

On kernels of second-order elliptic operators defined by Stein-Weiss operators acting on covariant tensors

V. Rovenski, S. Stepanov and I. Tsyganok

Abstract. The article is devoted to the study of the global geometry of symmetric and skew-symmetric higher order tensors on complete Riemannian manifolds using second-order elliptic operators, which are constructed on the basis of Stein-Weiss operators.

M.S.C. 2010: 53A20, 58J60.

Key words: Riemannian manifold; elliptic operator; Codazzi tensor; conformal Killing tensor.

1 Introduction

We consider a real vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ on a differentiable C^∞ -manifold M of dimension $n \geq 2$ with a linear connection $\nabla : C^\infty(E) \rightarrow C^\infty(T^*M \otimes E)$ and a Lie group G , acting in the fibers of the bundles $T^*M \otimes E$ and E . Let $\text{Diff}(E, T^*M \otimes E)$ denote a C^∞ -module of first order linear differential operators $D : C^\infty E \rightarrow C^\infty(T^*M \otimes E)$ on the space $C^\infty(E)$ of smooth sections of E .

E. Stein and G. Weiss introduced in [20] the *generalized gradient* (in short, *G-gradient*), as the differential operator $D \in \text{Diff}(E, T^*M \otimes E)$, which is the projection of the covariant derivative ∇s on the pointwise G -irreducible subbundle of the bundle $T^*M \otimes E$ for any section $s \in C^\infty(E)$. For example, Maxwell and Dirac equations, are based on these Stein-Weiss gradients (e.g., [20]). Later on, G -gradients were called *Stein-Weiss operators* (see [6]). We will also use this terminology.

Let g be a Riemannian metric on M , then on any real vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ there exists a Riemannian metric, which we also denote by g . In this case, any Stein-Weiss differential operator D admits a formal adjoint operator D^* defined using g (see [3, p. 34]). Based on this fact, we are interested in a special class of second order differential operators D^*D , from which many geometric statements can be derived. In [6, 17], they studied ellipticity of second order differential operators D^*D . Our starting point is the following statement: If D is a differential operator of order k with injective symbol, then D^*D is elliptic. We also consider an elliptic differential operator $\Delta_E = \bar{\Delta} + t\mathfrak{R}$ (of the Weitzenböck decomposition form) for a suitable constant t , see [9], acting on $C^\infty(E)$, where $\bar{\Delta} = \nabla^*\nabla$ is the *rough* or *Bochner Laplacian*,

∇^* denotes the formal adjoint of ∇ with respect to g (e.g., [3, p. 53] and [16, p. vii]), and \mathfrak{R} is a smooth symmetric endomorphism of E depending linearly in a known way on the curvature R^∇ of the connection ∇ on E . An example of a bundle to which the above reasoning applies is the space of differential p -forms, where the role of Δ_E is played by the Hodge-De Rham Laplacian Δ_H . A smooth section $s \in C^\infty(E)$ is called Δ_E -harmonic if $\Delta_E s = 0$ (see [16, p. 104]). Below, we consider the relationship between the operators Δ_E and D^*D and give examples of such harmonic sections.

The article has the following structure. In Section 2, we review the properties of Stein-Weiss operators D defined on differential p -forms ($1 \leq p \leq n-1$) and corresponding second order elliptical operators D^*D , and also the geometry of tensors lying in kernels of such operators. In Sections 3 and 4, we extend the results of [21, 22, 25] for symmetric p -tensors ($p \geq 2$). In Sections 5 and 6, we study the global geometry of traceless symmetric conformal Killing tensors and Codazzi tensors using second-order elliptic operators based on Stein-Weiss operators and the approach of a short article [24], where the question was investigated for tensors of order $p = 2$.

2 Stein-Weiss operators on differential forms

Let a linear group $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ act in the fibers of tensor bundles over M . Let $C^\infty \Lambda^p M$ denote the space of C^∞ -sections of the bundle of p -forms on M for $1 \leq p \leq n-1$, and $d : C^\infty \Lambda^p M \rightarrow C^\infty \Lambda^{p+1} M$ the exterior derivative operator (see [3, p. 21]). There is a pointwise $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible decomposition $T^*M \otimes \Lambda^p M = \Lambda^{p+1} M \oplus \ker \Lambda^{p+1}$ for the pointwise algebraic alternation operator $\Lambda^p : T^*M \otimes \Lambda^p M \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+1} M$. As a consequence, we have the following pointwise $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible decomposition:

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla \omega = L_1 \omega + L_2 \omega$$

for any $\omega \in C^\infty \Lambda^p M$, where $L_1 = (p+1)^{-1}d$ and $L_2 = \nabla - (p+1)^{-1}d$ (see [21]). Due to [20, 11], these L_1 and L_2 are $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -gradients, or, Stein-Weiss operators, defined on $C^\infty \Lambda^p M$. The kernels L_1 and L_2 consist of closed p -forms and Killing p -forms, respectively, and the last ones, for (pseudo-)Riemannian manifolds, are called Killing-Yano tensors (see [26, p. 559]). For a Riemannian manifold (M, g) , the decomposition (2.1) is pointwise orthogonal, i.e., $g(L_1 \omega, L_2 \omega) = 0$ for any $\omega \in C^\infty \Lambda^p M$.

Note that $d : C^\infty \Lambda^p M \rightarrow C^\infty \Lambda^{p+1} M$ has a formally adjoint operator $d^* : C^\infty \Lambda^{p+1} M \rightarrow C^\infty \Lambda^p M$ with respect to Riemannian metric on M , called codifferential (see [3, c. 54]). Thus, for L_2 there exists a formally adjoint operator $L_2^* = p(p+1)^{-1}d^*$. Using these operators, we build the second order differential operator

$$(2.2) \quad L_2^* L_2 = p(p+1)^{-1}(\bar{\Delta} - (p+1)^{-1}d^*d).$$

The main symbol $\sigma(L_2^* L_2)(\xi, \omega_x)$ of the operator (2.2) has the form

$$(2.3) \quad \sigma(L_2^* L_2)(\xi, \omega_x) = -\frac{p}{p+1} \left(\frac{p}{p+1} \|\xi\|^2 \omega_x + \frac{1}{p+1} \xi \wedge (\iota_\xi \omega_x) \right)$$

according to the following formulas (see [3, p. 461]):

