which the curvature tensor  $H^i_{jkh}$  of a Finsler space is Lie-recurrent, i. e.

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{L}H^i_{jkh} = \Phi H^i_{jkh},$$

the space is called Lie-recurrent and the transformation is called Lie-recurrence [6]. Pandey [6] proved that the scalar field  $\Phi$  is at most a point function, i. e.  $\partial_j\Phi = 0$ .

If the infinitesimal transformation (1.10) is a motion or an affine motion, the Lie-derivative of the Berwald connection vanishes identically, i. e.

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{L}G^i_{jk} = 0.$$

The integrability condition of (2.3) is given by [9],

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{L}H^i_{jkh} = 0.$$

Therefore a motion or an affine motion cannot be a Lie-recurrence. In other words, we may say that a Lie-recurrence is a non-affine transformation.

S. P. Singh [10] studied a Lie-recurrence which is a motion or an affine motion in a Finsler space and also in a recurrent Finsler space. In view of the above discussion, his results are meaningless.

Pandey [6] proved that the Weyl projective curvature tensor  $W^i_{jkh}$  is Lie-recurrent with respect to a Lie-recurrence in a Finsler space. However, its converse is not necessarily true. This means if  $W^i_{jkh}$  is Lie-recurrent with respect to an infinitesimal transformation, then the infinitesimal transformation need not be a Lie-recurrence. However, it will be a Lie-recurrence, provided the Ricci tensor  $H_{kh}$  is also Lie-recurrent. Here we propose the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** *A conformal transformation, with respect to which the Weyl projective curvature tensor  $W^i_{jkh}$  is Lie-recurrent, is a Lie-recurrence if the curvature scalar is Lie-recurrent with respect to the transformation.*

*Proof.* Let the infinitesimal transformation (1.10) be a conformal transformation and with respect to this transformation

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{L}W^i_{jkh} = \Phi W^i_{jkh},$$

where  $\Phi$  is a function of  $x^i$  only.

Also, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{L}g_{ij} = \Phi g_{ij}.$$

Transvecting (2.5) by  $\dot{x}^k\dot{x}^h$  and using  $W^i_{jkh}\dot{x}^k\dot{x}^h = W^i_j$ , we have

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{L}W^i_j = \Phi W^i_j,$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad W_j^i = H_j^i - H \delta_j^i - \frac{\dot{x}^i}{n+1} (\partial_r H_j^r - \dot{\partial}_j H).$$

Operating (2.8) by the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  and using (2.7), we get

$$(2.9) \quad \Phi W_j^i = \mathcal{L} H_j^i - \delta_j^i \mathcal{L} H - \frac{\dot{x}^i}{n+1} (\mathcal{L} \dot{\partial}_r H_j^r - \mathcal{L} \dot{\partial}_j H).$$

Using the commutation formula (1.12), we get

$$(2.10) \quad \Phi W_j^i = \mathcal{L} H_j^i - \delta_j^i \mathcal{L} H - \frac{\dot{x}^i}{n+1} (\dot{\partial}_r \mathcal{L} H_j^r - \dot{\partial}_j \mathcal{L} H).$$

Equations (2.9) and (2.10) give

$$(2.11) \quad (\mathcal{L} H_j^i - \Phi H_j^i) - \delta_j^i (\mathcal{L} H - \Phi H) - \frac{\dot{x}^i}{n+1} \{(\dot{\partial}_r \mathcal{L} H_j^r - \Phi \dot{\partial}_r H_j^r) - (\dot{\partial}_j \mathcal{L} H - \Phi \dot{\partial}_j H)\} = 0.$$

Transvecting by  $y_i$  and using  $y_i H_j^i = 0$  and  $y_i \dot{x}^i = F^2$ , we get

$$(2.12) \quad y_i (\mathcal{L} H_j^i) - y_j (\mathcal{L} H - \Phi H) = \frac{F^2}{n+1} \{(\dot{\partial}_r \mathcal{L} H_j^r - \Phi \dot{\partial}_r H_j^r) - (\dot{\partial}_j \mathcal{L} H - \Phi \dot{\partial}_j H)\}.$$

Using (2.12) in (2.11), we get

$$(\mathcal{L} H_j^i - \Phi H_j^i) - \delta_j^i (\mathcal{L} H - \Phi H) - \frac{\dot{x}^i}{F^2} \{(y_r \mathcal{L} H_j^r) - (\mathcal{L} H - \Phi H) y_j\} = 0,$$

or

$$(2.13) \quad (\mathcal{L} H_j^i - \Phi H_j^i) - (\mathcal{L} H - \Phi H) h_j^i - \frac{\dot{x}^i}{F^2} y_r \mathcal{L} H_j^r = 0,$$

where  $h_j^i = \delta_j^i - \frac{\dot{x}^i}{F^2} y_j$ .

