

Conformal gradient vector fields

Haila Alodan

Abstract. In this paper we prove that a compact Riemannian manifold with positive constant scalar curvature is isometric to a sphere provided that it admits a nonzero conformal gradient vector field.

M.S.C. 2000: 53C20, 53A50.

Key words: Scalar curvature; conformal vector field; conformal gradient vector field; isometry to a sphere.

1 Introduction

Spheres have many interesting geometrical properties among the class of compact connected Riemannian manifolds. That is why, it is an important issue to classify spheres (cf. [1], [2], [4], [5], [6]). An interesting property is the existence of nonconstant functions f on $S^n(c)$ which satisfies $\nabla_X \text{grad } f = -cfX$, where $\text{grad } f$ is the gradient of f and ∇_X is the covariant derivative operator with respect to the smooth vector X . Obata showed that a complete connected Riemannian manifold that admits a non constant solution of this differential equation is necessarily isometric to $S^n(c)$ (cf. [5]). Deshmukh and Alsolamy [3] gave an answer for the question: “under what conditions does an n -dimensional compact and connected Riemannian manifold that admits a nonzero conformal gradient vector field has to be isometric to a sphere $S^n(c)$?”, by giving certain bounds for the Ricci curvature which involves the first nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator on M . In this paper we will provide an answer to this question by restricting the scalar curvature to be positive constant as follows:

Theorem. *Let (M, g) be an n - dimensional compact connected Riemannian manifold of positive constant scalar curvature $n(n-1)c$. If M admits a non zero conformal gradient vector field, then M is isometric to the n -sphere $S^n(c)$.*

2 Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ of smooth vector fields on M . A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is said to be conformal if it satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_X g = 2\varphi g$$

for a smooth function $\varphi: M \rightarrow R$, where \mathfrak{L}_X is the Lie derivative with respect to X . If $u = \text{grad } f$ is the gradient of a smooth function f on M and u is a conformal vector field, then it follows from (2.1) that a conformal vector field u satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla_X u = \varphi X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

For a sphere $S^n(c)$, there exists a non constant function $\varphi \in C^\infty(S^n(c))$ which satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla_X \nabla \varphi = -c\varphi X$$

where $\nabla \varphi$ is the gradient of φ and ∇_X is the covariant derivative operator with respect to the smooth vector X .

The following result is an immediate consequence of the equation (2.2):

Lemma 2.1 *Let u be a conformal gradient vector field on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Then, for $\varphi = n^{-1} \text{div } u$,*

$$\int_M \varphi dv = 0.$$

For a smooth function f on M , define an operator $A : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ by $AX = \nabla_X \nabla f$, where ∇f is the gradient of f . The Ricci operator Q is a symmetric operator defined by

$$\text{Ric}(X, Y) = g(Q(X), Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

where Ric is the Ricci tensor of the Riemannian manifold, and hence from the definition of the operator A we have the following relation

$$(2.4) \quad R(X, Y) \nabla f = (\nabla A)(X, Y) - (\nabla A)(Y, X)$$

where $(\nabla A)(X, Y) = \nabla_X AY - A(\nabla_X Y)$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Note that using (2.4) we have the following lemma which gives an important property of the operator A .

Lemma 2.2 *Let (M, g) be Riemannian manifold and f be a smooth function on M . Then the operator A corresponding to the function f satisfies*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla A)(e_i, e_i) = \nabla(\Delta f) + Q(\nabla f)$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be a local orthonormal frame on M .

Lemma 2.3 *Let u be a conformal gradient vector field on an n - dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Then the operator Q satisfies*

$$Q(u) = -(n-1)\nabla \varphi,$$

where $\nabla \varphi$ is the gradient of the smooth function $\varphi = n^{-1} \text{div } u$.

3 Proof of the Theorem

For an n - dimensional compact connected Riemannian manifold (M, g) of positive constant scalar curvature $S = n(n - 1)c$, we have that $\Delta\varphi = -nc\varphi$ and from Lemma 2.3 that $\Delta f = n\varphi$. These two relations imply $\Delta\varphi = -c\Delta f$ that is $\Delta(\varphi + cf) = 0$. Thus $\varphi = -cf + \alpha$, where α is a constant. Consequently $\nabla\varphi = -c\nabla f$, which gives $\nabla_X\nabla\varphi = -c\nabla_X\nabla f = -c\varphi X$ that is φ satisfies the Obata's differential equation. We claim that φ is not constant. If φ is a constant, it will imply that f is a constant which in turn will imply that $u = 0$ and that leads to a contradiction as the statement of the Theorem requires that u is nonzero vector field. Hence by Obata's theorem we get that M is isometric to the n -sphere $S^n(c)$.

Remark. The compactness condition in the theorem essential, as for the the Riemannian manifold (R^n, g) where g is the Riemannian metric defined by $g = \frac{1}{1+\|x\|^2}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Euclidean metric on R^n , choosing u to be the position vector field, the scalar curvature S of (R^n, g) is a positive constant but the manifold is not isometric to a sphere $S^n(c)$.

References

- [1] H. Alodan, S. Deshmukh, *Spherical submanifolds of Euclidean spaces*, Quart. J. Math. 53 (2002), 299-256.
- [2] S. Deshmukh, *A note on Euclidean spheres*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 11, 2 (2006), 44-49.
- [3] S. Deshmukh, F. Alsolamy, *Conformal gradient vector fields on a compact Riemannian manifold*, Colloquim Math. 112 (2008), 157-161.
- [4] S. Deshmukh, F. Alsolamy, *Hopf hypersurfaces in nearly Kaehler 6-sphere*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 13, 1 (2008), 38-46.
- [5] M. Obata, *Certain conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be isometric to a sphere*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 14 (1962), 333-340.
- [6] T. Oprea, *2-Killing vector fields on Riemannian manifolds*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 13, 1 (2008), 87-92.

Author's address:

Haila Alodan
 Department of Mathematics, King Saud University,
 P.O. Box 2455 Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia.
 E-mail: halodan1@ksu.edu.sa