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\nabla)(\xi, \omega_x) &= \xi \otimes \omega_x, & \sigma(\nabla^*)(\xi, \omega_x) &= -\iota_\xi \theta_x, \\ \sigma(d)(\xi, \omega_x) &= \xi \wedge \omega_x, & \sigma(d^*)(\xi, \omega_x) &= -\iota_\xi \omega_x \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in R_x^*M \setminus \{0\}$, $\omega_x \in \Lambda^r(T_x^*M)$ and $\theta_x \in T_x^*M \otimes \Lambda^r(T_x^*M)$ at each point $x \in M$. From (2.3) we obtain the following inequality:

$$-g(\sigma(L_2^*L_2)(\xi, \omega_x), \omega_x) = \frac{p}{(p+1)^2} (pg(\xi, \xi)\omega_x + g(\iota_\xi \omega_x, \iota_\xi \omega_x)) > 0$$

for any nonzero ξ and ω_x . Thus, (2.2) is an elliptic operator (see [3, p. 462]). On a compact manifold M , the kernel of $L_2^*L_2$ consists of Killing-Yano p -tensors (see [23]), because of the inequality $\int_M g(L_2^*L_2\omega, \omega) dV_g = \int_M g(L_2\omega, L_2\omega) dV_g \geq 0$, where dV_g is the volume form of g ; moreover, according to [3, p. 464], as a consequence of ellipticity of $L_2^*L_2 : C^\infty \Lambda^p M \rightarrow C^\infty \Lambda^p M$ we get the decomposition $C^\infty \Lambda^{p+1} M = \ker L_2^* \oplus \text{Im } L_2$ with respect to the L^2 -global scalar product on (M, g) , defined by $\langle \omega, \omega' \rangle = \frac{1}{p!} \int_M g(\omega, \omega') dV_g$, where $\omega, \omega' \in C^\infty \Lambda^p M$. As the result, we get

Proposition 2.1. *For any $\omega \in C^\infty \Lambda^p M$ and its $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -gradients $L_1\omega = (p+1)^{-1}d\omega$ and $L_2\omega = \nabla\omega - (p+1)^{-1}d\omega$ on $\Lambda^p M$ the orthogonal decomposition (2.1) holds. If (M, g) is compact, then the orthogonal decomposition $C^\infty \Lambda^{p+1} M = \ker L_2^* \oplus \text{Im } L_2$ holds. Moreover, $L_2^*L_2$ in (2.2) is a nonnegative definite elliptic operator, whose kernel is a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} consisting of Killing-Yano p -tensors.*

Bourguignon [5] studied first order *natural differential operators* on the spaces of C^∞ -sections of bundle of $\Lambda^p M$ on (M, g) with the structural group $\text{O}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ (see the theory in [13]). By definition, if the symbols of these operators are projectors on pointwise $\text{O}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible subbundles of $T^*M \otimes \Lambda^p M$, they are called *fundamental*. Fundamental differential operators of Bourguignon are Stein-Weiss operators. Bourguignon proved that $T^*M \otimes \Lambda^p M$ is decomposed into three pointwise $\text{O}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible subbundles. Based on this fact, Bourguignon defined fundamental operators d and d^* and indicated the existence of a third fundamental operator. He also noted that apart from the case $p = 1$, the third fundamental operator does not have a simple geometric interpretation. As a consequence, this allows for each $\omega \in C^\infty \Lambda^p M$ to obtain an expansion of $\nabla\omega \in C^\infty(T^*M \otimes \Lambda^p M)$ in the sum of three pointwise $\text{O}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible components

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla\omega = G_1\omega + G_2\omega + G_3\omega.$$

Then, all three Stein-Weiss operators were found explicitly in [22]:

$$(2.5) \quad G_1 = (p+1)^{-1}d, \quad G_2 = (n-p+1)^{-1}g \wedge d^*, \quad G_3 = \nabla - G_1 - G_2,$$

and it was proved in [27] that the kernel of G_3 consists of conformal Killing p -forms.

Further, in [23], the operator G_3^* formally conjugated to G_3 on (M, g) was found, the following second order differential operator was constructed and studied:

$$G_3^*G_3 = \frac{p}{p+1} \left(\bar{\Delta} - \frac{1}{p+1} d^*d - \frac{1}{n-p+1} dd^* \right).$$

For $n = 2p$ we get $G_3^*G_3 = \frac{p}{p+1} (\bar{\Delta} - \frac{1}{p+1} \Delta_H)$ for the *Hodge-de Rham Laplacian* $\Delta_H = d^*d + dd^*$ (e.g., [16, p. 260]). The *Hodge-de Rham Laplacian* Δ_H admits the Weitzenböck decomposition (e.g., [3, p. 57]) $\Delta_H = \bar{\Delta} + \mathfrak{R}$, where \mathfrak{R} depends linearly in a known way on the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor Ric of ∇ . Moreover, for $n = 2p$ we get the equality $G_3^*G_3 = (\frac{p}{p+1})^2 (\bar{\Delta} - \frac{1}{p} \mathfrak{R})$, where $\Delta_L = \bar{\Delta} - p^{-1}\mathfrak{R}$ is the Lichnerovich Laplacian (see [9]). Thus, the following is valid.

Proposition 2.2. *Let for each differential p -form $\omega \in C^\infty \Lambda^p M$ the expansion of its covariant derivative $\nabla \omega \in C^\infty(T^*M \otimes \Lambda^p M)$ in the sum (2.4) of pointwise $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible components with Stein-Weiss operators (2.5) hold. Then for $n = 2p$ the operator $p^{-2}(p+1)^2 G_3^* G_3$ is the Lichnerovich Laplacian.*

The Bochner-Weitzenböck formula (e.g., [16, p. 106]), can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\omega\|^2 = -g(\Delta_H \omega, \omega) - g(\mathfrak{R}(\omega), \omega) + \|G_1 \omega\|^2 + \|G_2 \omega\|^2 + \|G_3 \omega\|^2.$$

The operator $G_3^* G_3$ is elliptic for $2 \leq p \leq n-1$ (see [18, 10], where it lacks the normalizing factor $p(p+1)^{-1}$ calculated in [23]): on a compact (M, g) the kernel of $G_3^* G_3$ is formed by conformal Killing p -forms.

3 The Stein-Weiss operator on symmetric tensors

Let $C^\infty S^p M$ be the space of C^∞ -sections of the bundle $S^p M$ of symmetric p -tensors on M . Consider $T_x M$ at any point $x \in M$ as an n -dimensional vector space V with the structure group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. Let $S^p V$ denote the p -th symmetric power of the space V^* dual to V . The fiber of $T^* M \otimes S^p M$ is the tensor space $V^* \otimes S^p V$, which will be regarded as the representation space of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. Define an endomorphism $S^{p+1} : V^* \otimes S^p V \rightarrow S^{p+1} V \subset V^* \otimes S^p V$, called the *Young symmetrizer*, see [1], by

$$\begin{aligned} (S^{p+1}(\phi))_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{p-1} i_p} &:= \phi_{(i_0 i_1 \dots i_{p-1} i_p)} \\ &= \frac{1}{p+1} (\phi_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{p-1} i_p} + \phi_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} i_p i_0} + \dots + \phi_{i_p i_0 i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