Transvecting (2.6) by  $\dot{x}^j$ , we have

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{L} y_i = \Phi y_i.$$

Now  $y_r \mathcal{L} H_j^r = \mathcal{L} (y_r H_j^r) - H_j^r \mathcal{L} y_r$ , which in view of  $y_r H_j^r = 0$  and (2.14), gives

$$y_r \mathcal{L} H_j^r = 0.$$

Therefore

$$(2.15) \quad (\mathcal{L} H_j^i - \Phi H_j^i) - (\mathcal{L} H - \Phi H) h_j^i = 0.$$

This shows that if  $\mathcal{L} H = \Phi H$ , then

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{L} H_j^i = \Phi H_j^i.$$

In view of Pandey's [6] result, (2.16) implies (2.2), for  $\Phi$  is a function of  $x^i$  only. This proves the theorem.  $\square$

### 3 Projective Lie-recurrence

We define a projective Lie-recurrence as a Lie-recurrence which is also a projective motion. This definition is in accordance with the definition of a projective curvature inheritance of S. P. Singh [11].

An infinitesimal transformation is a projective motion [14] if

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{L}G_{jk}^i = \delta_j^i P_k + \delta_k^i P_j + \dot{x}^i P_{jk},$$

where  $P_j = \dot{\partial}_j P$  and  $P_{jk} = \dot{\partial}_j \dot{\partial}_k P$ ,  $P$  being a scalar function of degree 1 in  $\dot{x}^i$ .

Thus the infinitesimal transformation (1.10) is a projective Lie-recurrence if the condition (2.2) and (3.1) hold together.

Now we propose the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** *A Finsler space  $F_n$  ( $n > 2$ ) admitting a projective Lie-recurrence is necessarily an isotropic space.*

*Proof.* Let  $F_n$  ( $n > 2$ ) be a Finsler space admitting a projective Lie-recurrence generated by a vector field  $v^i(x^j)$ . Then the Lie-derivative of curvature tensor  $H_{jkh}^i$  and Berwald connection  $G_{jk}^i$  are given by (2.2) and (3.1).

It is well known that the Lie-derivative of the Weyl projective curvature tensor with respect to a projective motion vanishes identically. i. e.

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{L}W_{jkh}^i = 0.$$

Transvecting (3.2) by  $\dot{x}^k \dot{x}^h$  and using  $W_{jkh}^i \dot{x}^k \dot{x}^h = W_j^i$ , we get

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{L}W_j^i = 0,$$

where  $W_j^i$  is defined by (2.8).

Operating (2.8) by the operator of Lie-differentiation and using (3.3), we get

$$(3.4) \quad 0 = \mathcal{L}H_j^i - \delta_j^i \mathcal{L}H - \frac{\dot{x}^i}{n+1} (\mathcal{L}\dot{\partial}_r H_j^r - \mathcal{L}\dot{\partial}_j H).$$

Since the operators  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\dot{\partial}_j$  are commutative, we have

$$(3.5) \quad 0 = \mathcal{L}H_j^i - \delta_j^i \mathcal{L}H - \frac{\dot{x}^i}{n+1} (\dot{\partial}_r \mathcal{L}H_j^r - \dot{\partial}_j \mathcal{L}H).$$

Pandey [6] proved that the tensors  $H_j^i$  and the scalar  $H$  are Lie-recurrent with respect to a Lie-recurrence, i. e.

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{L}H_j^i = \Phi H_j^i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}H = \Phi H.$$

Using these results in (3.5), we get  $\Phi W_j^i = 0$ , which implies  $W_j^i = 0$ , for  $\Phi \neq 0$ .