for components $\phi_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{p-1} i_p} = \phi(e_{i_0}, e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_p})$ of any $\phi \in V^* \otimes S^p V$ in any basis e_1, \dots, e_n of V . The endomorphism S^{p+1} is $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant and $S^{p+1}(S^{p+1}(\phi)) = S^{p+1}(\phi)$, i.e., S^{p+1} is an idempotent in $V^* \otimes S^p V$. Thus, the $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant decomposition of $V^* \otimes S^p V$ into a direct sum $V^* \otimes S^p V = \text{Im } S^{p+1} \oplus \ker S^{p+1}$ of two subspaces $V^* \otimes S^p V$ holds, where $\text{Im } S^{p+1} = S^{p+1} V$, and $\ker S^{p+1} := \text{Im}(\text{id} - S^{p+1})$ consists of tensors of the form $\phi - S^{p+1}(\phi)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ act on fibers of tensor bundles on M . Then the following pointwise $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible decomposition holds:*

$$(3.1) \quad T^* M \otimes S^p M = S^{p+1} M \oplus \ker S^{p+1}.$$

Proof. The first component of the expansion $S^{p+1} V$ for $V = T_x M$ and any point $x \in M$ is irreducible $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -module. To find $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible subspaces in $S^{p+1} V$, we need a list of all correctly filled $(n, p+1)$ -Young schemes, which in this case contains only one simple scheme $\boxed{1 \mid 2 \mid \dots \mid p \mid p+1}$.

Thus, there are no other $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible subspaces in $S^{p+1} V$ other than $S^{p+1} V$. To determine what weights with respect to the maximal tori (diagonal matrices) have elements of $\ker S^{p+1}$, we decompose $V^* \otimes S^p V$ into weighted spaces, where the weight vectors are tensors of the form

$$\bar{\varphi}_{k, i_1, \dots, i_l}^{(l, j_1, \dots, j_l)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l = k \text{ and } i_1, \dots, i_l \text{ is a permutation of } j_1, \dots, j_l, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The above tensor has weight $\text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto t_k t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_p}$. Then the maximum weight with respect to the order of domination $\lambda \geq \mu \Leftrightarrow \forall m : \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i$ has tensor $\phi^{(2,1,\dots,1)} \neq 0$, since $\phi^{(1,1,\dots,1)} = 0$. The weight of this nonzero vector is $(p, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. It follows that $\ker S^{p+1} \cong V((p, 1, 0, \dots, 0))$. Since the module $S^{p+1}V$ is $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible, the decomposition (3.1) is also $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible. Based on the above, we conclude that there are only two Stein-Weiss differential operators defined on the space of sections $C^\infty S^p M$ of $S^p M$. We define the first-order linear differential operator $\delta^* : C^\infty S^p M \rightarrow C^\infty S^{p+1} M$ by means of the equality $\delta^* \varphi = (p+1) S^{p+1}(\nabla \varphi)$. It has the following form in local coordinates x^1, \dots, x^n :

$$(\delta^* \varphi)_{k i_1 \dots i_{p-1} i_p} := \nabla_k \varphi_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} i_p} + \dots + \nabla_{i_p} \varphi_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} k}$$

where $\nabla_k = \nabla_{\partial/\partial x^k}$, and $\varphi \in C^\infty S^p M$. The value on $\xi \in C^\infty T_x^* M$ of the symbol $\sigma(\delta^*)$ of the operator δ^* is a homomorphism

$$\sigma(\delta^*)(\xi, x) : \varphi_x \in S^p(T_x M) \rightarrow (p+1) \xi \odot \varphi_x \in S^{p+q}(T_x M),$$

according to the law of symmetric multiplication $\varphi_x \odot \varphi'_x = S^{p+q}(\varphi_x \otimes \varphi'_x)$ for the pointwise defined symmetric multiplication $S^{p+q} : S^p(T_x M) \otimes S^q(T_x M) \rightarrow S^{p+q}(T_x M)$ and any tensors $\varphi \in C^\infty S^p M$ and $\varphi' \in C^\infty S^q M$. Therefore, $P_1 = (p+1)^{-1} \delta^*$ is the first Stein-Weiss operator defined as symmetrization of the covariant derivative. \square

Consider further an operator of the form $P_2 = \nabla - (p+1)^{-1} \delta^*$. The value of its symbol $\sigma(P_2)$ on any 1-form $\xi \in C^\infty T_x^* M$ is the homomorphism

$$\sigma(P_2)(\xi, x) : \varphi_x \in S^p(T_x M) \rightarrow (\xi \otimes \varphi_x - (p+1) \xi \odot \varphi_x) \in \ker S^{p+1}(T_x M)$$

defined at any point $x \in M$. Thus, the second operator will be P_2 .

Since for any $\varphi \in C^\infty S^p M$ there is a pointwise $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible decomposition

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla \varphi = P_1 \varphi + P_2 \varphi,$$

then due to Stein-Weiss approach in [20], the above P_1 and P_2 are Stein-Weiss operators on the space of symmetric p -tensors, because $P_1 \varphi$ and $P_2 \varphi$ are pointwise $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible components of the decomposition of $\nabla \varphi$. Thus, we get

Proposition 3.2. *Let M be a smooth n -dimensional ($n \geq 2$) manifold with a linear connection ∇ without torsion. Then there are two Stein-Weiss differential operators $P_1 = \frac{1}{p+1} \delta^*$ and $P_2 = \nabla - \frac{1}{p+1} \delta^*$ on the space of sections $C^\infty S^p M$.*

The kernel of P_1 consists of *symmetric Killing p -tensors*, that is, tensor fields $\varphi \in C^\infty S^p M$ such that $S^{p+1}(\nabla \varphi) = 0$. The kernel of P_2 consists of *Codazzi p -tensors* $\varphi \in C^\infty S^p M$, for which $\nabla \varphi \in C^\infty S^{p+1} M$. According to [3, p. 35], the operator $\delta^* : C^\infty S^p M \rightarrow C^\infty S^{p+1} M$ has the formally adjoint operator $\delta : C^\infty S^{p+1} M \rightarrow C^\infty S^p M$, called *divergence* and defined by the equality $\delta \varphi = -\text{trace}_g \nabla \varphi$. Here, the trace_g is given by the formula $(\text{trace}_g \varphi)(a_3, \dots, a_p) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(e_i, e_i, a_3, \dots, a_p)$ for any vectors a_3, \dots, a_p and orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of $T_x M$ at any point $x \in M$. Therefore, the formally adjoint to P_1 operator has the form $P_1^* = (p+1)^{-1} \delta$. Let us construct a second-order differential operator $P_1^* P_1 = (p+1)^{-2} \delta \delta^*$. The operator $P_1^* P_1 : C^\infty S^p M \rightarrow C^\infty S^p M$ is elliptic, since its principal symbol satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} -g(\sigma(P_1^* P_1)(\xi, x) \varphi_x, \varphi_x) &= g(\xi, \xi) g(\varphi_x, \varphi_x) - (p+1) g(\xi \odot \varphi_x(\xi, \cdot), \varphi_x) \\ &= g(\xi, \xi) \cdot g(\varphi_x, \varphi_x) + p \cdot g(i_\xi \varphi_x, i_\xi \varphi_x) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\xi \in T_x^*M \setminus \{0\}$ and nonzero φ_x at any point $x \in M$. Thus, on a compact (M, g) the kernel of $P_1^*P_1$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} . A local estimate for the dimension of this space was found in [2]:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \ker P_1^*P_1 \leq C_p^{n+p} C_p^{n+p-1} - C_{p+1}^{n+p} C_{p-1}^{n+p-1},$$

where the equality is attained on the Euclidean sphere. Since $\int_M g(P_1^*P_1\varphi, \varphi) dV_g = \int_M g(P_1\varphi, P_1\varphi) dV_g \geq 0$, the kernel of $P_1^*P_1$ consists of symmetric Killing tensors $\varphi \in C^\infty S^p M$. By [3, p. 464], the following orthogonal decomposition is valid:

$$(3.4) \quad C^\infty S^{p+1} M = \ker P_1^* \oplus \text{Im } P_1$$

for the L^2 -global scalar product on a compact (M, g) . Summing up, we formulate

Proposition 3.3. *For any tensor field $\varphi \in C^\infty S^p M$ there is a pointwise orthogonal decomposition (3.2), where $P_1 = \frac{1}{p+1} \delta^*$ and $P_2 = \nabla - \frac{1}{p+1} \delta^*$. On a compact manifold (M, g) , the second-order differential operator $P_1^*P_1 = (p+1)^{-2} \delta \delta^*$ is a nonnegative elliptic operator, whose kernel is a finite-dimensional vector space of symmetric Killing p -tensors. Moreover, the orthogonal decomposition (3.4) is valid.*

If (M, g) is a compact Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature, then $\ker P_1^*P_1$ consists of parallel symmetric p -tensors, that is, tensors φ obeying the condition $\nabla\varphi = 0$ (see [7]). If, in addition, M is connected and there is a point at which all sectional curvatures are negative, then $\ker P_1^*P_1$ consists of symmetric p -tensors of the form $C \cdot g^k$ for some real constant C (see also [7]).

4 The Stein-Weiss operators on traceless symmetric tensors

Bourguignon studied first order *natural differential operators* on the spaces of C^∞ -sections of the bundle $S_0^2 M$ of symmetric traceless 2-tensors on (M, g) , e.g., [6]. The symbols of such operators are projectors onto pointwise $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible sub-bundles of $T^*M \otimes S_0^2 M$. The following decomposition is valid:

$$\begin{aligned} T^*M \otimes S_0^2 M &= \text{Pr}_{S_0^2 M}(T^*M \otimes S_0^2 M) \oplus \text{Pr}_{T^*M}(T^*M \otimes S_0^2 M) \oplus \\ &\oplus \text{Pr}_{\ker S^3 \cap \ker \text{trace}_g}(T^*M \otimes S_0^2 M). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we have the pointwise $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible decomposition

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla\varphi = D_1\varphi + D_2\varphi + D_3\varphi$$

for any traceless symmetric 2-form, or, the field of 2-tensors $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^2 M$. Based on this fact, Bourguignon defined all three operators D_1 , D_2 and D_3 and proved that the kernel of the operator D_1 consists of the divergence-free 2-tensors $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^2 M$. He argued that the kernels of D_2 and D_3 do not have a simple geometric interpretation. In [25], these arguments were applied to a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , all three Stein-Weiss operators were redefined on C^∞ -sections of $S_0^2 M$, and a geometric interpretation of traceless symmetric 2-tensors lying in the kernel of each of them was given. It was proved that the kernel of D_1 consists of (traceless) *symmetric conformal Killing 2-tensors* (see [26, p. 559]), and the kernel of D_2 consists of traceless *conformal*

Codazzi 2-tensors defined in [24]. The main difference of these tensors from well-known *Codazzi 2-tensors* (e.g., [3, pp. 434; 436–440]) is their conformal invariance.

Consider a bundle $S_0^p M$ ($p \geq 2$) of traceless symmetric p -tensors on M . For each $\varphi \in S_0^p M$, the equality $\text{trace}_g \varphi = 0$ is valid.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$. Then the following pointwise $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible decomposition is valid:*

$$\begin{aligned} T^*M \otimes S_0^p M &= \Pr_{S_0^{p+1}M}(T^*M \otimes S_0^p M) \oplus \Pr_{S_0^{p-1}V}(T^*M \otimes S_0^p M) \oplus \\ &\oplus \Pr_{\ker S^{p+1} \cap \ker \text{trace}_g}(T^*M \otimes S_0^p M). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The fiber of $T_x^*M \otimes S_0^p(T_x^*M)$ at any point $x \in M$ is an n -dimensional ($n > 1$) cotangent vector space T_x^*M . We will consider this tensor space as the space of representations $V^* \otimes S_0^p V$ of $O(n, \mathbb{R})$. There are three orthogonal subspaces $\ker S^{p+1} \cap \ker \text{trace}_g$, $S_0^{p+1}V$ and $S_0^{p-1}V$ of $V^* \otimes S_0^p V$ such that (see [1])

$$\begin{aligned} V^* \otimes S_0^p V &= \Pr_{S_0^{p+1}V}(V^* \otimes S_0^p V) \oplus \Pr_{S_0^{p-1}V}(V^* \otimes S_0^p V) \oplus \\ &\oplus \Pr_{\ker S^{p+1} \cap \ker \text{trace}_g}(V^* \otimes S_0^p V). \end{aligned}$$

The irreducibility of the components of the decomposition of $V^* \otimes S_0^p V$ under the action of $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ follows from Theorem by G. Weyl on quadratic $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant forms (see [6, pp. 313–314]). There are three such independent invariant quadratic forms, which are specified using components $\phi_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_p} = \phi(e_{i_0}, e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_p})$ of $\phi \in V^* \otimes S_0^p V$ in the orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_n of V , and have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1(\phi) &= \sum_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_p=1}^n (\phi_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_p})^2, & \Psi_2(\phi) &= \sum_{i, i_2, \dots, i_p=1}^n (\phi_{ii i_2 \dots i_p})^2, \\ \Psi_3(\phi) &= \sum_{i_0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p=1}^n \phi_{i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots i_p} \phi_{i_1 i_0 i_2 \dots i_p}. \end{aligned}$$

They represent all possible traces of the $\phi \otimes \phi$ -form. Since there are three such forms, the decomposition $V^* \otimes S_0^p V$, which also has three tensor components, is $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible according to result of H. Weil (see [6, pp. 313–314]). \square