Matsumoto [3], Szabó [15] and Pandey [7] proved independently that the vanishing of the projective deviation tensor implies that the space is isotropic.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *A recurrent space cannot admit a projective Lie-recurrence.*

*Proof.* Pandey [7] proved that an isotropic recurrent Finsler space  $F_n$  ( $n > 2$ ) is a Landsberg space [16] and hence does not exist. In view of this result and the above theorem we have the corollary.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *A symmetric space admitting a projective Lie-recurrence is necessarily Riemannian.*

*Proof.* In view of the fact that a Finsler space admitting a projective Lie-recurrence is necessarily isotropic and an isotropic symmetric Finsler space is necessarily Riemannian [8], we have the corollary.  $\square$

## 4 Special Lie-recurrences

S. P. Singh [12, 13] considered the curvature inheritance and the projective curvature inheritance generated by contra and concurrent vector fields and obtained several results.

Pandey [5] proved that every contra as well as concurrent vector field generates an affine motion in a general Finsler space, and therefore generates curvature collineation [5], i. e.  $\mathcal{L}H_{jkh}^i = 0$ . This shows that no contra vector field or concurrent vector field can generate curvature inheritance or projective curvature inheritance. In our terminology we may state this fact as:

**Theorem 4.1.** *No contra vector field or concurrent vector field can generate Lie-recurrence and projective Lie-recurrence.*

This theorem generalizes the results of S. P. Singh [11, 12, 13].

**Acknowledgement:** The first author is financially supported by UGC, Government of India.

## References

- [1] J. K. Gatoto and S. P. Singh, *Projective  $\tilde{K}$ -curvature inheritance in Finsler spaces*, Tensor, N. S. 70 (2008), 1-7.
- [2] J. K. Gatoto and S. P. Singh,  *$\tilde{K}$ -curvature inheritance in Finsler spaces*, Tensor, N. S. 70 (2008), 8-15.
- [3] M. Matsumoto, *Projective changes of Finsler metrics and Projectively flat Finsler spaces*, Tensor, N. S. 34 (1980), 303-315.
- [4] C. K. Mishra and D. D. S. Yadav, *Projective curvature inheritance in an NP-Finsler*, Diff. Geom. Dyn. Syst., 9 (2007), 111-121.
- [5] P. N. Pandey, *CA-collineation in a birecurrent Finsler manifold*, Tamkang J. Math. 9 (1978), 79-81.
- [6] P. N. Pandey, *On Lie recurrent Finsler manifolds*, Indian Journal of Mathematics 24 (1982), 135-143.
- [7] P. N. Pandey, *On a Finsler space of zero projective curvature*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 39(4) (1982), 387-388.
- [8] P. N. Pandey, *On some Finsler spaces of scalar curvature*, Prog. of Maths. 18 (1) (1984), 41-48.

- [9] H. Rund, *The differential geometry of Finsler spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1959.
- [10] S. P. Singh, *On the curvature inheritance in Finsler space*, Tensor, N. S. 64 (2003), 211-217.
- [11] S. P. Singh, *Projective curvature inheritance in Finsler space*, Tensor, N. S. 64 (2003), 218-226.
- [12] S. P. Singh, *On the curvature inheritance in Finsler space II*, Tensor, N. S. 65 (2004), 179-185.
- [13] S. P. Singh, *Projective curvature inheritance in Finsler space II*, Tensor, N. S. 65 (2004), 186-193.
- [14] S. P. Singh, *Projective motion in bi-recurrent Finsler space*, Diff. Geom. Dyn. Sys., 12 (2010), 221-227.
- [15] Z. I. Szabó, *Ein Finslerscher Raum ist gerade dann von skalarer Krümmung, wenn seine Weylsche Projektivkrümmung verschwindet*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 39(1-2) (1977), 163-168.
- [16] B. Tiwari, *Landsberg space satisfying semi- $T'$ -condition*, Diff. Geom. Dyn. Sys., 12 (2010), 246-251.
- [17] K. Yano, *The Theory of Lie derivatives and its applications*, North-Holland Publ. co. Amsterdam, 1957.

*Authors' address:*

Shivalika Saxena, P. N. Pandey  
Department of Mathematics, University of Allahabad, Allahabad, India.  
E-mail: mathshivalika@gmail.com , pnpiaps@rediffmail.com