Let $\text{Diff}(S_0^p M, T^*M \otimes S_0^p M)$ denote the $C^\infty M$ -module of first-order linear differential operators $D : C^\infty S_0^p M \rightarrow C^\infty(T^*M \otimes S_0^p M)$ on the space of smooth sections $C^\infty S_0^p M$ of the bundle $S_0^p M$. Due to the pointwise orthogonal decomposition of the bundle $T^*M \otimes S_0^p M$ from [1], we get the pointwise $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible decomposition (4.1) of the covariant derivative of any tensor field $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$. Then certain D_1, D_2 and D_3 are Stein-Weiss operators on $C^\infty S_0^p M$. The Stein-Weiss operator D_1 , whose symbol is the projector onto the pointwise irreducible component $S_0^p M$, is

$$(4.2) \quad D_1 \varphi = \frac{1}{p+1} \left(\delta^* \varphi + \frac{p(p+1)}{n+2(p-1)} g \odot \delta \varphi \right)$$

for any $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$ and an algebraic operator $g \odot : S^{p-1}M \rightarrow S^{p+1}M$ defined pointwise by $g \odot := (2p-1)S^{p+1}(g \otimes)$ (see [1]). In local coordinates x^1, \dots, x^n on (M, g) , the expression (4.2) appears as

$$(4.3) \quad (D_1 \varphi)_{i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots i_p} = \frac{1}{p+1} \left(\delta^* \varphi_{i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots i_p} + \frac{p(p+1)}{n+2(p-1)} g_{(i_0 i_1} \delta \varphi_{i_2 \dots i_p)} \right).$$

Using the identity $g_{(i_0 i_1} \delta \varphi_{i_2 \dots i_p)} = g_{(i_0 (i_1} \delta \varphi_{i_2 \dots i_p))}$ for the pointwise symmetrization operator $S^{p+1}(g \otimes \delta \varphi)_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_p} = g_{(i_0 i_1} \delta \varphi_{i_2 \dots i_p)}$, we rewrite (4.3) in the form

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (D_1 \varphi)_{i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots i_p} &= \frac{1}{p+1} \left(\delta^* \varphi_{i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3 \dots i_{p-1} i_p} + \frac{1}{n+2(p-1)} \left(g_{i_0 i_1} \delta \varphi_{i_2 i_3 \dots i_{p-1} i_p} \right. \right. \\ &+ g_{i_0 i_2} \delta \varphi_{i_3 \dots i_{p-1} i_p i_1} + \dots + g_{i_0 i_{p-1}} \delta \varphi_{i_p i_1 i_2 \dots i_{p-2}} + g_{i_0 i_p} \delta \varphi_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \dots i_{p-1}} \\ &+ g_{i_1 i_2} \delta \varphi_{i_3 i_4 \dots i_{p-1} i_p i_0} + g_{i_1 i_3} \delta \varphi_{i_4 \dots i_{p-1} i_p i_0 i_2} + \dots + g_{i_1 i_p} \delta \varphi_{i_0 i_2 i_3 \dots i_{p-1}} \\ &+ g_{i_1 i_0} \delta \varphi_{i_2 i_3 i_4 \dots i_{p-1} i_p} + g_{i_2 i_3} \delta \varphi_{i_4 i_5 \dots i_p i_0 i_1} + g_{i_2 i_4} \delta \varphi_{i_5 \dots i_p i_0 i_1 i_3} + \dots \\ &+ g_{i_2 i_0} \delta \varphi_{i_1 i_3 i_4 \dots i_p} + g_{i_2 i_1} \delta \varphi_{i_3 i_4 \dots i_p i_0} + \dots + g_{i_p i_0} \delta \varphi_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \dots i_{p-1}} \\ &\left. \left. + g_{i_p i_1} \delta \varphi_{i_2 i_3 \dots i_{p-1} i_0} + \dots + g_{i_p i_{p-2}} \delta \varphi_{i_{p-1} i_0 i_1 i_3 \dots i_{p-3}} + g_{i_p i_{p-1}} \delta \varphi_{i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3 \dots i_{p-2}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Based on (4.4), we get $D_1 \varphi \in C^\infty S_0^{p+1} M$. We call $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$ a *symmetric conformal Killing p -tensor*, if $D_1 \varphi = 0$, which coincides with the notion of a conformal Killing p -tensor, e.g., [7, 8]. For $p = 1$ condition $D_1 \varphi = 0$ takes the form of well-known equations of a *conformal Killing vector* (see [26, pp. 559]). Formally conjugate to (4.2) operator $D_1^* : C^\infty S_0^{p+1} M \rightarrow C^\infty S_0^p M$ is given for any $\bar{\varphi} \in C^\infty S_0^{p+1} M$ by

$$(4.5) \quad D_1^* \bar{\varphi} = \frac{1}{p+1} \left(\delta \bar{\varphi} + \frac{p(p+1)}{n+2(p-1)} (g \odot \delta)^* \bar{\varphi} \right) = \frac{1}{p+1} \delta \bar{\varphi},$$

because $(g \otimes)^* = \text{trace}_g$. Therefore, $(g \odot \delta)^* \bar{\varphi} = (2p-1) \delta^* (\text{trace}_g \bar{\varphi}) = 0$ for any traceless tensor $\bar{\varphi} \in C^\infty S_0^{p+1} M$. Based on the operators D_1 and D_1^* , we define a second-order differential operator of the form $D_1^* D_1 : C^\infty S_0^p M \rightarrow C^\infty S_0^p M$, which according to (4.4) and (4.5) is given by the following equality:

$$(4.6) \quad D_1^* D_1 \varphi = \frac{1}{(p+1)^2} \left(\delta \delta^* \varphi + \frac{1}{n+2(p-1)} (-2 \delta^* \delta \varphi + p(p-1) g \odot \delta \delta \varphi) \right).$$

For the Sampson Laplacian operator $\Delta_S = \delta \delta^* - \delta^* \delta$, (4.6) can be rewritten as

$$(4.7) \quad D_1^* D_1 \varphi = \frac{1}{(p+1)^2 (n+2(p-1))} (2 \Delta_S \varphi + (n+2(p-2)) \delta \delta^* \varphi + p(p-1) g \odot \delta \delta \varphi).$$

Let us prove the ellipticity of the operator $D_1^* D_1$. First, note that at each point $x \in M$ for any $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$ and $\xi \in T_x^* M \setminus \{0\}$ the equality $g(\sigma(g \odot \delta \delta)(\xi, x) \varphi_x, \varphi_x) = 0$ holds, which is a consequence of the tracelessness of the tensor field φ . Second, for any nonzero $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$ the inequality $-g(\sigma(\Delta_S)(\xi, \varphi_x), \varphi_x) = g(\xi, \xi) g(\varphi_x \varphi_x) > 0$ holds (see [15]). By (3.3), $-g(\sigma(\delta \delta^*)(\xi, \varphi_x), \varphi_x) > 0$ holds. Thus, the inequality $-g(\sigma(\delta \delta^*)(\xi, \varphi_x), \varphi_x) > 0$ takes place; hence, $D_1^* D_1$ is elliptic. Then its kernel on a compact (M, g) is finite-dimensional. Moreover, $\int_M g(D_1^* D_1 \varphi, \varphi) dV_g = \int_M g(D_1 \varphi, D_1 \varphi) dV_g \geq 0$, thus, this vector space consists of symmetric conformal Killing p -tensors $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$. The following orthogonal decomposition takes place:

$$(4.8) \quad C^\infty S^{p+1} M = \ker D_1^* \oplus \text{Im } D_1$$

for the L^2 -global scalar product on the compact (M, g) . Summing up, we formulate

Proposition 4.2. *The pointwise $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible decomposition (4.1) of the covariant derivative of any tensor field $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$ holds. On a compact (M, g) , a second-order differential operator $D_1^* D_1$ for the Stein-Weiss operator*

$$D_1 \varphi = (p+1)^{-1} (\delta^* \varphi + (n+2(p-1))^{-1} (g \odot \delta \varphi)),$$

and its formally conjugate D_1^* , is a nonnegative elliptic operator, whose kernel is a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} and consists of symmetric conformal Killing p -tensors. Moreover, the orthogonal decomposition (4.8) is valid.

The second Stein-Weiss differential operator D_2 , whose symbol is the projector onto the second pointwise irreducible component of the decomposition $TM^* \otimes S_0^p M$ is

$$(D_2 \varphi)_{i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots i_{p-2} i_{p-1} i_p} = -p(n+p-1)^{-1} g_{i_0(i_1} \delta \varphi_{i_2 \dots i_p)}$$

(see [1]), and its kernel consists of traceless divergence-free p -tensors.

The third Stein-Weiss differential operator D_3 , whose symbol is the projector onto the third pointwise irreducible component of the decomposition $TM^* \otimes S_0^p M$, is

$$\begin{aligned} (D_3 \varphi)_{i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots i_{p-2} i_{p-1} i_p} &= \nabla_{i_0} \varphi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_p} + \frac{p}{n+p-1} g_{i_0(i_1} \delta \varphi_{i_2 \dots i_p}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p+1} \left(\delta^* \varphi_{i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots i_p} + \frac{p(p+1)}{n+2(p-1)} g_{(i_0 i_1} \delta \varphi_{i_2 \dots i_p)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$ (see [1]). For any $\varphi \in \ker D_3$, the following equations hold:

$$(4.9) \quad \nabla_{i_0} \varphi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_p} - \nabla_{i_1} \varphi_{i_0 i_2 \dots i_p} = \frac{p}{n+p-1} (g_{i_0(i_1} \delta \varphi_{i_2 \dots i_p}) - g_{i_1(i_0} \delta \varphi_{i_2 \dots i_p})).$$

5 Global Riemannian geometry of conformal Killing tensors

The kernel of D_1 consists of p -tensors $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$ for $p \geq 2$ that satisfy

$$(5.1) \quad \delta^* \varphi = -\frac{p(p+1)}{n-2(p-1)} g \odot \delta \varphi.$$

Each such p -tensor is a symmetric *conformal Killing p -tensor* (e.g., [7, 8]). Note that the requirement of tracelessness is included here in the definition of the conformal Killing p -tensor ($p \geq 2$) as well as in [26, p. 559] for the case $p = 2$. The condition $\varphi \in \ker D_1 \cap \ker \delta$ defines a symmetric Killing p -tensor $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$, because (5.1) implies that $\delta^* \varphi = 0$. Taking into account (4.7), we find

$$(5.2) \quad g(\Delta_S \varphi, \varphi) = -2^{-1}(n+2(p-2)) g(\delta \delta^* \varphi, \varphi)$$

for Sampson Laplacian $\Delta_S = \delta \delta^* - \delta^* \delta$ and conformal Killing tensors $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$. From (5.2) we conclude that the symmetric divergence-free (traceless) conformal Killing tensor, or, equivalently, the symmetric traceless p -Killing tensor belongs to the kernel of Δ_S . For a compact manifold (M, g) , it follows from (5.2) that

$$\int_M g(\Delta_S \varphi, \varphi) dV_g = -2^{-1}(n+2(p-2)) \int_M g(\delta \varphi, \delta \varphi) dV_g.$$

Thus, any traceless conformal Killing p -tensor belonging to the kernel of the Sampson Laplacian is divergence-free, thus it is a Killing p -tensor. We get the following

Proposition 5.1. *On a compact Riemannian manifold, a symmetric (traceless) conformal Killing p -tensor belongs to the kernel of the Sampson Laplacian if and only if it is a traceless p -Killing tensor.*

For any Killing p -tensor ($p \geq 2$), direct calculations lead to the following formula: $2\delta\varphi = \delta^*(\text{trace}_g\varphi)$. Thus, *on a compact Riemannian manifold of negative Ricci curvature, every symmetric Killing tensor of rank 3 is traceless*. The Sampson Laplacian $\Delta_S : C^\infty S^p M \rightarrow C^\infty S^p M$ admits the Weitzenböck decomposition (see [15])

$$(5.3) \quad \Delta_S \varphi = \bar{\Delta} \varphi - \mathfrak{R}(\varphi).$$

The formula (5.3) indicates that Δ_S is a particular form of *Lichnerovich's Laplacian* (see [3, p. 79] and [9]). Here, \mathfrak{R} is linearly expressed in terms of the Riemannian curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of the Levi-Civita connection and satisfies $g(\mathfrak{R}(\varphi), \varphi') = g(\mathfrak{R}(\varphi'), \varphi)$ for any $\varphi, \varphi' \in C^\infty S^p M$ (see [15]). Thus, $\Phi_p(\varphi_x, \varphi_x) = g(\mathfrak{R}(\varphi_x), \varphi_x)$ is a quadratic form for any $\varphi_x \in S^p(T_x^*M)$ and $x \in M$. Since Δ_S is an elliptic operator, by [3, p. 632], the $L^2(M)$ -orthogonal decomposition $C^\infty S^p M = \ker \Delta_S \oplus \text{Im} \Delta_S$ is valid. The symmetric tensor $\varphi \in C^\infty S^p M$ such that $\varphi \in \ker \Delta_S$ is called Δ_S -harmonic section (see [16, p. 104]), and the space of such tensors on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) is finite-dimensional. The following is valid.

Proposition 5.2. *On a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) the space of Δ_S -harmonic sections is finite-dimensional.*

Using Proposition 5.2 and (5.3), we can formulate the following

Corollary 5.3. *On a Riemannian manifold (M, g) , any divergence-free or, e.g., traceless Killing p -tensor is a Δ_S -harmonic section.*

From (5.3) we deduce the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula (e.g., [15] and [16, p. 106])

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\varphi\|^2 = -g(\Delta_S \varphi, \varphi) - g(\mathfrak{R}(\varphi), \varphi) + \|\nabla \varphi\|^2,$$

where for $\nabla \varphi$ the pointwise $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ -irreducible decomposition (4.1) holds. Thus,

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\varphi\|^2 = -g(\Delta_S \varphi, \varphi) - g(\mathfrak{R}(\varphi), \varphi) + \|D_1 \varphi\|^2 + \|D_2 \varphi\|^2 + \|D_3 \varphi\|^2.$$

For a symmetric conformal Killing p -tensor, the formula (5.4) takes the form

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\varphi\|^2 = 2^{-1}(n + 2(p - 2))g(\delta \delta^* \varphi, \varphi) - g(\mathfrak{R}(\varphi), \varphi) + \|D_2 \varphi\|^2 + \|D_3 \varphi\|^2.$$

Suppose that M is compact, then integrating (5.5) we obtain

$$\int_M g(\mathfrak{R}(\varphi), \varphi) dV_g = 2^{-1}(n+2p-4) \int_M \|\delta^* \varphi\|^2 dV_g + \int_M (\|D_2 \varphi\|^2 + \|D_3 \varphi\|^2) dV_g \geq 0,$$

because $\int_M g(\delta \delta^* \varphi, \varphi) dV_g = \int_M \|\delta^* \varphi\|^2 dV_g \geq 0$. On (M, g) of nonpositive curvature $\Phi_p(\varphi, \varphi) = g(\mathfrak{R}(\varphi), \varphi) \leq 0$ holds for any $\varphi \in S_0^p M$ (see [8, 7]). If there is a point at which the sectional curvature is negative, then $\Phi_p(\varphi, \varphi) = g(\mathfrak{R}(\varphi), \varphi) < 0$ for any symmetric p -form $\varphi \in S_0^p M$. Based on the above equality, we get the following

Proposition 5.4. *On a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) of nonpositive sectional curvature sec , each symmetric conformal Killing tensor $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$ is parallel, i.e., $\nabla \varphi = 0$. Moreover, if there is a point at which $\text{sec} < 0$, then on (M, g) there are no nonzero symmetric conformal Killing p -tensors $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$.*

One can show $\frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\varphi\|^2 = \|\varphi\| \Delta \|\varphi\| + \|d\|\varphi\|\|^2$, where $\|\nabla \varphi\|^2 \geq \|d\|\varphi\|\|^2$ by *Kato's inequality* (e.g., [16, p. 105]). Thus, the above equality takes the following form:

$$\|\varphi\| \Delta \|\varphi\| = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\varphi\|^2 - \|d\|\varphi\|\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\varphi\|^2 - \|\nabla \varphi\|^2,$$

where $\Delta \|\varphi\|^2$ due to (5.4) satisfies the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\varphi\|^2 \geq -g(\Delta_S \varphi, \varphi) - g(\mathfrak{R}(\varphi), \varphi).$$

Summing up, we get the following inequality:

$$(5.6) \quad \|\varphi\| \Delta \|\varphi\| \geq -g(\Delta_S \varphi, \varphi) - \Phi_p(\varphi, \varphi).$$

Let further $\varphi \in C^\infty S_0^p M$ be a Killing p -tensor, for which, as was proved above, $\Delta_S \varphi = 0$, then the inequality (5.6) can be rewritten as

$$(5.7) \quad \|\varphi\| \Delta \|\varphi\| \geq -\Phi_p(\varphi, \varphi).$$

For (M, g) of nonpositive curvature, from (5.7) we find $\Delta \|\varphi\| \geq 0$, thus, $\|\varphi\|$ is a nonnegative subharmonic function for any Killing p -tensor $\varphi \in S_0^p M$. There is a well-known theorem (see [14, p. 288]): On a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold (M, g) of nonpositive curvature, any nonnegative subharmonic function $f \in C^2(M)$ satisfying $\int_M f^q dV_g < \infty$ for some $q \in (0, \infty)$, is constant. Setting $f = \|\varphi\|$, we find $\|\varphi\| = C$ for some real constant C , thus, $\nabla \varphi = 0$. On the other hand, in this case

$$\int_M \|\varphi\|^q dV_g = C^q \int_M dV_g = C^q \text{Vol}(M, g).$$

Since we assume $\|\varphi\| \in L^q(M)$ for some $0 < q < \infty$, then for $C \neq 0$ the volume of (M, g) must be finite. If the volume of (M, g) is infinite, then necessarily $\varphi \equiv 0$. The following has been proven.

Theorem 5.5. *If a simply connected complete (M, g) has nonpositive sectional curvature, then the symmetric Killing p -tensor ($p \geq 2$) $\varphi \in S_0^p M$ such that*

$$(5.8) \quad \int_M \|\varphi\|^q dV_g < \infty$$

for some $q \in (0, \infty)$ is parallel; and if (M, g) has infinite volume, then $\varphi \equiv 0$.

A Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $\delta^* \text{Ric} = 0$ was popular [3, pp. 450-451]. In this case, $\Delta_S \text{Ric} = 0$, thus, by Theorem 5.5, $\text{Ric} = 0$ (for a compact M , see [3, p. 451]).

Let $M = G/H$ be a Riemannian symmetric space of noncompact type with a G -invariant metric g . Then (M, g) is a complete Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature and negative definite Ricci tensor, thus, it is irreducible (see [12, pp. 226, 236]). Therefore, it is true the following

Corollary 5.6. *On a Riemannian symmetric space (M, g) of noncompact type, each symmetric Killing p -tensor ($p \geq 2$) $\varphi \in S_0^p M$ such that (5.8) holds for some $q \in (0, \infty)$, is parallel. Moreover, if $p = 2$, then $\varphi \equiv 0$.*

6 Global Riemannian geometry of rank $p \geq 2$ Codazzi tensors

For a Codazzi p -tensor ($p > 3$) $\varphi \in C^\infty S^p M$, from $\nabla \varphi \in C^\infty S^{p+1} M$ we conclude that $\nabla(\text{trace}_g \varphi) \in C^\infty S^{p-2} M$. From the condition (also defining the Codazzi p -tensor)

$$(6.1) \quad P_2 \varphi = \nabla \varphi - \frac{1}{p+1} \delta^* \varphi = 0,$$

it follows that $\delta \varphi = -\nabla(\text{trace}_g \varphi)$ for any $p \geq 2$. Therefore, the following is true.

Proposition 6.1. *For any Codazzi p -tensor $\varphi \in S^p M$, where $p > 3$, on the Riemannian manifold (M, g) the symmetric form $\text{trace}_g \varphi$ is a Codazzi $(p-2)$ -tensor. For $p \geq 2$, each traceless Codazzi p -tensor φ has zero divergence.*

Based on (6.1) for the divergence-free Codazzi tensor $\varphi \in S^p M$, we obtain

$$\bar{\Delta} \varphi = \frac{1}{p+1} P_1^* P_1 \varphi = \frac{1}{p+1} \Delta_S \varphi.$$

Thus, it follows from the Weitzenböck expansion (5.3) that

$$(6.2) \quad \bar{\Delta} \varphi = -\frac{1}{p+1} \mathfrak{R}(\varphi).$$

Therefore, we can formulate the following

Proposition 6.2. *Any divergence-free Codazzi p -tensor φ on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) belongs to the kernel of the Lichnerovich Laplacian $\Delta_L = \bar{\Delta} + \frac{1}{p+1} \mathfrak{R}$.*

From (6.2) we get the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\varphi\|^2 = \frac{1}{p+1} \Phi_p(\varphi, \varphi) + \|\nabla P_1\|^2.$$

Using (6.3), we obtain the inequality

$$(6.4) \quad \|\varphi\| \Delta \|\varphi\| \geq \frac{1}{p+1} \Phi_p(\varphi, \varphi).$$

On (M, g) of nonnegative sectional curvature, we have the inequality $\Phi_p(\varphi, \varphi) \geq 0$ for any $\varphi \in S^p M$ (see [4]). If this assumption is true, then from (6.4) we get $\Delta \|\varphi\| \geq 0$. As a result, $\|\varphi\|$ becomes a nonnegative subharmonic function for any divergence-free Codazzi p -tensor $\varphi \in S^p M$. Due to S.T. Yau (see [16, p. 262] and [28]), on a complete (M, g) of infinite volume the only nonnegative subharmonic function f satisfying $f \in L^q(M)$ for some $1 < q < \infty$, is $f \equiv 0$. Since a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of nonnegative sectional curvature has infinite volume (see [14]), we get $\varphi \equiv 0$. The following theorem is proved.

Theorem 6.3. *On a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold (M, g) of nonnegative sectional curvature there is no nonzero divergence-free Codazzi tensor $\varphi \in S^p M$ ($p \geq 2$) such that (5.8) holds for some $q > 1$.*

Remark 6.1. There are no complete noncompact conformally flat (M, g) of nonnegative sectional curvature and constant scalar curvature such that Ric satisfies (5.8) for some $q > 1$, since, in this case, Ric is a Codazzi divergence-free tensor, [3, p. 432].

Let $M = G/H$ be a Riemannian symmetric space of compact type with a G -invariant metric g . Then (M, g) is compact with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive definite Ricci tensor, thus, it is irreducible (see [12, p. 256]).

The following theorem generalizes the result from [10].

Corollary 6.4. *On a Riemannian symmetric space (M, g) of compact type, any divergence-free Codazzi p -tensor $\varphi \in S^p M$ for $p \geq 2$ has a constant length. In particular, if $p = 2$, then $\varphi = Cg$ for some real constant C .*

Acknowledgements. The authors thank to Professor N.K. Smolentsev for useful discussions on the proof of the ellipticity of operators.

References

- [1] B. Balcerzak, A. Pierzchalski, *Generalized gradients on Lie algebroids*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 44 (2013), 319–337.
- [2] C. Barbance, *Sur les tenseurs symétriques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B, 276 (1973), A387–A389.
- [3] A.L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [4] R.G. Bettiol, R.A.E. Mendes, *Sectional curvature and Weitzenböck formulae*, arXiv:1708.09033v3 [math.DG], 25 pp. Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear.
- [5] J.-P. Bourguignon, *Formules de Weitzenböck en dimension 4. Géométrie riemannienne en dimension 4*, CEDIC, Paris, (1981), 308–333.
- [6] T. Branson, *Stein-Weiss operators and ellipticity*, Journal of functional analysis, 151 (1997), 334–383.
- [7] N.S. Dairbekov, V.A. Sharafutdinov, *On conformal Killing symmetric tensor fields on Riemannian manifolds*, Siberian Adv. Math., 21 : 1 (2011), 1–41.
- [8] K. Heil, A. Moroianu, U. Semmelmann, *Killing and conformal Killing tensors*, J. Geom. Phys. 106 (2016), 383–400.
- [9] N. Hitchin, *A note on vanishing theorems, Geometry and analysis on manifolds*, 373–382, Progr. Math., 308, Springer, Switzerland, 2015.
- [10] Y. Homma, *Bochner-Weitzenböck formulas and curvature actions on Riemannian manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 358:1 (2005), 87–114.
- [11] J. Kalina, A. Pierzchalski, P. Walczak, *Only one of generalized gradients can be elliptic*, Annales Polonici Mathematici, LXVII:2 (1997), 111–120.
- [12] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, Vol. II, USA, Interscience Publishers, 1969.
- [13] I. Kolář, P.W. Michor, J. Slovák, *Natural operations in differential geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [14] P. Li, R. Schoen, *L^p and mean value properties of subharmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds*, Acta Math., 153:1 (1984), 279–301.
- [15] J. Mikeš, V. Rovenski, S.E. Stepanov, *An example of Lichnerowicz-type Laplacian*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., 58 (2020), 19–34.

- [16] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, A.G. Setti, *Vanishing and Finiteness Results in Geometric Analysis: A Generalization of the Bochner Technique*. Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 266; Birkhäuser Verlag AG: Basel, Switzerland, 2008.
- [17] M. Pilca, *A new proof of Branson's classification of elliptic generalized gradients*, Manuscripta Mathematica, 136 (2011), 65–81.
- [18] U. Semmelmann, *Conformal Killing forms on Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Z., 245:3 (2003), 503–527.
- [19] I.G. Shandra, S.E. Stepanov, J. Mikeš, *On higher-order Codazzi tensors on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 56:3 (2019), 429–442.
- [20] E. Stein, G. Weiss, *Generalization of the Cauchy-Riemann equations and representations of the rotation group*, Amer. J. Math., 90 (1968), 163–196.
- [21] S.E. Stepanov, Smol'nikova M.V., *Affine differential geometry of Killing tensors*, Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ), 48:11 (2004), 74–78 (2005).
- [22] S.E. Stepanov, *A class of closed forms and special Maxwell's equations*, Tensor (N.S.) 58:3 (1997), 233–242.
- [23] S.E. Stepanov, *A new strong Laplacian on differential forms*. Math. Notes 76:3-4 (2004), 420–425.
- [24] S.E. Stepanov, V.V. Rodionov, *Addition to a work of J.-P. Bourguignon*, Differ. Geom. Mnogoobr. Figur, 28 (1997), 68–72.
- [25] S.E. Stepanov, I.I. Tsyganok, *Conformal Killing L^2 -forms on complete Riemannian manifolds with nonpositive curvature operator*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 458:1 (2018), 1–8.
- [26] H. Stephani, et al., *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations*, Second Edition, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [27] S. Tachibana, *On conformal Killing tensor in a Riemannian space*, Tohoku Math. J., 21 (1969), 56–64.
- [28] S.T. Yau, *Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifolds and their applications to geometry*. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 25 (1976), 659–670.

Authors' addresses:

Vladimir Rovenski
Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa,
Mount Carmel, 31905 Haifa, Israel.
E-mail: vrovenski@univ.haifa.ac.il

Sergey Stepanov and Irina Tsyganok
Department of Mathematics, Finance University,
Leningradsky Prospect, 49-55, 125468 Moscow, Russia.
E-mail: s.e.stepanov@mail.ru , i.i.tsyganok@mail.ru